

NEW YORK EXCHANGE
150 NASSAU ST. N.Y.

VOLUME FIVE

WHOLE No. 245

CLEVELAND, OHIO SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1914.

SIX MONTHS, 50 CENTS. \$1.00 PER YEAR

RANGEL—CLINE DEFENSE STORIES

D. R. Rosas, First Defendant Tried at Special Term in San Antonio, Acquitted.

San Antonio, Sept. 7.—The defense in the Rosas-Cline case, now on trial in the special session of court for September, is just fairly getting into action. D. R. Rosas, the first case to be put upon trial, has been acquitted.

At the opening of the trial attorneys for the defense made motions to quash the special venire and the special term of court. Both motions were overruled by Judge S. A. Anderson, of the thirty-seventh District Court.

Ten jurors were accepted the first day as follows: R. J. Moore, Emil F. Maurer, O. H. Herderson, J. C. Johnson, T. F. Coffey, C. W. Gray, P. Karcher, G. Zenger, August Zandec and R. D. Crane. Judge Anderson ordered twenty salaried jurors summoned for the next morning at 9:30 and five of them the two remaining jurors, Ben Schweman and George Houston were selected.

Eugene Buck, sheriff and only member of the grand jury which indicted all the men, was only one of the witnesses. He gave the same testimony which has been given at the trials of the men who have already been convicted at previous sessions of court and which he has gotten down pretty pat now.

The charge against Rosas was also the same as that against the six convicted and sentenced. The only difference seems to have been in the shape of the jurors' heads.

Jesse Campbell, the man who signed his name to the promise of the officers that these men would be allowed to proceed in peace to the Mexican border, was also a witness. Evidently the judge considered his word on the witness stand as worthless as it was on that paper given to the witnesses.

The fact that the other six men who were tried, before the Rangeli-Cline Defense Committee had time to gather its forces together, gain publicity for the cause and secure a fund for an adequate defense, were all sentenced, makes this victory the more significant.

Leading men and women all over the country are not taking up the matter and it is quite a different situation from that of a few friends, manly and unmanly, being hustled to life imprisonment off in one corner of the country to gratify rare and class spite with nobody to know or care.

Conspicuous among those who are now active in these cases are Eugene V. Debs and Leonard D. Abbott. The former has issued a ringing call to all friends of labor on behalf of these men in an editorial in the Rip-Saw which is being written in their behalf wherever he goes.

All the cases which have resulted in convictions will be appealed and carried to the Supreme Court. If necessary the attorneys for the defense. They declare the battle has just begun for the freedom of these men.

Workers of the World Awaken
By Joe Hill.
Workers of the world, awaken,
Break your chains. Demand your
rights.
All the wealth you make is taken
By exploiting parasites.
Shall you kneel in deep submission,
From your cradles to your graves,
In the height of your ambition,
To be good and willing slaves?

Workers of the World, Awaken,
Rise in all your splendid might,
Take the wealth which you are
making.
It belongs to you by right,
No one will for bread be crying,
We will crush the greedy sharks
Like a sweeping, surging tide;
For united we are standing
Firm and armed with our might,
Let this be our understanding:
"All for One and One for All!"

Workers of the World, Awaken,
Rise in all your splendid might,
Take the wealth which you are
making.
It belongs to you by right,
No one will for bread be crying,
We will crush the greedy sharks
Like a sweeping, surging tide;
For united we are standing
Firm and armed with our might,
Let this be our understanding:
"All for One and One for All!"

ENANCIPATION

War Joke No. 5701



THE DISARMAMENT OF EUROPE?

Occasionally, some capitalist mouthpiece gives the searcher after causes, a glimpse behind the scenes of the great conflict now going on in Europe. We have long suspected that international capitalists were the real arbiters of the war program, and that for some reason they seemed to have singled out Germany as the "victim" of their machinations. The financial column of the New York Times of Sept. 10, gives us a glimpse of the inner machinery of the European banking system tending to confirm that suspicion. It is couched as a "rumor," of course, but appears none the less probable. Here it is:

It was learned that some of those officially concerned in world politics had reason to believe that before Germany went into the war German bankers who were consulted by the imperial government with reference to furnishing funds to carry on the hostilities agreed that they would be in a position to provide the financial means to conduct the war for a month or two, but merely for as long a period as six months. At that time the feeling existed in German official circles, it was said, that the German armies would enter Paris within three weeks after hostilities began. In fact, information has been received here that the German government is so told the bankers who were asked to arrange the war fund. Double the three weeks' period has elapsed since the war began, and the German forces are not in possession of the French capital. According to today's report, German bankers whose financial resources are feeling the effect of the war are endeavoring to have taken the initiative in an effort to obtain the assistance of certain American banking interests in furthering the peace movement.

This is an interesting flash-light on the financial situation as pertaining to the European war. Why are the "German bankers" so conservative? What is the matter with their "patriotism"? Are they afraid to "go forward with the Kaiser and god" to the conquest of the world? A person at all informed on our banking system, will of course not be puzzled over these questions. He will know that there is no such thing as a "national banking system," even if there are "national banks." The banking system is international in scope and operations. No "national banker" can operate independently of the ensemble of bankers, and that is the "Times" rumor is correct, that the ensemble of European financiers is against German arms in the great conflict. If any suggestive evidence is needed, we have only to take the statements of British spokesmen and diplomats, who the last few days expressed themselves as really insulted over the offer of the United States through President Wilson to mediate the struggle and bring about peace. The Britishers insist in confident terms that they will not consider peace terms until Germany is thoroughly licked, no matter how long it may take. This may seem roughly licked, no matter how long it has a different foundation. The British and their allies very probably "feel their oats" liter-

ally, in the form of unlimited financial assistance with which to wage the war. The "financial ensemble" of European bankers is very likely with them (the allies) to the finish. A more thorough knowledge of the ramifications of "international capitalist investments" throughout Europe would enable us to make the point clearer. "British imperialism" and military chiefs not only feel that Germany must be subdued, but also DISARMED, at least as far as her navy is concerned. They do not state as to whether England proposes to give up her navy also. But this very pronouncement of English official mouthpieces suggests the possible disarmament of Europe as the final objective of the war.

And why not? Isn't the idea of disarmament perfectly proper and in order, in the interest of "international capitalists"? The standing army is an INTERNAL national menace, as well as a constant invitation to international rupture of trade and exploitation. "Anti-militarism" has been a burning issue of the European labor movements for many years. It has been growing in force year by year. It afforded a rallying cry for international working class solidarity. That rallying cry was always coupled with the proposal of a GENERAL STRIKE AGAINST WAR, and the proposal of a general strike for any purpose is most disquieting to the ruling class. That internal menace and the still more obvious menace of international warfare, were reasons sufficient for the move of international capitalists toward the disarmament of Europe. Since German militarism has necessarily developed most menacing features, its destruction is the more logical point of attack upon the whole system. German bankers, as well as the others, could be depended on in a move of this sort, in the hands of your real masters! How hopeless your struggle, if the ensemble of financiers be against you!

The disarmament of Europe would not, of course, mean the disarmament of the capitalists against the working class. They (the masters) would only change the form of their coercive machine. A "volunteer" militia, private "guards," still pigeons and spotters—in short, the American system might still be substituted for militarism in Europe. It would afford an opportunity for the masters to get more direct control over their slaves—always an objective with the ruling class. It would result in more intensive exploitation, with a better chance for European capitalists to reach out after the markets of the world, in competition with the United States and later on of China. Its disadvantages might have to be figured on later; but the masters no doubt consider themselves resourceful enough to meet those disadvantages (such as a possible decline of patriotism, and a development of international solidarity) and rid itself of the menace of militarism. In view of the facts above stated, we think it more than probable that the disarmament of Europe will mark the end of the great war.

AMALGAMATED AND MILITIA RULE BUTTE

(Special to Solidarity)
Butte, Mont., Sept. 10.—There was little of importance here to report to you in the last few days. The masters are determined to hold Fellow Worker Shannon, and bail is refused to him. The Amalgamated Copper Co. has a move on foot to bring the men out of the open camp, and have made an announcement to that effect. But all are not taking that seriously. Here is a good story, worth making a paragraph to give to you. The admission to the court house where the troops are stationed. One of our fellow workers went up there and made the boys in jail. He was stopped by the guard and an old rustling card and showed it to the soldier. The soldier said, "This is a 'Well' said the gunman, 'I have never seen a card like that before, but it says Amalgamated Copper Co. on the top, and therefore it must be all right.' By the way, the fellow worker went unscathed. Mr. McDonald and J. E. Bradley have also been captured and put in the coop.

APPELLATE COURT AGAINST FORD AND SUHR

(Special to Solidarity)
Sacramento, Calif., Sept. 10.—The third Appellate Court has at last handed down its decision. It upheld the decision of the Yuba County court. This means that Fellow Workers Ford and Suhr will be taken to the penitentiary to spend the rest of their lives for going to strike for better conditions. This would place Suhr and Ford to all intents and purposes come to time by showing your solidarity. Hold protest meetings. Give the case all the publicity you can. Write Governor Johnson protesting against the men being sent to the penitentiary for the strike. Funds will be needed to carry this case to the Supreme Court. Send all funds to C. L. Lambert, secretary of the Wheatland Defense Committee, 114 I St., Sacramento, Calif.

JOHN PANCNER DEFENSE COMMITTEE

We again call your attention to the case of John Pancner, who was tried before a jury in Tonopah, Nevada, for the crime of assault with a weapon with intent to kill. On the 27th of August he was convicted and sentenced to the penitentiary for no less than one year or more than eighteen months. This is one most of contradictory testimony. JOHN PANCNER IS A NATIONAL ORGANIZER OF THE I. W. W. The crime of assault with intent to kill was committed by Pancner in the penitentiary not because he committed any crime, but because he was in the union hall when the trial it was proven that the gang of SCABS broke to the union hall and set the "PURPOSE OF WRECKING IT." When Pancner came on the scene they tried to beat him out and run him out of town, he protected himself by shooting one man in the back of the head. THIS IS THE I. W. W. PENITENTIARY RAILROAD TO THE PEN.
This case must be appealed. To do this we must have your support. The Defense Committee is at 1187 I St. It will take at least \$1000 to get through with this case. Will you be the first to contribute liberally as you can, and above all,

NEWSBOYS STRIKE IN SASKATOON, CANADA

Through a desire to appropriate themselves all the profits accruing from the increased sales—due to the war excitement—the Saskatoon Daily Star raised the price of papers to three cents each.
This was met by protesting them for two days. The boys gathered around the "edit" office as usual, waiting for the papers to come out. Then they pounced upon them and began tearing up the papers; and the strike was on. It was interesting to watch them destroying the papers of the "edit" office. The I. W. W. held street meetings in their behalf, and now the boys are back at work, but the Saskatoon news boys have signed a union in solidarity and are of the "wooden shoe."
If the rising generation can be induced by these boys, war slavery is nearing its end. Working men take a lesson from the boys.
Yours,
J. I. TURNER.

ENGLISH AND GERMAN RIVALRY

Commercial Reasons For A Most Bitter Conflict Between Saxons and Teutons.

By Guglielmo Ferrero
(The Noted Historian and Author
on Militarism.)
In this gigantic war the combatants are actuated by different motives and for different interests. Each is acting with different means of offense and defense; each, in a word, occupies a position peculiar to itself.
In the midst of the confusion of events which will soon overwhelm us from every side, it will be impossible to keep one's bearings, unless he knows the position of each belligerent power.
So, as we go on observing, as occasion may offer, we shall discuss these interests, motives, and methods of offense and defense. Today we shall examine this point: For what reason has England taken the field on the side of France.

Speech of Grey
In the speech delivered in the house of commons on August 3, Sir Edward Grey clearly defined England's position: "The English and English general staffs had for some time been working out the plans of the events of the war, and the two governments might have to carry out, if they should some day find themselves fighting side by side. Everything was ready for an offensive and defensive operation, but the two governments had not yet assumed reciprocal obligations of any kind."
On the evening of Aug. 3, England could still declare itself neutral, and still decline itself from trying to persuade it not to take up arms. For what reason? England declares war on Germany on the day following.

The apparent reason was Belgium. On that very day the chancellor of the German empire, Bethmann-Hollweg, declared that Germany would violate the neutrality of Belgium, because such a violation cannot be considered international law. And the next day England declared war on Germany with the ultimatum: "Respect Belgium or go to war."
But Belgium was the pretext for the war rather than the reason—the magnificent excuse given by Germany to the party in England that for long had been wanting war. England also had violated the neutrality of Belgium. By violating Germany was affronting England, whose honor was thus impudently unshaken the sword.

It is England's peace and partisans of war have been—and cannot fail to be—in agreement in occupying the same ground as soon as the German armies had crossed the Belgian frontier.
But it is not only even if Germany had not imprudently violated the neutrality of Belgium, England would have sought and found another pretext.
ENGLAND'S REAL REASON
For a long time the Conservative party and a considerable section of the liberal party of England had been agreed that if Germany should attack France, England must take the field with Russia in its defense in order to prevent Germany from further aggrandizement upon the continent and from becoming the arbiter of Europe.
This is the real reason why England today stands side by side with France against Germany, just as a century ago it did with Prussia in the struggle against Napoleon.

It is a most potent reason, for Germany has now taken a point in its history and development at which it might as well have proclaimed more dangerous to England than the Napoleonic empire was a century ago.
Whoever needs to be convinced of it has only to study the statistics of the production of iron, and there he will find the key to the Anglo-German conflict.
England, the richer, but Germany has far the greater population. With some territory upon the continent and with its natural rapid growth this population is now a matter of life or death. THE IMPORTANCE OF IRON
In a certain sense I should almost be tempted to say that the struggle between France and Germany is fiercer than that between Germany and France. Germany and France are enemies for historic and moral reasons.
In the last few years Germany has become, after the United States, the second metal working nation in the world. It has now the United States manufactured about 30,000,000 tons of iron and steel.

