

Comrade Gyptner will report in detail upon the organisational question. The difference between the political and the organisational strength of our leagues is very great. Very often we have a great influence upon the masses without the corresponding organisational strength.

With regard to the reorganisation of the Leagues there is no special progress to record. The Leagues have taken too little active interest in this question.

The question of military young workers organisations is no longer limited to Germany. Similar organisations have already been formed in France. Such organisations are also necessary in Norway, Sweden and Czechoslovakia.

The relations with the parties are not yet sufficiently close. The circular of the Presidium of the Comintern in connection with the Day of Youth has not been carried out. Unsatisfactory relations with the party exist in Yugoslavia and Spain.

Much too little is done on the field of sports. The Leagues show much too little interest here.

The relations of the E.C. of the Y.C.I. to the Young Communist Leagues are good, even if the Leagues occasionally request the adoption of a more mild tone from us.

The attitude of the Y.C.I. in internal party questions is already known. In Germany alone a small section of the membership is in the opposition, however, it is at the most five per cent. The Y.C.I. is completely united. Comrades Vujovich and Michalec must not be permitted to carry on factional activity. There are no defeatist tendencies in our Leagues. There is a firm confidence in our own strength and in our final victory. (Applause.)

After the short break Comrade GYPTNER gave a brief report on the 18th session of the International Executive Committee in Moscow on the organisational question.

If the absolute growth of the Y.C.I. includes 120,000 members, the leagues are strengthened and stabilised. The successes in the colonies are still small. The press has grown, but its distribution is still insufficient.

The centre of the work of the Y.C.I. were the British campaign, the Chinese campaign, the struggle against the social democratic youth organisations, the organisation of the 12th International Day of Youth, the struggle against white terror.

The E.C. of the Y.C.I. sent enlarged delegations to the leagues, the recent national congresses of the leagues were on a

very high level. In the agitation and propaganda work the political minimum was reached, there were seven league schools. The connection with the leagues is excellent. The young pioneer organisations are growing. The fractional work in the sport organisations has improved. It remains to stress that the

Joint decisions were passed with the Presidium of the C.I., on the children's movement, on organisational questions and on the support by the parties. The work of the E.C. of the Y.C.I. was rationalised. The secretariat and the committees intensified their work, the publishing activity was decreased, the information department is working well, the connection of the nuclei has begun.

(Applause to Gyptner)

The presidium of the secretariat has to be newly elected, the presidium must become more active, the committees of the E.C. of the Y.C.I. must be improved, the country committees must be regulated. The co-operation with the organisational department of the E.C. of the Y.C.I. with the I.R.A. and the Peasants' Council must be strengthened and the reporting work on league problems improved. International conferences on organisation, agitation and propaganda and the press as well as conferences with the Balkans and South Africa must be held. Those colonies where no foothold has as yet been gained, must receive greater attention. A staff of international propagandists must be formed. (Applause.)

In the discussion spoke comrades Gorlow (Soviet Union), Bela Kun, Rashko (Balkans), Jones (Great Britain), Barbe (France), Williamson (America) and Corkie (E.C. of the Y.C.I.).

(Applause to Williamson)

Eighth Session. (18th of November). (Applause to Williamson)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Ninth Session. (19th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Tenth Session. (20th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Eleventh Session. (21st of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Twelfth Session. (22nd of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Thirteenth Session. (23rd of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Fourteenth Session. (24th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Fifteenth Session. (25th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Sixteenth Session. (26th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Seventeenth Session. (27th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Eighteenth Session. (28th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

Nineteenth Session. (29th of November). (Applause to Reisinger)

The following comrades spoke in the continuation of the discussion upon the report of the E.C. of the Y.C.I.: Mariava (Rumania), Paasonen (Finland), Endener (Switzerland), Vartanyan (E.C. of the Y.C.I.), Antonio (Argentina), Willems (Belgium), Meixis (E.C. of the Y.C.I.) and Reisinger (Austria).

(Applause to Reisinger)

SPECIAL NUMBER

English Edition

Unpublished Manuscripts - Please reprint

- INTERNATIONAL - PRESS CORRESPONDENCE

30th November 1926

Vol. 6 No. 81

Editorial Offices and Central Despatching Department: Berggasse 31, Vienna IX. — Postal Address, 1000, to which all remittances should be sent by registered mail: Postamt 80, Schlesischstrasse 212, Vienna IX. Telegraphic Address: lapkorr, Vienna.

A telephone circuit has been established for direct communication with the editorial offices.

Economics and Economic Policy in the III. Quarter of 1926.

(Concluded up to 20. October 1926.)

By E. V. Vargha.

CONTENTS

I. General Section.

- 1. Unemployment; currency questions; price development.
- 2. The effect of the English coal lockout on the world economic situation.
- 3. International regroupings; reparations and inter allied debts.
- 4. The formation of international cartels. The international steel cartel.
- 5. The world's crops.

II.

SPECIAL SECTION

- 1. Germany.
- 2. Poland.
- 3. France.
- 4. Italy.
- 5. England.
- 6. The United States.

British heavy industry, which is very little trustified owing to the absence of protective tariffs, and lacks a unifying organisation, remains at present outside of the continental steel cartel, which includes Germany, France, Belgium, and Luxembourg, and will also comprise in the near future, Czechoslovakia, Poland, and Austria. The collective visit paid by German industrialists to England in October, and the formation of a committee of English and German industrialists, may signify the beginning of England's inclusion in the European cartel, the more that the position of the British coal mining industry, especially the miners, is a difficult one. The miners' strike, which began in September, has not yet been settled, and the miners' demands are not yet fully met.

In Czechoslovakia and Italy, on the other hand, the economic situation has decidedly worsened. The Scandinavian countries, returning to the gold standard, are also contending against acute economic difficulties. The formation of the continental European steel cartel, and the economic and political rapprochement between Germany and France, is giving rise to various hostile economic-political combinations. We hear of a Franco-German bloc, of an Anglo-Swiss bloc, and of a German-American bloc, each bloc being a loose confederation of states which have agreed to maintain a common policy in foreign trade.

The most important economic political event which has taken place is the formation of the steel cartel on the continent of Europe. The tendency towards the formation of international cartels, hitherto only successful on a small scale, can therefore record a decided advance. There is no doubt whatever that the steel cartel will soon be followed by similar agreements in the raw iron industry, and in the trades working up iron and steel.

8018 TEAT. 101. 111. 0

severe blow to Italy, which has to import large quantities of coal, iron, and steel. And it is to be easily understood that England, which has for generations made use of Franco-German antagonisms in order to dominate the European continent, is seeking to counterweigh against the Franco-German rapprochement. We are of the opinion, however, that everything is still in a state of flux, and that the interests at stake are too intertangled to be simply separated into a Franco-German bloc opposing an Anglo-British bloc.

On the other hand, the formation of the steel cartel, and the rapprochement between Germany and France, signify in a certain sense a combination in Europe against the United States and their growing influence in Europe. But even this tendency is by no means consistent, for England and America act together on many points, whilst in the one hand the basis of the Franco-German rapprochement, the realisation of the railway bonds, cannot be maintained without the aid of the United States. The conditions are extremely complicated, and in a constant state of fermentation. There is only one constant factor: the struggle of all capitalist States for markets and for the sources of raw materials, and the pursuit of hegemony by a preparation for new imperialist conflicts, thinly veiled by peace treaties and League of Nations ideology.

1. UNEMPLOYMENT

In the countries whose currencies are not yet stabilised — France, Belgium, and Italy, there is but little unemployment; in Italy, however, unemployment is increasing. In England, the number of unemployed seems, according to all appearance, to be stated at too low a figure; the data of production do not in the least agree with the small number of unemployed as given in the official statements. To give one example only: in the textile industry one week's work alternates with two weeks' idleness. But this fact does not appear in the published statements on the number of unemployed.

Unemployment has greatly decreased in Germany. This is due to the English coal lock-out, the favourable season, the number of workers who have run out of unemployment benefit, and to a small extent to improved internal conditions.

That there is no actual lessening of unemployment is shown by a comparison of the figures for July (the last month for which data are available for all countries) with July, 1925 and July 1924. A great increase in unemployment is observable in all countries. At the present moment, there is no indication whatever, despite the relative stabilisation, that unemployment is tending to disappear as a permanent mass phenomenon in the period of decline of capitalism.

Currency Questions.

The process of stabilisation of the currencies has made further progress during the last few months. The non-stabilised currencies are, in general, tending to rise in value, with the exception of the Spanish which has slightly depreciated. Of the currencies subject to the greatest fluctuations, the French franc has been comparatively stable of late, except for the daily fluctuations. The Polish currency has improved by approximately 15 per cent in relation to the dollar; the Italian currency has recovered from the depreciation of the second quarter, and now stands at about the same level as before the last drop, about 4 cents to the lira. Denmark and Norway have been endeavouring to restore the gold standard; Denmark has already attained this object, whilst Norway has still some considerable way to go.

The stabilisation of the Belgian franc appears to be on the point of being realised. Belgium will receive a large foreign loan from England and America, a sum of 100 million dollars is mentioned. Besides this, the central banks of issue headed by the Bank of England, will open a rediscount credit to the Belgian bank of issue, to the amount of 50 million dollars. The German Reichsbank is taking part in this action in support of the Belgian franc. This represents an important innovation in international currency policy, and may be taken as testimonial from the Anglo-American financial world, to the effect that the German bank of issue can participate in international actions in support of currency.

The coal lock-out has been disastrous to England's trade balance, and the Bank of England has been obliged to place fairly large amounts of gold upon the world markets during the last few weeks, in order to maintain the pound at par. We are

not aware whether the 300 million dollars credit lies upon

in America, at the time of the restoration to the gold standard, has already been drawn upon by the Bank of England.

The currency reform in India is a matter of special interest. The Indian currency is to be stabilised at a rate of one shilling and a half to the rupee, on a gold basis. Gold is, however, not to be put into circulation, but the basis is to be maintained by securing the gold parity of the rupee by means of the sale and purchase of gold. The money in circulation is to be paper and silver coins as hitherto.

This currency reform has evoked much opposition in the bourgeois wing of the Indian nationalists. India is well known to be rich in gold. There is no country in the world where gold has been employed in such gigantic quantities as treasure, for purposes of ornament and as material or object of art. This oppositional wing is of the opinion that the introduction into India of gold circulation would be likely to draw enormous quantities of gold from its own reserves, and change it into the form of money, so that a colossal amount of capital would be released for Indian economic life, and India would become one of the greatest of capital exporting countries. This would, of course, be against the interests of the British banking world.

Prices.

In those countries whose currencies have been stable for some time, prices are falling steadily. In England, the price index figures have fallen by 10 points since the beginning of the year. It is a peculiar problem, how a lasting economic prosperity is compatible with steadily falling prices, the falling prices being chiefly those of industrial articles. In Germany this tendency has been counterbalanced by the increased duties. The price movement in the countries with fluctuating currencies naturally follows the rate of exchange.

2. THE EFFECTS OF THE ENGLISH COAL LOCK-OUT ON THE INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC SITUATION.

As we have already pointed out, the lock-out in the British coal industry has had far-reaching effects on world economics. These effects may be recorded as follows:

1. Greatly increased output in the coal producing countries of Europe, according to the latest available statistics.
2. A great clearance of the stocks at the pitheads.
3. A complete permutation of the direction of coal exports in the world's markets.
4. Increase in the price of coal.
5. Shortage of coal and export prohibitions in Europe.

6. Increased shipping freight rates.

The general result is improved conditions in those countries in which heavy industry plays an important part. The following table gives a survey of the world's production:

The World's Output of Coal

in million tons (approximate)

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925
United Kingdom	24.3	11.7	4.8	3.0	1.9	8.2	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany	23.5	5.2	4.0	3.0	1.9	10.2	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austria	22.6	9.9	4.9	2.7	1.9	10.2	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
France	20.6	11.1	5.1	3.0	1.9	11.1	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
U.S.A.	21.9	10.1	5.8	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other countries	10.7	5.0	1.9	0.9	0.9	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	11.8	5.5	2.1	1.0	0.9	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Monthly average

in thousand tons (approximate)

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925
United Kingdom	24.3	11.7	4.8	3.0	1.9	8.2	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany	23.5	5.2	4.0	3.0	1.9	10.2	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austria	22.6	9.9	4.9	2.7	1.9	10.2	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
France	20.6	11.1	5.1	3.0	1.9	11.1	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
U.S.A.	21.9	10.1	5.8	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other countries	10.7	5.0	1.9	0.9	0.9	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	11.8	5.5	2.1	1.0	0.9	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

in thousand tons (approximate)

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925
United Kingdom	24.3	11.7	4.8	3.0	1.9	8.2	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany	23.5	5.2	4.0	3.0	1.9	10.2	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austria	22.6	9.9	4.9	2.7	1.9	10.2	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
France	20.6	11.1	5.1	3.0	1.9	11.1	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
U.S.A.	21.9	10.1	5.8	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other countries	10.7	5.0	1.9	0.9	0.9	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	11.8	5.5	2.1	1.0	0.9	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

in thousand tons (approximate)

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925
United Kingdom	24.3	11.7	4.8	3.0	1.9	8.2	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany	23.5	5.2	4.0	3.0	1.9	10.2	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Austria	22.6	9.9	4.9	2.7	1.9	10.2	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
France	20.6	11.1	5.1	3.0	1.9	11.1	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
U.S.A.	21.9	10.1	5.8	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other countries	10.7	5.0	1.9	0.9	0.9	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	11.8	5.5	2.1	1.0	0.9	4.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

in thousand tons (approximate)

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	--

These miseries and complicated relations are forcing the States in question to seek new solutions, new alliances. Carefully concealed behind protestations of peace and League of Nations ideology, they are all preparing for fresh wars.

Whilst the governments are defending the interests of their bourgeoisie in the League of Nations by means of diplomacy, and outside of it by means of suave phrases and punitive expeditions, the bourgeoisie itself is taking a direct hand in foreign policy. The heavy industrial bourgeoisie creates the steel cartel. German industrialists journey to England and America. The fight for markets and for the sources of raw materials is in full swing. We attempt to indicate below such of these efforts as have already taken a concrete form.

The increase of the reparation payments to 1500 million marks.

It was agreed that Germany should pay 1200 million gold marks in the third reparation year. As a matter of fact, through voluntary agreement, Germany has pledged herself to pay 300 million marks more, 1500 million marks.

How has this come about? In the London agreement we read (subsection 1 to section 1, chapter 1, § 2):

"Should the proceeds of the controlled sources of revenue... (duties, levies on brandy, beer, tobacco, and sugar) exceed one milliard in the third year, the payments made by the treasury are to be increased in each case by a third of this excess, but not by more than 250 million marks."

And now the selfish financial policy of the German bourgeoisie, which has insisted on basing state finance precisely on these indirect taxes, has actually brought about the unexpected, and has provided the basis for the increase of the reparation payments.

The revenues from the duties pledged to the reparation payments have been as follows during the past half year:

Tobacco	220 million marks
Sugar	142 "
Beer	142 "
Brandy	93 "
Duties	414 "
Total	1013 million marks

For the whole year these taxes will yield more than double the one milliard anticipated by the Dawes plan. Germany will thus pay 250 million marks extra in each of the two coming years, the fourth and fifth reparation years. If direct taxation had been increased instead of this indirect taxation, these extra payment would not have been demanded of Germany. These same German national politicians, who preach eternal hate against the Entente, have created this situation by their taxation policy in favour of the possessing classes, to the great joy of the French!

The German government has succeeded, by means of a special agreement, in having the extra payment accepted in the form of twelve monthly instalments paid this year, totalling 300 million marks, instead of the two payments of 250 million marks in the fourth and fifth reparation years. The agreement provides that these instalments are to be paid in commodities only, not by means of cash transfer. It is characteristic of the discipline maintained by the German bourgeois press that no excitement was shown anywhere at the fresh burdens thus imposed upon Germany; and this agreement between the government and the reparation agents has been represented as a success for Germany, which has received a very high rate of discount in return for its readiness to pay in such good time!

For the rest, the payments for the second year of reparations have been made without difficulty, as follows:

243 million marks by means of the 20 per cent levy to England and France; and 11 billions in cash to the American agent to rob the English and French. The remainder has been paid in the form of interest on the Dawes loan, costs of occupation, etc. So far the Entente bourgeoisie has succeeded in robbing the German working people with comparative ease.

Thoiry, the mobilisation of the railway debentures. The Franco-German rapprochement connects all our minds with the catchword of Thoiry, represents the political

reflection of the growing economic connection of the German and French bourgeoisie. The Versailles treaty destroyed many economic connections. It dismembered the coal monopoly by giving Alsace and the Alsatian potash deposits to France. Since France has separated German coal from Lorraine ore. Since France has failed in her attempt to crush Germany by the occupation of the Ruhr, the German and French bourgeoisie have restored many connections by means of a number of private agreements and negotiations: the potash agreement, steel agreement, operation in the reparations in kind in the building industry, etc., and have again interwoven their common interests.

This change in the economic basis is only penetrating with great difficulty into the ideological superstructure. Politics, the big bourgeoisie of both countries have already sworn close friendship, but their political parties, their press, and the political hirelings on both banks of the Rhine, continue their old warcries, if not quite so loudly as before. The efforts towards friendliness between Stresemann and Briand are the political expression of the changed economic basis.

What is the real import of Thoiry? According to all reports the following: Germany is prepared to give financial aid to France for the purpose of stabilisation, in return for which France evacuates the Rhine country in the near future, and returns the Saar district to Germany, immediately on receipt of the sum prescribed by the peace treaty. Besides this, the international military control is to be removed, whilst Germany carries out her disarmament, and submits to a strict control of her armaments by the League of Nations.

How can Germany help France financially? By the mobilisation of the railway debentures. In accordance with the Dawes plan, debenture certificates for the German state railways were issued for the value of 11 milliard gold marks, and placed in the hands of the Belgian trustee Delacroix. This debt is to be liable — after the expiration of the fourth year — to 5 per cent interest and 1 per cent amortisation.

But as a matter of fact, according to the Dawes agreement, it is not Germany that has the right to sell these debentures, but the trustee. Formally, Germany has no right to say a word in the matter at all!

Why is Germany's help required? The right possessed by the Entente to sell these debentures is incompatible with the fundamental principle of the Dawes plan, which permits transfer only when this does not endanger the German currency. Should the debentures be sold, the reparation debt is converted from an inter-State obligation to a debt owing by the German state to private persons, and interest and principle will have to be paid, whether the German currency is thereby endangered or not, as the individual debenture holders will insist upon their interest.

Up to the present Germany has made all reparation payments from the foreign loans. It is by no means certain that

Germany is capable of paying the reparations alone. Hence the resistance of the German public against the mobilisation of the railway debentures, that is, against taking up another large foreign loan in favour of the Entente. We repeat: Germany has no formal right to interfere in the matter, but nobody would buy the debentures if Germany should raise an alarm against it.

Another difficulty is that these debentures only bear 5 per cent interest, so that they would only sell at a very low rate, perhaps 70 to 80 per cent, in view of the fact that at the present time good German loans bear 7 per cent interest and more. For Germany the rate of sale is a matter of indifference, since this makes no difference to the payments imposed upon her. France, who is urgently in need of money, would raise no objection. England, who is not in such urgent need of money, will make difficulties, since she can hope to receive the full amount later on, when Germany's credit has improved.

There is of course no thought of throwing the amount of 11 billions on the market. The capital market of the world is much too small for such a sum of 11 billions may be tried, even though this amount cannot be repaid without the participation of American capital.

600 million marks by means of commodities.

The remainder has been paid in the form of interest on the Dawes loan, costs of occupation, etc. So far the Entente bourgeoisie has succeeded in robbing the German working people with comparative ease.

Poincaré has made repeated attempts towards the ratification of the debt agreement, but has invariably been compelled to retreat before the disapproval of public opinion. The French people, impoverished and embittered by the inflation, cannot understand why it should pay the debt incurred by a joint war. It is in vain that Mellon, in his reply to Peabody, has brought forward statistics proving that all debts originating in the period before the armistice have been cancelled by the Mellon-Berenger Agreement, and that France is only called upon to pay the interest and principal of the debts contracted since. The French people will not hear anything whatever of payments to America, especially as long as there exists the danger that Germany will not pay the reparations. Hence the demand for the "sauvegarde" enactment, that is, France's payments are to be made dependent on the receipt of the reparations from Germany, and for the transfer enactment, that is, France can only be required to pay her debts — in the same way as Germany her reparations — so long as the stability of her currency is not endangered by the transfer.

Poincaré can wait for the time being. The franc has been stable, of late, the budget approximately balanced. A few small loans in Holland and Switzerland have made it possible to replenish the Morgan fund again to its original amount. The French currency is not threatened by any immediate danger. Many French people hope that the Congress elections in November will bring a changed attitude towards the French debt question in America. And as a matter of fact, a few voices in America, Peabody, Simonds, are being raised in America for the cancellation or for fresh regulation of the debts. The great demonstration of war disabled soldiers against the American debt demands made a great impression in America. But Mellon, after four months in Europe, reported that Europe, especially France, was very well off, and that the debt agreement should not be altered.

In this connection Mellon expressed himself as opposed to those Franco-German plans aiming at putting the French currency on a sound basis by means of the one-sided mobilisation of the German railway debentures. The American government could not support this project unless France ratifies the Washington agreement, and then applies to the American or the Anglo-American money market for a large currency loan, for which security is to be provided by the French share of the German state railway debentures. This is not advisable to re-examine the whole of the European debt question until the European currencies have been restored to a sound basis, and it is possible to judge the actual solvency of Europe.

The great American bankers, and the European ambassadors of the United States who met at Washington at the beginning of October, are said to share Mellon's opinion. France is not to receive help until she has ratified the agreement. This view is contradicted by the fact that a gigantic international consortium is alleged to be in course of formation in London for the purpose of placing the German railway debentures on the money market. The members of this consortium are stated to be: Schröder, London; Schröder, America; Blyth, Wittner and Co., America; Union Paribas, Dresdner Bank, and one large money institute in each of the following countries: Holland, Belgium, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, Sweden, and Austria. Stresemann's paper ("Politische Rundschau") writes that it can safely be taken for granted that the German State Railway debentures are the master in hand. It is rather striking that the really large American banks of issue are constituents by their absence from the list. It remains to be seen what will come out of the affair.

The French debt question has thus made no progress during the last few months, neither the English nor the American agreement having been ratified. The situation remains unchanged. The formation of the international steel trust shows more clearly than ever that the state apparatus of the bourgeois state, with all its ministries, parliaments, etc., is merely the executive organ of the bourgeoisie. Unanimous reports show that this international contract contains a great number of political tariff agreements, which will have to be included in the impending Franco-German trade agreement. On the other hand, it is plain that the negotiations for the Franco-German trade agreement have been unsuccessful for years for the simple reason that hitherto the

negotiations. There is little doubt that this will be speedily followed by a crude iron cartel and by combines merging the most important semi-manufactures: rails, wire, plates, sheet iron, etc.

The driving force behind these efforts toward international cartels is German heavy industry. Although no official documents have been published, it appears certain that the German heavy industrialists finally sacrificed a part of the quota originally allotted them in order to satisfy the Belgian demands, which had so long prevented the conclusion of the agreement. It is not easy to understand why German heavy industry has been so urgent in this matter. The fact that the disproportion between producing capacity and markets is greater in the German heavy industry than anywhere else would rather lead to the contrary attitude. It seems, however, as if German heavy industry hopes to be able to earn high profits after the rationalisation has been carried out, the more so that the lower rate of German wages will decrease the costs of production as compared with those in France's heavy industry, and further hopes to extend its sales in the world's markets, despite the heavy penalties imposed by the agreement on those exceeding the quota.

The successful results of the rationalisation may be seen from the following table:

Crude steel	Daily output per worker
August 1925	1290 tons = 100
August 1926	1769 tons = 143,8

That German heavy industry is actually preparing to exceed its quota, despite the high fines, may be seen from the estimate of one of the best known Rhenish heavy industrialists, who calculates the fine to be paid by Germany at 8 to 12 million marks for the first year.

The necessity of cartels, from the standpoint of the heavy industrial bourgeoisie, is based on the great discrepancy between producing capacity and actual production. The capacity of continental heavy industry has increased by about 30 to 40 per cent compared with 1913, whilst the production remains behind that of 1913.

The disparity between producing capacity and production has caused severe competition in the world market. Whilst the home markets of many countries are protected by tariffs, or by the depreciation of the currency, the effect of the over-production is visible in England, where before the strike the steel prices were only about 20 per cent higher than in 1913 though the wholesale index figure was 150,000 in 1913.

This does not necessarily mean that all export was at a loss, for costs of production had meanwhile been reduced by technical improvements. But the situation has at least been such that the higher prices resultant on the formation of international cartels could be justified in the eyes of the public beforehand.

The disproportion between producing capacity and actual production is not the same in all countries. It appears to be the greatest in England. Birkett, of the federation of English iron and steel industrialists states the producing capacity to have been utilised to the extent of 54 per cent for iron in April 1926, 60 per cent for steel. In Germany production is only 70 per cent of the capacity, even since the improved conditions of the past few months. For November an 80 per cent utilisation of the quotas of the crude steel association has been decided upon. The special position held by the German steel industry is reflected in the whole structure of the international cartel.

The iron industrialists and the Government. The formation of the international steel trust shows more clearly than ever that the state apparatus of the bourgeois state, with all its ministries, parliaments, etc., is merely the executive organ of the bourgeoisie. Unanimous reports show that this international contract contains a great number of political tariff agreements, which will have to be included in the impending Franco-German trade agreement. On the other hand, it is plain that the negotiations for the Franco-German trade agreement have been unsuccessful for years for the simple reason that hitherto the

1) Ludwig Gebhard: Effects of the Iron Pact. Magazin der Wirtschaft. 7. October 1926.
2) Deutsche Allgemeine Zeitung. 6. October 1926.

The proceeds from the duties and taxes on articles of consumption will far exceed the preliminary estimate. But on the other hand the property tax levy and the death duties are not bringing in as much as was estimated. Only 12 millions have been received in death duties during the first half of the year; it is evident that this tax is being evaded to a wide extent. We have already mentioned, in the general section, that the big yield from the duties and taxes on articles of consumption have brought about an increase in the reparation payments amounting to 300 million marks.

Economic policy

In economic policy the most conspicuous feature of the present epoch is the feverish formation of cartels and trusts.

The combination of undertakings in great monopolies, cartels and trusts, has assumed enormous dimensions during the last few months.

This improved prosperity is greatly due to the increased coal output and coal export resultant on the British strike.

The data are as follows:

	1925	1926	Average
Coal output	8615	11111	9813
in thousands tons	8615	11111	9813
Dec. 11	1925	1926	1925/26
Jan. 1	1926	1926	1926
April	1926	1926	1926
May	1926	1926	1926
June	1926	1926	1926
July	1926	1926	1926

This improvement has extended to the production of iron and steel, and the greater degree of employment among the working class has resulted in improved business conditions in the other industries. The improvement in the coal-mining industry has been described as follows:

"The increased output for the purpose of export has enabled all shifts to be worked again, and about 10,000 new workers to be taken on. In June the wages were increased by 10 per cent. The total wages paid by the Polish Upper Silesian workers rose to 12 billion zloty in July, as compared with 7.4 million zloty in April. In April the average wage of a worker was 107 zloty, in July 155 zloty. A further increase of 8 per cent has been decided upon by arbitration, and will probably be confirmed by the government during the next few days."

These figures show the extremely low wage level of the Polish workers: in April the monthly wage averaged 41 dollars, in July 16 dollars. At the same time the prices are steadily rising. If we take the subsistence index figure for 1924 at 100, we find it stood at 144 in January 1925, 183 in May and 187 in September 1926. The improved economic conditions are observable in the reduced unemployment. The number of unemployed, officially 360,000 in January, sank to 245,000 by the end of August, and has probably sunk further since. But even according to these figures one quarter of the population is still out of work.

To this we must add the shares sold abroad, the value of which is unknown to us. The share capital of the United Steel Works is 800 million marks: redeemed shares 125 millions, total assets 1400 million marks. Foreign capital thus participates to the extent of one half in the United Steel Works.

The I. G. Farbenindustrie is the sole combine of its kind in the world. It holds a monopolist position in the chemical industry of the whole world, and forms at the present time, with its 1100 marks of share capital and its immense profits, one of the greatest single undertakings in the world. Many practical discoveries such as that of the liquefaction of coal, are reported to be ready for practical application. The chemical trust has its own bank, the Länderbank, for its financial transactions. Although greatly interwoven with foreign undertakings, it appears to be much less dependent on foreign capital than the United Steel Works. Its influence on politics is exceedingly great. And how direct this influence is may be seen from the following notice taken from the Berlin "Börsen-Courier" of 28 August 1926:

"For about a year Poland's foreign trade balance has been favourable. This has, however, been attained by exceedingly strict restrictions on imports. As follows:

	Imports in million zloty
1. half of 1925	1048
1. half of 1926	350

Of the imports of 1926, agricultural products amounted to 282 million zloty, a consequence of the failure of the crops in 1924. The import of raw materials and finished articles has also been greatly restricted. The value of the raw materials imported has fallen from 220 millions to 132, that of finished articles from 455 to 132. This extreme restriction of imports has, of course, economically harmful results. The import of every description of important raw materials has been reduced, and also the import of machinery and apparatus, from 30,000 to 14,000 tons.

The main difficulty in the way of the Germano-Japanese trade agreement negotiations having been removed by the conclusion of an agreement between the I. G. Farbenindustrie and Japan, with reference to the German import of dyes, there is a general desire that the negotiations on the trade agreement may now be speedily brought to an end."

Besides these two gigantic trusts, we see monopolies being formed with the utmost rapidity in almost every branch of industry. At the present moment it would be difficult to find any notable branch of industry in Germany which does not participate in some monopolist combine.

"Wirtschaftsdienst," 8 October 1926.

Deutsche Presse-Agentur, Berlin, 17 August 1926.

The second point of the experts' plan which has been carried out by Poincaré is the founding of the amortisation fund. This fund is administered by a council of 30, and is independent of the state treasury. Its revenues consist of the two descriptions of tax mentioned above, and of the proceeds of the tobacco monopoly, possible surpluses from the budget, etc. Should all these sources of income prove insufficient, the fund has the right to raise loans with the bank of issue. The bank has then the right to issue new banknotes for this purpose, these not being counted in the plateau (the maximum limit allowed on the issue of bank notes).

This arrangement serves the purpose of reassuring the holders of the short-term papers (*bons de défense*), as it secures not only the payment of interest, but at the same time the redemption of the bonds as desired, independently of the financial position of the State. The public is thus induced to renew the bonds. As a matter of fact, the device has proved successful, and short-term loans have been freshly subscribed to an amount exceeding those presented for payment by 1,5 milliard francs.

Three of the main points of the experts' programme have thus been realised. Those remaining to be carried out are the ratification of the Washington and London agreements, and the raising of a large foreign loan. The latter of these points depends on the former. But the ratification of the debt agreement is the subject of considerable political difficulties, as already mentioned in the general section. Many individual politicians are in favour of the ratification. But there is no political party so courageous, in face of public opinion, as to declare itself unreservedly in favour of the ratification, not even the Socialist Party. We may thus designate the present financial policy of France as an attempt towards "national stabilisation", that is, an attempt to balance state finances without outside aid, by means of the increased taxation of the working class.

Among the measures aiming at national stabilisation are the following:

a) The purchase of gold, silver, and foreign bills by the bank of issue, in order to strengthen the reserves of gold and securities, the banknotes employed for this purpose not being counted to the plateau. The Morgan fund is said to have been replenished again to its original sum.

b) The repayment of advances made by the State to the bank.

c) The raising of the bank rate on 31 July, from 6 to 7½ per cent, and of the deposit rate from 8 to 9½ per cent.

d) The taking up of small loans abroad: Switzerland and Holland; in Switzerland 60 million Swiss francs, in Holland 30 million Dutch florins. Both loans are guaranteed by the revenues of the French state railways.

These measures have been extremely successful. The rate of exchange of the franc, which had sunk to 245 to the pound sterling when Poincaré took over the government (doubtless partially owing to the deliberate sabotage of the French big bourgeoisie), rose rapidly to 166 to the pound.

It is very doubtful whether the attempt at "national stabilisation" will be successful. It is, however, not impossible, provided the payment balance can still be made favourable. This possibility exists, since France is deriving income from the reparation payments, from the money spent by tourists in France, and from the capital still invested abroad, a foreign income against which there is only to be reckoned the money sent abroad by foreign workers employed in France (estimated at 2 milliard francs yearly). This tends to a favourable trade balance. And even if France's trade balance should be adverse to a certain degree, we are nevertheless of the opinion that France's payment balance is sound.

Foreign trade has not been unfavourable during the last few months.

Foreign trade in million francs

Imports **Exports**

June 51,87 46,72

July 50,16 52,44

August 52,20 55,43

September 46,26 51,97

The wholesale trade index during the past few months has been as follows:

June 100 100 100 100 100

July 101 101 101 101 101

August 102 102 102 102 102

September 103 103 103 103 103

The "L'Usine", 24 July 1926, business in R.F. as compared

No. of types of goods	Sept.	August	July	June
Total index	(45)	804	785	854
Home products	(29)	743	722	738
Foreign products	(16)	912	902	1,074
Foodstuffs	(20)	706	672	703
Industrial raw materials	(25)	889	885	985
Of this last group:				
Minerals and metals	(7)	941	919	1,025
Textiles	(6)	939	940	1,147
Miscellaneous	(12)	827	831	803
Rate of exchange of £ at end of month 22/23	174	172	205	168

This price table shows:

1. A continued great disparity in prices. The prices of food (especially of animal foodstuffs) are much below general price level.

2. Home products are much lower in price than imported goods; French industry has still excellent chances of good export business.

3. This disparity between home and foreign prices is tending to diminish; at the end of July the difference was 344 points, at the end of September 169.

4. The prices show a rising tendency, a tendency towards adaptation to the world's market prices, and this in spite of the actual stabilisation now reached. This adaptation has not yet been attained. A rate of exchange of 175 to the pound and a price index of 150 would correspond to a French price index figure of 1050. This is the price level which would be approximately arrived at in the case of stabilisation of any permanence, and it is very doubtful if the export chances of French industry would then be so favourable. And it is upon exports that the favourable trade balance to a great extent depends; the payment balance again depends of the trade balance, and the success of the "national stabilisation" depends on the payment balance.

As in Germany, economics in France will emerge from the inflation in a considerably weakened state, although it is probable that in France, too, there has been an extension of the actual apparatus of production. This is shown by the low quotations for shares, frequently lower in paper francs than before the war.

The Economic Situation

The stabilisation has as yet had no determinate effect upon the economic situation, for the reason that prices have not yet accommodated themselves to the change. Heavy industry has benefited by the English strike, and the output figures are higher than for the first six months of the year.

First 7 months

1925 1926

Imports Exports

1925 1926

interests on the part of such British labour leaders as Hodges, Varley, Spencer, etc., and of the General Council itself, is based entirely on the view that no step must be taken beyond the confines of the capitalist method of production.

Therefore the miners have been systematically deserted by the leaders of the British labour movement during the 6 months of their struggle. Today, when the fate of 1 million miners is at stake, we find MacDonald taking a holiday in the Sahara, Henderson on a parliamentary information tour in Australia, Hicks, the new President of the Trade Union Council, paying a visit in America, and Purcell in Mexico. Despite this systematic treachery the miners are still holding out, and it is impossible to foresee the conclusion of the fight, unless the capitalists yield to a certain extent.

The economic crisis in England.

The economic effect of the coal strike is equal to that of the severest economic crisis. An excellent description of the whole situation is given in the Conservative periodical, "Statist" in its number appearing on 14 August 1926. This periodical states that all the special articles which it publishes at the present time can only give a melancholy picture of the position of British industry and trade, its shipbuilding, shipping, iron and steel, cotton, wool, and fibre industries, and of its coal industry—these industries to which Great Britain owes its existence as the greatest trading nation of the world, and which have now sunk to a position of extreme inactivity. There is a shortage of fuel and a shortage of orders. If orders were obtainable, the prices are in general unprofitable, and the orders are only accepted in order to pay general expenses. Unemployment and part-time rule everywhere. Fixed capital is depreciating in value; circulating capital, the life blood of trade, is congealed by the stagnation of trade. The workers lose their wages. The shareholders, distributed among all the classes of the nation, lose their dividends. In this manner, the consuming powers of the population as a whole are reduced, and this reacts inevitably upon production. Leaders of industry are frequently heard to state that they cannot remember any period in which business was more stagnant than at present.

An even more pessimistic view of the situation, not only today, but in the economic and political future, has been expressed by the Rev. W. R. Ingle of St. Paul's, London, in a recently published book entitled "England". He writes that England's supremacy on the seas is ended, and with it England loses the instrument with which the Empire was built up. Maritime power depends chiefly on national wealth, and the English are no longer rich enough to build ships against all possible rivals. The dream of a federation of all English-speaking nations, for long so attractive to the English, is unreal. Should England be attacked in the future by a European coalition, it may be assumed in all probability that the United States would leave England to its fate, unless, indeed, a black army made the attack. Hence it is highly improbable that England's position as world power, made possible by a combination of circumstances which are no longer so effective as formerly, will last very much longer.

It is difficult to estimate the loss to British economics. The following estimates are from bourgeois sources:

Mill. £

"Statist", up to end of September 1926. 190

Westminster Bank Review, on the correct basis of the reduction in the number of workers employed, weekly 10.5 million, consequently about 270

Sir Hugh Bell, 3 millions daily, thus for 180 days 540

Board of Trade, for the first three months 150 million

Irons, thus up to now 300

Runciman, up to 21 June 148.5 mill. up to now about 315¹

These calculations have probably the tendency, for natural reasons, to represent the costs of the strike lower than they actually are. This is a part of the tactics employed by the bourgeoisie in its fight against the workers! In our opinion, even the highest estimate, 3 millions daily, is far beneath the actual truth.

The following table shows the number of unemployed refused benefit by the ministry of labour, drawn from "Statist" and "Labour Gazette".

	Number	%
1925 August	1,440,628	12.1
1926 April	1,093,820	9.2
(May) 1926	1,719,379	14.5
June 1926	1,751,133	14.7
July 1926	1,737,260	14.6
August 1926	1,684,507	14.2
September 1926	1,660,000*	

The striking miners are not included in these figures. It is not stated whether the textile workers, who are alternating working one week with a fortnight's holiday at the present time, are included. There are besides a great number of paupers, apart from the relatives of the miners, who receive poor relief but are not included in these figures. The number of persons in receipt of poor relief on one day taken at random in June in another test day in August the number was 1,099,000; on another test day in August the number was 1,103,000**. If we calculate the number of actual unemployed the miners, the paupers, and the part-timers, we arrive at the result that at least one half of the working population of England, that is, almost one half of the total population, is living in direct poverty.

After the coal industry, heavy industry has suffered most. The production of iron and steel has practically ceased. This may be seen from the following figure:

Output of iron and steel (1000 tons):

	Iron	Steel
Monthly average 1925	628	626
April 1926	543	721
May 1926	548	672
June 1926	402	462
July 1926	402	35
August 1926	52	52
September 1926	12.5	96

The industries suffering most from the shortage of coal are of course those manufacturing iron goods. Some of these are working with foreign coal and foreign raw materials, but under these circumstances unprofitable work is an exception.

Textile industry is also suffering greatly. The high prices of coal increased threefold, mean that in most cases production is carried on at a loss, and at the beginning of October it was decided to work only every third week, and to close the factories during the alternating fortnights. This agreement has not been kept to everywhere. This is obviously to be attributed to the great fall in the price of American cotton which has caused the manufacturers to sell their old stock, even at a loss.

England's foreign trade has suffered greatly under the coal strike. During the first 9 months of 1926 foreign trade was as follows, as compared with last year's:

in million £ decrease %

	1925	1926	
Import	1965.5	1905.4	-60.1 6.2
Export of British products	579.3	405.0	-89.3 14.4
Reexport of foreign products	112.9	92.7	-20.2 17.9
Surplus of import over export	273.4	316.7	+43.3 +15.9

These figures do not require much comment. It may be observed that there has been a considerable shifting in the composition of the goods exported and imported. Coal export

amounting to 20 million tons between May and September 1925, has sunk to 12 million. On the other hand coal is being imported at the present time at the rate of about 4 million tons monthly, and the price paid for coal in England is far above that which the English have otherwise received for their export.

This price has tripled and quadrupled. The British trade balance is extremely adverse, 317 million £ in 6 months, and this means that England has to encroach on its capital invested abroad. In order to do this it has to turn to foreign countries. One of the most conspicuous effects of the strike is the worsening of the position of state finances. During the first half of the financial year, beginning in April, there was a deficit of

* Preliminary statement. ** "Labour Gazette", July and September numbers.

All figures taken from the "Statist", 18 September 1926.

0.2 million pounds. In England the first half of the financial year invariably closes with a deficit (36 millions last year), since the taxes are not paid till the end of the year. But this time the deficit is 20 million £ more than last year's, and is likely to increase. Another significant fact is that the Bank of England has been obliged to pay out large quantities of gold during the last few weeks, in order to maintain the £ at par. As autumn is the season when England begins to make large purchases in raw materials and foodstuffs, it may be anticipated that the Bank of England will have to draw further on its gold reserves, if the £ is to be kept at par.

The position of England of English economies, and of the English State, has been much more weakened by the coal strike than the capitalists and their spokesmen will admit. The influence of the mother country in the Dominions is diminishing, a fact very clearly demonstrated in the proceedings of the Empire Conference.

6. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

The United States of America continue to enjoy economic prosperity. The well known Babson statistical institute characterise¹⁸ the general situation in September, by stating that at present business is proceeding on model lines. Production beats the record of the war years. The distribution of wealth is incomparable. Employment is excellent, and the existing purchasing powers suffice to ensure a certain period of prosperity.

The following table gives an excellent survey of the development of business:

	Basic Index of production Fed. R. Board	Degree of employment workers of month in 1000s	Loaded wag ons last week of month in 1000s	New building orders Mill. Dollars
1925 August	100	93.0	1124	611
1926 April	122	96.4	968	571
1926 May	117	95.5	1081	550
1926 June	118	95.1	1062	548
1926 July	118	95.5	1103	601
1926 August	116	94.4	1136	

Again we observe that the degree of employment among the workers fails to keep pace with production. Production has increased by 19 per cent since 1922, the standard of comparison taken by the index, whilst employment has fallen off by 6.5 per cent. This means, if these figures have any value whatever, that the growing wealth of the United States is being accompanied by growing unemployment. This is confirmed by the results of a special inquiry made by the American labour office in Columbus, a town in Ohio, showing the degree of employment between 1921 and 1925 to average:

1921 of 1000 of skilled and unskilled workers
8.4 per cent unemployed

1922 of 1000 of part timers
9.8 per cent part timers

1923 of 1000 of full work
82.3 per cent in full work

Output figures of the most important industries:

	Coal mill. 1000 tons	Iron 1000 tons	Steel 1000 tons	Motor cars 1000	Cotton con- sumption bales
1925 August	45.0	2704	3424	216	449
1926 April	40.0	3450	4123	384	576
1926 May	39.0	3481	3945	373	517
1926 June	42.0	3235	3751	340	519
1926 July	43.5	3223	3651	316	416
1926 August	46.4	3200	4005	379	501

These figures show a considerable increase of production in comparison with the corresponding month of last year, with the exception of coal. It seems as if American coal production is so immense that the English coal strike has had practically no effect.

On 7. October the price for the same quality and quantity was as follows:

20. Oct.	7. Oct.	2. Sept.
6.93	7.17	10.14

"Financial Chronicle", 18 September 1926, p. 1433.

Orders on hand Blast furnaces
Steel Trust working
in 1000 tons
end of month

	1925	1926
2513	192	
3870	237	
3649	228	
3479	220	
3603	216	
3542	213	

Signs of worsening of economic conditions.

Although the economic situation is still good in America, signs of a worsening are already visible. This is confirmed by Babson's figures. One conspicuous sign is the abatement of the feverish building activity which has been one of the main pillars of the wave of prosperity.

The statements issued by the ministry of labour show that by the end of 1924 the falling off in building during the war to have been compensated. Taking 1914 at 100% we find an increase of population corresponding to 112% and an increased building activity of 124.5%. During this period of 11 years there have thus been 12.5 per cent more buildings erected than required under normal circumstances. And yet Mr. F. W. Dodge, the expert in building statistics, considers it probable that, provided no economic crisis occurs, the present high level of building activity, 650 million dollars yearly, can be maintained, as the demands for dwelling houses have increased¹⁹.

The payment by instalment system is gaining the upper hand in a manner causing the American economists to fear a real danger. It is feared that an interruption in the payment of the instalments could lead to a severe crisis.

The harvest yield, especially in wheat, is much better than last year's. Although the prices for wheat and maize, the two main products, are somewhat lower than last year, still it may be assumed that the total proceeds of the crops will be higher, and the buying powers of the farmers increased. This is a favourable factor for the continuation of economic prosperity. The following table shows the most important crops:

| |
<th
| --- |

The price has fallen to one half of the average of the last five years, and below the prewar level. The cause of this extreme drop in prices is two successive exceptionally good cotton crops in America.

The estimate made on 15. September last year anticipated 13,9 million bales, but after the ginning process the yield was found to be 16,1 million bales. Should this year's estimate fall below the final result to the same extent, the crops will be 18 million bales, the highest ever known. Besides this, the American cotton reserves are much greater this year than last. The reserve stock on 31. August compares as follows:

1925	1926
1,72 million bales	2,64

The American home consumption is something under 6 million bales yearly, so that 12 million bales must be disposed of in the world's markets. The figures issued by the International Federation of Master Cotton Spinners³³⁾ show the world's consumption of American cotton for the year ending 31. July 1926, to be 13,73 million bales. 6,17 of this is consumed in America. There is thus a surplus of 4 to 4½ million bales of American cotton. Hence the sharp drop in prices.

The abrupt fall in cotton prices has aroused the greatest consternation in the cotton growing regions of the United States, and the government is hastening to aid the cotton firms to raise the prices again. The following measures are planned:

The establishment of a cotton pool, which is to withdraw and store one million bales of the present crop. The amount thus withdrawn from consumption is to be increased to 4 million bales if necessary.

Prerequisite: A 25 per cent decrease of the area under cultivation. The pool will not take over the cotton of the farmers refusing to agree to this condition.

Valuation credits up to 75 per cent of the market price of the stored cotton. The government has already given 30 million dollars for this purpose, and this sum is to be increased to 65 million. The Southern banks are equally prepared to support the reservation of cotton by every available means.

It is to be seen that the American government is creating a monopolist organisation for cotton. It is doing precisely what Hoover condemns so bitterly when other nations do it to the detriment of the American bourgeoisie.

The position of the farmers is a permanent problem in the United States, and their difficulties a constant political question. The farmers declare that whilst the eastern states, supported by trade and industry, are enjoying unexpected prosperity, the farmers have been living under the most difficult conditions since 1920. 35 millions of human beings, one third of the population of the Union, have been obliged to lower their standard of living, and to sink to a level unworthy of any American citizen. As the prices for wheat and maize are even lower this year than last, and as the cotton prices have fallen to such a catastrophic extent, the farmers' movement is gaining in strength. In view of the coming election, much is heard of the "farmers' legislation", but it is perfectly obvious that a state ruled by the big bourgeoisie will do nothing to prevent the exploitation of the farmers by American capital. For the United States are on the upward road, Europe on the downward.

³³⁾ Manchester Guard. Com. 9. Sept., p. 306.

of the farmers by the railways, banking houses, and grain speculators. And although the farmers are not in such a desperate position as in 1922/23, still there is great disproportion between the enrichment of the big bourgeoisie of the East and the severe struggle for existence of the farmer of the West. The interest felt by the American bourgeoisie for the "free farmer of the West is declining in proportion to the extent to which the bourgeoisie succeeds in becoming an organisation for the exploitation of the whole world, in pocketing the surplus profits of the whole world, and in forming a labour aristocracy of its own Nothing but self-help can, like the pool in Canada, relieve the American farmer.

Foreign trade developments point to a worsening of economic conditions. During the last few months the trade balance of the States has been favourable. The following shows foreign trade in million dollars:

	Export	Import	Surplus
1925	1926	1925	1926
May	371	397	+26
June	323	338	-2
July	340	368	+28
August	380	386	+4

8-months ending August 3083 2901 2720 +105 +232

Classified according to countries, export to Europe shows a considerable decrease during the first seven months. The statistics go no further, as compared with last year.

The establishment of a cotton pool, which is to withdraw and store one million bales of the present crop. The amount thus withdrawn from consumption is to be increased to 4 million bales if necessary.

Prerequisite: A 25 per cent decrease of the area under cultivation. The pool will not take over the cotton of the farmers refusing to agree to this condition.

Valuation credits up to 75 per cent of the market price of the stored cotton. The government has already given 30 million dollars for this purpose, and this sum is to be increased to 65 million. The Southern banks are equally prepared to support the reservation of cotton by every available means.

It is to be seen that the American government is creating a monopolist organisation for cotton. It is doing precisely what Hoover condemns so bitterly when other nations do it to the detriment of the American bourgeoisie.

The position of the farmers is a permanent problem in the United States, and their difficulties a constant political question. The farmers declare that whilst the eastern states, supported by trade and industry, are enjoying unexpected prosperity, the farmers have been living under the most difficult conditions since 1920. 35 millions of human beings, one third of the population of the Union, have been obliged to lower their standard of living, and to sink to a level unworthy of any American citizen. As the prices for wheat and maize are even lower this year than last, and as the cotton prices have fallen to such a catastrophic extent, the farmers' movement is gaining in strength. In view of the coming election, much is heard of the "farmers' legislation", but it is perfectly obvious that a state ruled by the big bourgeoisie will do nothing to prevent the exploitation of the farmers by American capital. For the United States are on the upward road, Europe on the downward.

³³⁾ Manchester Guard. Com. 9. Sept., p. 306.

During the last year the number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the public sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the non-industrial sector increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000).

The number of workers in the building trades increased by 10.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,100,000). The number of workers in agriculture, forestry and fisheries decreased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 989,000). The number of workers in mining increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the textile industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the chemical industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the metal industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the paper industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the leather industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the food industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the clothing industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the building trades increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000 to 1,011,000). The number of workers in the transport industry increased by 1.1% (from 1,000,000