Under present conditions cittés sincumbent on the Comintern. to prepare and organise the necessary forces to defeat the offensive against the profetariat. The English strike is the first act of this bourgeois offensive. The Commern must continue the policy of the united front and of the organisation of unity among the working masses, must continue to strive to win over the modeling of the organisation of unity among the working masses, must continue to strive to win over the majority of the workers, and must be unwearying in the endeayour to convert the attack being made by capitalism into revolutionary offensive for the realisation of the proletarian dic-

The Comintern, whilst developing the policy of the united front, must make consistent efforts to maintain the Anglo-Russian Committee, which represents an important factor in the development of the united from tactics, and one of its most striking fulfilments. At the same time the leaders of the English trade union Left, who openly showed their opportunist tendencies on the occasion of the General Strike, must be severely criticised. The masses must be mobilised against these leaders, and induced to choose other leaders.

Att those who consider that recent events demand a withdrawal from the Anglo-Russian Committee, leither because the English trade union leaders are bankrupt; or because the situation has so changed that they are of the opinion that tracties must be changed also, commit an error which must be decidedly rejected.

On the one hand the revolutionary situation is not such that it demand a change from the immediate aims of the present policy of the Cominters, the policy of the united front and of the winning over of the majority of the working masses, to the more advanced line involving the organisation of the struggle for the immediate conquest of power. On the other hand, the bankruptey of the Left trade union leaders of the English movement affords no reason to condemn the policy desirous of maintaining the Anglo-Russian Committee. The participation of the Committee in the Angio Bussian Committee is not based on the subjective element of faith in the opportunist leaders, or in an over-estimation of the revolutionary powers of these leaders but solely on the fact that the English working class has taken a decided turn to the left, and that it is necessary and useful to form a connection with them, in order that they may be utilised as lever in the general international trade union movement.

This pecessity did not cease with the General Strike. The Commerce must thus strive to maintain the Anglo-Russian Committee and must develope its policy further on the basis of the results of the General Strike, that is, it must combat the bankrupt leaders, and appeal to the English masses to replace these by

#### The Internal Situation in the Comintern.

The immediate tasks confronting the Comintern at the present time render it necessary to prepare the Communist Parties, with the utmost energy, for the struggies impending. The present situation will develope into an immediately revolutionary one only to the extent to which the Communist Parties fulfil their Service of Support of the service of

task of winning over the inajority of the decisive forces in the working class, of organising and preparing the Communist Parnes themselves and of preparing the working masses for the maturing struggles. One hindrance to the attainment of this object is the ideological deviations from the correct Bolshevist line in many Parties, whether these deviations be Ultraleft or Right, and the attempts at fraction forming resultant on these deviations.

The Comintern has clear political principles and a definite tactical method, both based on Leninism. Differences of opinion on various problems, and in the application of principles, are always possible, and in such cases discussion at the right time and place is always allowed and is indeed useful, but this does not demand or justify the organisation of fractions.

A fraction presupposes an antagonism in fundamental principles. Opposition against the principles and fundamental methods of the Commerca is however an expression of ideological deviation from Leninism, and can have no freedom of develops the fundamental principle of the Communist organisation. Therefore the format on of fractions is not allowed.

Special attention must be accorded to the Communist Party of Germany, on account of the Ultralen tractional activity in its ranks, injurious to the work of the Party. The Community endeavour to aim at the immediate liquidation of such extremist residue still remaining in the Cerman Party from a dead past. The C.C. of he C.P.I. therefore approves of the expulsion of Korsen and Schwarz, with whom the Commern can have nothing in common, and of the expulsion of Ruth Fischer and Maslov, apon whose in that we a fractional bloc with Korsch and Schwarz was formed configur

The Committeen must accord more attention to the Communist Party of France, and strive to eliminate that possibility of opportunist deviation so tre-pient in the work of the C.P. F. Every form of fraction forming and group, fighting must be severely consured for in the present position of the French Party these tractional efforts greatly increase the distinuities capperienced in France in tomaing a homogeneous and certain leadership, guasanteeing the continuity of Bolshevist guidance los the French

The C.C. of the C.P.I. having taken cognisance of the report of the C.C. and the C.C. of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union on the fractional activity of the opposition, expresses its full solidarity with the G. G. of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, and with its energetic and determined at titude in the defence of the Party and of the fundamental principles of communist organisation. algunts sith in bea

H Iractional activity is to be combatted and prohibited in every Section of the Committeen, it must be combatted and prohibited most strictly of all in the Party of the Soviet Union, for this Party bears the responsibility of leading the Bast protetarian state of the world. The opposition in attempting to form a fraction, has failed in its duty to the Party and the Commern The G. C. of the C. P. L. approves of the measures taken against those responsible for these detrimental ractions (1897)

was a mit go out I had not id

As again to the second of the

· .- constituted

The Q. C. of the |C. B. of Italy

ractish Edition.

Unpublished Manuscripts - Please reprint

# Cost no competitation, if m in-catographs at a set of natural proversions of the control of the

Vol. 6 No. 63

this few at the same of the sa

# on its go if the first of the f

Bestential Office and de nine billes passed by registered make Restant 16,00ch less tach 213, Wienna IX. - Pos tach Aid diress. to which fall remittances should be seen by registered make Restant 16,00ch less tach 213, Wienna IX. o a constituent of the seen of the seen

# to the second of the transfer of the second of the second

Arthur Rosenberg: The New German Imperiatism and Germany's Entry into the League of Nations.

Hands off China! Hands off the Soviet Union! The R. Edd Unito the Workers of all Countries.

Tang Schin Sher The Advance of the Revolutionary Troops and the Next: Tasks of the Kuomintang.

Politics: elicited his 9 gard att Giuglio Aguila: The Attempt on the Life of Mussolini Acosts Dwarle: The Comedy of the "Referenthim" in

Spain, The Soviet Government and the Tangier Question

B. Ferdi: The Political Struggles in Turkey.

The Labour Movement Bournemouth Trades Union Congress. Fornthe Unity of the Trade Union Movement. in all Lances

The Berlin Meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee, Report given by Commade A'n dre'y ev at the Extraordinary Session of the Presidium of the Enlarged Central Counter of the Trade Unions of the Soviet Union. H.

The A.U.C.T.U. on the Results of the Berlin Meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

A. L. O. Z. O. V. S. K. Y.; The Question of Unity at the British Trades Union Congress.

The White Terror

'A New Manbeuvre of American Justice against Sacco and Vanzetti.

Concerning Ourselves. Five Years of the Inprecorr.

# The New German Imperialism and Germany's Entry into the League of Nations.

By Arthur Rosenberg (Berlin)

The organs of the German bourgeoisie are triumphantly pointing to the endernous difference that exists between the situation of Ocimany in Wersaitles 1919, and in Geneva 1926. In 1919 Germany was excluded from the community of fourtured nations"; today she is recognized with enthusiasm as a great Power with equal rights. Without joining in the ridiculous packs of enthusiasm at the success of the Stresemann policy, one must still admir that the present world-political position of Germany is quite different from that of 1919. What is the essential difference? The idea of the Versailles Treaty was that Germany was removed strong the prants of the pimperialist great Powers. Germany had lost all her colonies, her horeign avestments were confiscated, the occupation troops were on the Rhine; the reparation obligations were so enormous that they sequed to render impossible any recovery of German economy, the disamment provisions of the Peace Treaty meant the aminitation of German mulitarism, the iron clads of the German say lay somewhere lat the pottom of the sea. The new militarist states. Poland and Czechoslovakia, stood ready at any moment, along with Belgium and France, to crush any sign of German perhaps on a question of a less onva-

The German bourgeoisie have mous suggested, precisely in the most dangerous moment, after the collapse of the re-

America took in hand the settlement of the reparatious question. The foans from America rendered possible the stabilisation of the German valuta; The German bourgeoiste; who in 1923 were trembling before the workers' revolution, drove back the working class along the whole front aid consolidated their political and financial position. The relative stabilisation of Buropean conditions in 1924 and 1925, shaky and uncertain as it is pevertheless revived all the hopes of the German ruling class. When the most pressing danger appeared to have been overcome. the German bourgeoisie immediately proceeded toolay the foun-

dations for a new imperialism.

description of the continuous desc exist in present day Oermany. Commany has a considerable share another world monopoly of the most important pranches of production; iron and coally dyes and chemicals, potash production, the optical and electrical industrys The German dye trustriwith its enormous capital represent a great international power. The German steel trust, which is tracked by American capitals is now endeavouring to consolidate its world position in the regotiations forman irrobutcarfel twith France and Belgium. The lack of capital which barose as 2 result of the inflation partid in Germany modelinger exists to the same extent as in 1924 and 1925. Since the commencement of this year therethas again arisen a considerable inder-German capital market. When therefore, should Germany be incapable of exporting capital Pumb

Proprietor, Publisher and responsible Editor: Dr. Johannes Wertheim, Vienna; VIII., Albertgasse 26, 15 Printers: "Elbenithing ViennauriX. Berggasse 3120" and only the more response

to the sale of an action of the sale of th

the rebuilding of a new German imperialism. German perialism is to respect at a time which is proving to be period of decline of world capitalism, in the period of world capitalism, in the period of world capitalism, in the period of world revolution. Since 1924 the oversea-countries have become dustrialised: Asia has awakened to passionate resistance against dustrialised. Asia has awakened to passionate resistance against the foreign exploiters; almost all countries are shorting out foreign competition by means of tariff walls; Soviet Russia has arisen, whereby imperialism has been deprived of one sixth of the surface of the globe. Under these conditions German imperialism can hardly find its field of activity.

hindranes resulting from the peculiar situation of present-day. Germany As a result of the Down plat German economy continue to remain under foreign supervision. The German Reichsbank and the German railways are in the hands of foreign capital. The German capitalists of today must not only tolerate the French occupation in Mainz, they dare not, without the permission of toreign powers, even reduce the tax on beer. In spite of the development of an inner-German capital anarket, Derman economy is still, to a very great extent, dependent upon foreign investors. The reparation liabilities compel, Germany to make annual payments which already mean to German economy a net loss of 1.5 milliard gold marks a year, a sum-which in the next few years will increase to far beyond two and a half milliard gold marks. In addition to this there is to be reckoned Germany's military ampotence. The German chemical industry, it is true, bears within it undreamed of possibilities with gegard to wartare, nevertheless if Germany had to carry on a war alone she would be absolutely helpless in the face of the hostile aircraft, tanks and beavy guns.

Under these quite peculiar circumstances any revival of Germany imperiatism is dependent upon two pre-conditions. In the first place Germany needs allies, and in the second place she requires a special sphere for the development of her capital exports. The German bourgeoisie wish to aftern both these ends with the aid of the League of Nations Germany wishes to obtain her world political security, in the first place by an alliance with England and secondly by an alliance with France. and, in the framework of the anti-bolshevik policy of the League of Nations, she wishes to obtain access to Russia as a field for the export of capital.

The Anglophile foreign policy of Germany found its clearest expression in October 1025 at Locarno, Under the Locarno treaties Final in accommend as the arbitrater in all future disputes on the part of Germany with France and Belgium.

At the same first fingland succeeded in bringing about that the entry into the League of Nations. Germany's acceptance into the League of Nations and her entry into the Council of the League was to have been carried out already in March of this year. But in March the hindrances were still too great. Concurrently with the German claim to a permanent seat in the Council of the League, there arose the claims of Brazil, Spain and Poland The apparent cause of the break-up of the meeting of the League in March last was the opposition of Brazil, but at that time the most important political problem still remaining unsolved was the German-Polish antagonism.

In May of this year, however, there took place in Poland the coup d'état of Pilsudski. Whilst the old Polish right government had been a tool of France in regard to its foreign policy. the victory of Pilsudski meant the complete going over of Poland into the English camp. Pilsudski's free corps, and behind them the Polish regular army, are to be available at any time as the mercenaries of the English Conservatives against Soviet Russia. The Pilsudski government, acting on English advice, was prepared to come to an agreement with the German bourgeoisie. In the Commission of the League of Nations which was engaged at Geneva with the question of reforming the Council of the league, the compromise draft put forward by Lord Robert Gecili gained acceptance. The German as well as the Polish delegation accepted the English formula. Germany voted for Boland having a seat on the Council, and Poland for Germany The English Conservative government can be satisfied. If Pilsudskie should seek adventures min the East he will, thanks to his German friend in the League of Nations, be tree from any danger from the West. The German bourgeoisie, however, in all

Along with his efforts are being made to improve Franco-German relations, briand is aiming at a Franco-German entenne The German hopereoisie are not so so hid as a cease all connection with Para for the sake of England and spite of the sake of England. however, the main idea of the foreign policy of the new German imperiation is an understanding with England against Soviet

The supericial observer will object that German exports to Russia have considerably increased in the last few years, the German credits for Russian business are being employed on German credits for Russian business are being employed on the superior of t an increasing scale, that therefore the most influential German economic circles are apparently airing at practile trade relations with Soviet Russia. But the question of the existence of German imperialism is not so much one of experting goods but much rather of exporting capital. Soviet Russia is disposed in the scale are of its economic plant to buy foreign goods. in the sphere of its economic plans, to buy foreign goods. Some however, will never permit an unrestricted import of capital, for that would mean the Dawesation of Russia: Already in 1922, at the time of the Genoa Conference, the project was raised whereby German capitalists should act as agents of the Anglo-Saxons in the capitalistic rebuilding of Russia Lloyd Oporge was the sponsor of these plans and found eager supporters in Stinnes and Rathenau. Soviet Russia, of course, enupha tically rejected these projects. But today the most influential mer in German economy desire in the first place to break throug the foreign trade monopoly of Soviet Russia. They desire from play for capital in Russia, unhampered by the Soviet laws capitalist Germany is not able with her own forces to under take such a violation of Soviet Russia, she desires the help the apparatus of the League of Nations/ It is thus that by mean of the League of Nations, the world-embracing plans of British anti-bolshevism are connected with the peculiar necessities of German imperialism.

Thus Germany's entry into the League of Nations confronts the working class with serious problems. The bourgeoisie desiby means of the great coalition, to secure the support of the social democratic leaders. In spite of this all class-conscious workers will stand firmly together in order to thwart the plans of the new German imperialism against Soviet Russia.

### HANDS OFF CHINA

# Hands off Chine! Hards off the Soviet Appeal of the Executive Committee of the Communist Infe

To the Workers of all Countries!

The development of the struggle of the Chinese people against their imperialist oppressors and their Chinese co federates is proceeding at a tremendous pace. The advance of the army of the Canton government, which represents the democratic anti-imperialist interests of the peasants, workers, intellectuals and traders of the Southern provinces, has delivered a seem blow to the militarists and their supporters, the impenalist great Powers. The enemies of the liberty of the Chinese people realise the full extent of the defeat which is threatening them and are therefore preparing a large-scale counter-action

The danger of a new armed intervention on the part of the imperialist big Powers in China has become exceedingly great. The English press is already conducting a wide campage in favour of such an intervention. In Tokio negotiations are already taking place between the representatives of the English and Japanese governments regarding united intervention other big Powers will also endeavour in good time to secure their share of the plunder. The English forces on the Yangse and in Canton have already come into action. Purther minari reinforcements are on their way: Full and open intervention perhaps only a question of a few days.

The military ruler of the Northern provinces, Chang To Lin, whose military power has not yet been weakened has violation of all treaties, already undertaken a hostile act against the Soviet Union by seizing a part of the property of the fastern-Chinese Railway which, in accordance with agreements under which the Soviet Union renounces all special privileges, clours jointly to the Soviet Union and the Chinese government. This action is a direct provocation, the only purpose of which can be to involve the Soviet Union in military complications. But there is not the least doubt that behind this action of Chang Tso Lin there stands British Imperialism. The widescale plan of intervention, which was obviously worked out under the leadership of England, is therefore directed not only against the Chinese people, but also against the Soviet Union. The inrigues of England in the Far East are added to those measures for encircling Soviet Russia from the West and from the South.

The Communist International calls the attention of the revolutionary Workers and Peasants of all countries to the great danger with which the cause of the emancipation of the world from the yoke of captalism is threatened by the new machinations of the great Powers. An armed intervention against the Chinese people and a new war against the Soviet Union would bring immeasurable harm to the whole world. The working and peasant masses, of the capitalist countries have already on more than one occasion shown that they are not willing to allow the imperialist great Powers again to proceed against the Chinese people who are fighting for their emancipation, or even against the Union of the first Workers' and Peasants' Republics. The Communist International calls upon the workers and Peasants and on all circles in every section of the population in the capitalist countries who are in sympathy with the fight for freedom of the Chinese people, as well as with the the work of socialist construction in the Soviet Union, to stay the arm of the imperialist robbers and o prevent with all means the fresh intervention.

Long live the Fight for Freedom of the Chinese People! Long live the free and peaceful Socialist Construction in the land of the Workers, and Peasants' Soviets!

Hands off the Soviet Union!

The Executive Committee of the Communist International.

### The R. I. L. U. to the Workers of all Countries.

Comrades.

The Chinese revolution is threatened by an intervention here is little doubt that the leading role in this attack against he masses of the Chinese people is being played by the English government. Whilst in many provinces the counter-revolution is throttling millions, these benefactors of the Chinese people calmly look on. When, however, the bought counter-revoluionary Generals are attacked, then these "defenders of civilisation" are moved to action and stab the revolution in the back The advance of the revolutionary Canton army to the North, and a number of defeats of the counter-revolutionary mercenaries is evoking the diplomatic and military activity of the imperialists. in the first place of the English bandits. The Conference of the English trade unions nat Bournemouth has passed a protest resolution against the policy of England in the Far-East. But words and resolutions do not now suffice. The intervention must e lought with deeds and not with words

Working men and women of all countries! Remember that the cause of the Chinese people is your own cause. The workers of the "Great Powers" will not be able to shake of their fetters until they have broken the backbone of their own imperialism. from country to country, from town to town, let the voice of the working masses resound throughout the whole world:

Clear the imperialists out of Chinal Con Hands off the Chinese Revolution!

attenders. bet present The Frequette Bureau of the Red International of Labour Unions

### The Advance of the Revolutionary Troops and the Next Tasks of the Kuomin Tang.

By Tang Shin She.

After the capture and occupation of the three sister towns of Hankow, Hanjang and Wu-Chang the Kuomin armies immediately continued their advance, both in a Northerly direction along the Hankow-Peking railway line in order to reach the province of Honan, and in an Easterly direction along the Yang-tse river, thus commencing the attack on the province of Kiangsi; finally, starting from Hunan, proceeding along the Chuchow-Pingsiang railway line to Central Kiangsi. Their plan in the first place aims at capturing the whole province of Hupe, in order not to expose again the three most important towns to an attack by Wu-Pei-Fu and Sun-Chuan Fang. That means that in the North, on the Honan frontier, they wish to occupy at least the fortress of Wuchingkwan, and on the Yang-tse, on the North Kinnesi side, the fortress of Wushuech, Finally, with regard to the attack from Hunan on Kiangsi, it is exceedingly important that the revolutionary troops have this province in their hands, because it borders on three provinces in the Canton sphere of government: on Kwangtung, Hunan and now Hupe, and because Sun Chuan Fang could very easily undertake attacks from there as he has already done. A further reason for the advance lies in the fact that in Kiangsi and Honau there already exist armed forces which are on the revolutionary side. In Honan there are the rest of the troops of the Kuomin army, who have already undertaken attacks against Wu-Pei-Fu, while in Kiangsi the greater portion of the Generals, apart from the governor there, sympathise with the Canton government According to the latest reports the Kuomin armies in North China which have retreated from the Nanko pass, are marching from Chuyuan to Honan. This permits of a speedy junction between the revolutionary armed forces of the North and of the South.

Of the three sister towns Hankow is the most famous trading fown of Central China, Wu-chang the political capital of Hupe, and Hanjang an industrial town possessing great military importance and containing the greatest stores of weapons in China and enormous iron and coal deposits. In Hanfang there is a natural fortress, the mountain of Kuisan, from whence the towns of Hankow and Wu-Chang can easily be bombarded. If one once captures Hanjang, then, regarded from the strategical standpoint, one can miantain that one also possesses Hankow and Wu-Chang allanjang fell into the hands of the Canton army because an under-General of Wu-Pei-Fu, Liu Tso Lung, went over to them. The revolutionary troops have now taken Hanjang and Hankow and have occupied the suburb of Wu-Chang. The town of Wu-Chang itself is not yet in their hands, because there are still 5000 soldiers of Wu-Pei-Fu within the town. The Canton army is refraining from firing on the town in order not to inflict any harm on the population. It has, however, surrounded and blockaded the town.

The troops of Wu-Pei-Fu in the North are nominally under the leadership of Chi Shu Yuan, the former governor of Nanking. But they are actually led by Tien Wei Chin, who has for long been a vacillating supporter of Wu-Pel-Fu and is seeking to establish connection with the People' armies. Since the refreat of the Kuomin army from the Nankow pass the quarrel between Wu-Pei-Fu and Chang-Tso Lin for the booty has become more sharp. Chang-Tso Lin demands the province of Chili with Peking and its surroundings all for himself. This means the driving of W-Pei-Fu's troops from North China to Honan. When Wu-Pei-Fu, before the capture of Hanjang-Hankow, went himself from Peloing back to Hankow, it was a desperate attempt to fetain Hantow its any event in order to possess a sure place somewhere. Owing to the death, alleged to be the nesulto of sickness, of the governor of Honan, a direct supporter of Wu-Pei-Fu, Tien Wei-Chin went with troops to Northern Honan in

order to take over the post of governor; this means practically the retreat of Wu-Pei-Pu from Chill. It is very problematical whether the troops of Tien Wei Shing after the das taken the post of governor and after Wu-Pei-Fu has suffered a thorough defeat in Hankow, can still be regarded as supporters of Wu-Pei-Fu. Since the defeat of Wu-Pei-Fu the newspapers have not published anything regarding relief action by Tien-Wei-Chin for Wur-Per-Fu

Wu-Pei-Fu's supporters also include a number of Generals in Szechuans but they are his followers only in name. Already before the capture of Hanjang-Hankow, they announced that they wished to declare the province of Szechuan to be independent This means in polite language a breaking away, from Wu-Pet-Fu and a conscaled going over to the Canton government; for the Generals have long since declared themselves to be sympathisers; with the Canton government, 3 41 1177 .6

On their advance to the North the Canton troops were everywhere welcomed by the population who gave them refreshments. They are working together with the population and hold foint meetings. Peasants and workers organisations, the Communist Panty and the Kuomin Tang are increasing at a rapid rate. The population in other parts of the country which are not ver occupied by the revolutionary troops are over-joyed at the news from the already occupied districts and eagerly desire the arrival of the Canton army! WestPoi-Fu's troops are everywhere regarded as enemies. The newspapers report that at the capture of Vochow the troops of Wu-Pei-Fu, either went over en blee to the Canton army or fled as last as their legs could carry them to Hankow. The leaders of the Canton troops constantly declare in their speeches at public meetings that their victory is motivito be attributed solely to the weapons of the soldiers but mainly to the help of the population.

What does the Canton government wish to bring to the Chinese people? What does it regard as its next task? When it undertook the expedition to the North it declared it to be the continuation of the work of Sun-Yat-Sen. The latter already in 1924 had endeavoured to launch an attack against the North in order to annihilate militarism in China, to create a real democratic government which in the first place should represent the interests of the peasants and workers, to abolish the unequal slave treaties and to shake off the imperialist yoke. For the purpose of carrying out these tasks Sun-Yat-Sen, an a Manifesto proposed to convene a National Assembly and an international Conference, In the National Assembly there should meet together representatives of the following organisations: 1. Economic organisations, 2. Chambers of commerce 3. Educational bodies, 4. Universities, 5. Students' unions of all provinces, 6. Workers organisations, 7. Peasants' organisations, 8. All leaders of troops who are opposed to Wu-Pei-Fu, 9. Political Parties. The National Assembly was to be preceded by a Pre-Eminary Conference.

This plan, on its publication, received the approval of the entire population, and active steps were taken to carry it out. Everywhere "Societies for the National Assembly" were founded. Owing to suppression on the part of Chang-Tso-Lin and Tuan-She Sun they had to disappear for a time, but have now resumed their activity. The Kuomin-Tang now, after the victory over Hankow, is already making preparations to carry out this plan.

At an international Conference the abolition of the unequal treaties is to be declared. With regard to the debts to the international Powers investigations will be made as to whether the loans were employed in the interests of the people. If yes, then they will be honoured, if no, then they will not be recognised.

In order to carry sout these tasks the Kuomin-Tang has already placed on the agends the question of transferring the seat of government from Canton to Hankow. Samol' ' For

### POLITICS

### The Attempt on the Life of Mussolini.

Rome on September lith, exploded at a moment of great political difficulties both external and integral for the fascist government. This fact suggested and made plausible the original suspicion that once again, as with the previous "attempts at assasination" of the "Duce", it was a case of an "attempted assasination", care fully prepared by his own police. It seems however that the time it was a serious political attempt on his life. The would be assassin who was arrested, claims to be an Italian anarchist. He declares that he acted on his own initiative and demes having accomplices of persons who knew of his intention, let alone been "under instructions". As a matter of fact the Italian police has failed up to the present in discovering traces of any conspiracy Mussolini is so enreged by this that he has already dismissed the Chief of the National Police and the Chief of Police in Rome Even this measure has not advanced the discovery of the "conspiracy" title a single step, although the number of arrests and of houses searched amounts in Rome alone to hundreds. The same applies to the provinces to schielly communists and anarchists who trave been arrested; there have however, been minierous arrests also among the members of the petty boargeois opposition parties and among the hemorgeois opposition elements. The names are kept secret by the police "in the interest of the investigation". At the same time in spite of Mussolini's "soletin probabilion" — "irresponsible" Fascist acts of terror are taking place, in the proletarian districts of Rome, numerous workers have been beaten by Fascists til blood was drawn. In the provinces also the Fascists are letting themselves go to Trieste where it got as fair as guns being fired, a state of siege has been declared. The Fascists then took possession of the premises of a bourgeois hiberal association

The would-be assassin lived for a time as an emigrant in France. He lived in Marseilles and rettirned to Italy at the be ginning of February, After; the serious diplomatic defeats he recently suffered. Mussolini tried to turn this fact "to advantage

in his foreign policy.

Mussolini has described the year 1926 as "the Napoleonic year". This sounding phrase is the description of the "magmi ficent" and "heroic" foreign political plans of the Fascist Convernment which are districted to lit the two very pressure factors by the imperialist aspirations of the Italian bourgeoisie and the insuperable difficulties with which the regime meets in the country itself, which were to be camouflaged by the brillian success of the foreign policy of the Fascist Government.

The imperialist aspirations of the Italian bourgeoise directly with the interests of French imperialism; the Balkans predominance in the Mediterranean, Tunis, Tangier, Abessynia n the last few months, Mussolini had suffered deleat after defeat right along the fine in the plans of his foreign police and with one exception (the Mosul Treaty between fingland and Turkey) — the deleat always came through France. I rance intervention turned Mussolini's advances to Yugoslavia and a obvious fiasco. In Abessynia, France, through the agreements came to with England, put a decisive spoke into his wheel Mussolini then concluded the Italo-Spanish treaty of friendshi with Primo de Rivera. This treaty is a direct threat to I rend impenialism in the Mediterranean and particularly in Tunis Furthermore Mussolim wanted to playcol Spain against France in other fields. Primo de Rivera brought up the Fangier questiand laid his claim to appermanent seat on the Council in Genev-Mussolini had however, again reckoned without his host and suffered two new defeats. He failed to recognise that by m policy he drove England into forming one front with France in Geneva, England could not allow Germany's entry into the League of Nations, i. e. into the imperialist league against the Soviet Manon, to be jeopardized meither could she admit of the Tangier question, by the way an extremely ficialish one as regards England's position of power in Cibialtar, to be brought h the Chinese Berglotton!

Mussolini imagined that this attempted assassination would give him the opportunity of "revenging himself" in a small way on France for the serious defeats suffered by his foreign policy.

which were all the more bitter because the victories he had bored for were to have helped him out of the extremely serious difficulties with which he was faced in the country itself. By calling attention to the fact that the would be assassin lived for time in France and was probably in touch with the other talian emigrants in that country, further that the French Covernment tolerates the presence of Italian emigrants in France, he now accuses the French Government of a share in the responsibility for the attempt on his life A few hours after his escape from assassination, he made a speech to his Black Shirts from the balcony of the palazzo Chigi (the Foreign Office), saving among other things: In Bush

"From this balcony I wish to say a few words full of purport which should be well understood by those to whom they apply. If the friendship of the Italian people is really valued, a stop must be put to certain unprecedented and implicating toleration on the other side of the border."

The Faseist Press of course took up Mussolini's words and started a campaign of agitation against the Italian emigrants in France and against the French Government,

Mussolini's personal organ; the "Popolo d'Italia" was however incautious enough to admit the real reason of Mussolini's "action" against France in its issue of the next day, if such an admission were even necessary. The "Popolo d'Italia" wrote:

"We well understand that the new Fascist Italy is disturbing the old Powers who have arrived in their sleep and is arousing their jealousy. In the Fascist era, the Kingdom of Italy has at last embarked on a policy independent of the Great Powers. Italy is reorganising her army. her navy and her air-fleet and she will not suffer being excluded from colonial benefits."

Mussolini has even made the insane demand to the French Government that the Italian emigrants in France should be under the "supervision" of Italian Fascist police, who should be attached to the Italian Embassy in Paris, and he demands that the political emigrants should be handed over to him as common criminals!

This political move, however, proved to be a mistake Mussolini has achieved exactly the opposite of what he had

hoped to achieve.

No. 63

The Poincare-Herriot Government, which grants the right of asylum to the Italian communists in the form that it expels them by dozens without the least cause and merely because they them by dozens without the least cause and merely because they are communists, this same Poincaré-Herrior Government and its whole Press, headed by the "Temps" in order to get in a blow at italian imperialism, hypocritically rejects from the high horse of moral indignation the insimuation that the French Government should infringe on the "sacred" right of asylum of political emigrants. Thus Mussolini's endeavour to "make use of" the attempted assassination to the advantage of his foreign policy, has turned out a pritial tiasco.

### The Comedy of the "Referendum" in Spain.

ustarique By Acosted Duarte (Barcelona).

the same of the companies and

The three years of military dictatorship, which have deprived Spain of the most elementary political rights, have brought about a situation in trade, in industry and in finance which is disastrous and chaotic - a situation which induces Primo de Rivera to hand over power to the "Union Patriotica" Party which is formed from the soum of the reactionaries and dericals. The government of this Party will be a continuation of the military policy, the governing system of which has its best executive organs in terror, and in violence. With the exception of the clergy, of the army and of the most conservative fractions of the old political parties and the social democracy, the majority of the citizens enjoy no political freedom nor legal existence. Anybody who is suspected of holding advanced or radical views, no matter whether he belongs to a bour-geois or proletarian party, is subjected to the most severe perseorganisation of the Kenta

Our Communist Party is compelled to work illegally Our best comrades are frequently thrown into prison. We have no rights to hold meetings, nor to combination nor any treedom whatever. The trade unions which incline to us are dissolved and

the Spirositional minorities hin other General Labour Federation. where they are strong, are kept down by imprisonment, banishment and police brutality. On the other hand the Socialist Party enjoys unrestricted freedom to carry on its activity and its most prominent members work according to the dictates of the

As 'Primo de Rivera has apparently succeeded in weakening the political parties hostile to him, he is now striving to create the appearance of a broad basis for his government activity and to reduce his responsibility. The "referendum" which has just been concluded is a clear demonstration that the dictator is in a blind alley. The cost of living has increased by 17%. The deficit in the budget exceeds 1250 million Pesetas; the military budget has been increased by more than 254 Pesetas. the budget for the clergy by more than 18 million Pesetas etc. The total of the State debt amounts to about 19.000 million Pesetas. The value of the Peseta continues to remain very low The Morocco question, with its daily fresh battles, still remains Unemployment is increasing enormously.

The position of the working class is terrible. It has no right to strike, that is if the strike is led by revolutionary elements. The workers are wretchedly paid and the length of the working day depends upon the will of the employer. Industrial and commercial bankruptcies are the order of the day. The burden of taxes is considerably hampering trade, and the life of the country is becoming paralysed. Only the acts of violence of the government and the terror of the dictator render it possible that the people bear in silence the loss of their political fiberties, few as they were, and at the same time the loss of the elementary right to existence.

The movement of the artillery troops — a purely pro-fessional movement — has induced the dictator to expedite the drafting and publication of the future parliament, which is to be elected on the basis of representation according to pro-lessions by the various organisations and by the trade unions which are recognised by the government as tegal, that is, by the federations and trade unions of a clerical and social democratic stamp. This parliament will be a sham parliament, a tool of the dictator, and the elements of which it is to consist will be the elements which enjoy confidence in the present political situation. In this artificially assembled parliament the true opinion of the people will remain unrepresented.

There is no doubt that the results of the "Referendum" constitute an open rejection, a categorical condemnation of the methods, and the work of Primo de Rivera. Out of 14 million citizens who were entitled to take part in the referendum, only 2,900,000 cast their votes, among these being 2 million women, 155,000 officials of the State and municipalities and of the provincial administrations - compulsory votes - etc. When one takes into consideration the compulsory measures and the acts of violence of the military rulers, then one can maintain with certainty that the total number of the votes cast in favour of the ruling dictatorship do not amount to more than half a million. When it is also remembered that only members of the "Union Patriotica" Party were permitted to control the voting, one can arrive at the conclusion that the government sustained a shattering defeat.

The country-which is suffering under the dictatorship, has not lost either its political feeling or instinct. The working class in particular, with a fine instinct and in spite of the indirect recommendations of the social democracy, have silently abstained from taking part in the referendum and thereby registered their protest. Such a decisive attitude of the proletariat with regard to the comedy of the "Referendum" constitutes at the same time a condemnation of the dictator and a condemnation of the social democracy, which has sold itself to the ruling militarism and which endeavoured to induce the working class to accept the proposed parliament.

To sum up, one can say that Primo de Rivera has been rejected by the enormous majority of the population. The Communist Party, in spite of all the difficulties created by the extraordinary situation, has an exceedingly favourable field of work before it which will allow it to penetrate into the working masses, by whom it is recognised as the advance guard of the proletariat. The position is now very favourable for such a development provided all the social democratic remnants in the C. P. are definitely liquidated.

is rights and faint. . where the french nos had

The contribution of the Wall and Building Society of the Lating

## The Soviet Government and the Tangier Question.

By I. Taigin, Moscow.

On September 9th, the representatives of the Soviet Uniou in Great Britain, Italy, France and Sweden presented the following note, in accordance with the instructions of their Governments.

Government has approached the States which signed the general treaty of the Algerias Conference, with the proposal to convoke a tresh conference for the purpose of revising the principles laid down in the general treaty and in the subsequent international conventions.

In accordance with instructions from my Government I take the liberty of pointing out that the participation of my country in the Algecias Conference gives if the right, as it does all the other member States of the Algecias Conference, to take part in the said revision, according to the policy of my country.

In consohance with the above statements, I am authorised to declare in the name of my Government that it reserves the right not to acknowledge the resolutions which may be passed without its participation in relation to the above question. My Government would draw special attention to the necessity of consulting it as regards the place at which the prospective conference should be held "

What is the significance of this proclamation of the Soviet

About the year 1900 France's efforts towards the economic and political conquest of Morocco became apparent. In 1904 France concluded a secret treaty with England and Spain, in which these two countries recognised France's protectorate mandate over Morocco on condition that the Spanish Zone in Morocco should be excluded from the protectorate, that a special international zone should be founded in Tangier and that the economic interests of Great Britain in Morocco should be governmented (Morocco is a positive rich in mineral wealth).

be guaranteed (Morocco is a country rich in mineral wealth).
The result of the agreement was an energetic attempt on the part of France to subjugate the Sultan of Morocco. But the French successes brought Germany on the scene. On March 31st, 1905, the former Kaiser Wilhelm II landed unexpectedly in Tangier and held a jingo speech. This speech and the threatening attitude of Germany towards France led to the convocation of the International Algerias Conference in January 1906, in which the representatives of England, France, Spain, Italy, the United States, Russia, Sweden and Morocco participated. The final result of the Algeriras Conference, which lasted four months, was the so-called "general treaty", which recognised formally the sovereignty of the Sultan of Morocco, the integrity of his possessions and the principle of the "open door" in respect of the economic interests of all foreign powers. At the same time, measures were taken for the creation of a number of "international institutions", such as a State Bank, all customs office, a police force, etc., which were to be under the supervision of the foreign Imperialists.

But France was not disposed to content itself with the curtailment of its influence in Morocco. It used the murder of a few workmen in Casablanca as a pretext for occupying that town on July 30th, 1907, in the next few years France doggedly continued its offensive in Morocco and on May 21st, 1911, it occupied the town of Fez.

The French Covernment appeared to be on the very point of realising its secret dreams. But Germany again interfered. On July 1st 1911, the German canonboat "Panther" entered the harbour of Agadir. The German demonstration was not without effect On November 4th, 1911, Germany and France came to an agreement, which enabled France to proclaim its protectorate of Morocco, after Germany had been satisfied with the transfer of apportion of the Congo territory and with the consolidation of the economic interests in Morocco. The protectorate was actually declared on March 30th, 1912. Several months later, on November 27th, 1912, a special convention was made between France and Spain to cover the division of "the spheres of interest" in Morocco. The elaboration of the status regulating the international postion of Tangier was postponed to a more favourable occasion.

The Treaty of Versailles compelled Germany to give up all its rights and claims in Morocco. The French position in

Morocco was substantially strengthened. On December 17th, 1923, a treaty concerning Tangler was concluded between England, France and Spain, whereby all the States which had participated lift the Algedras Conference were navited to subscribe to this agreement. Only one exception was made, the Soviet Union received no invitation.

Recently the revision of the "general treaty" and all the conventions and agreements relating thereto has been demanded by Spain. As may be assumed from the Geneva-journals, Spain has the intention of calling a new international conference. On this occasion, too, invitations are being sent to all the States whose representatives were present at the Algebras Conference but the Soviet Union is again left out

The note which was published on September, 9th, therefore profests against this attitude on the part of the great povers. The Soviet Union demands that its proper right, to participate in the conference which is being prepared by Spain should be respected. If the conference takes place and the Soviet Government gets the opportunity of taking part in it, it will not attend the conference in order to help the capitalist robbers to decide the fate of the Moroccan tribes: on the contrary, it will determine the interests of these tribes against the imperialists, it is for this reason that the Soviet Government its categorically claiming the right to the represented at the sconference.

Will this possibility be allowed to the Soviet Union? It is highly probable that the initiators of the conference, who are fully aware of the views of our Government in regard to colonial problems, will use every means in their power to keep the Soviet delegates away from the conference, if this really happens the only expedient for the Soviet Union is one which it has used on several similar occasions (we refer to the resolutions of the international conference concerning Spitzbergen and the Aland Islands): To declare with the greatest publicity that it refuses to acknowledge the resolutions of the conference which was not attended by its representatives.

### The Political Struggles in Turkey.

By B. Ferdi.

For some time now bitter political struggles have been developing in Turkey. The necessity for the war of independence did much to bridge the gap between the opposing groups. But immediately after the war grave conflicts arose between the chief of the Nationalist movement, between Mustapha Kenal and the best known of the men who had previously supported him in the fight. Certain elements which had direct relations with the profiteering bourgeoisie of the big commercial centres, founded together with a number of politicians, who have connection with feudal families, a kind of political Opposition party under the name "The Second Group of Defenders of Liberties". The remainder of the organisation "Union & Progress" also adopted an attitude of opposition to the revolutionary acids of the Kemalists. But as a result of the grave antagonisms which an important role.

country. It was just before the conclusion of the Treaty of Lausanne. It was necessary to win the fight by diplomatic methods. Kenal exploited this circumstance as well as his great reputation, and he succeeded in frustrating the intrigues of his opponents. In this way the candidates of his party were able, almost without exception, to win through the constitutional election prescribed by him. But all this could not prevent the development of the opposition:

The Kemalists seemed in all these fights to be invulnerable until the last twelve months or so. Since the insurrections of 1925 however, the situation has become more critical day royalday. The Smyrna conspiracy, however, disclosed the awknowardness of their position. They govern the country in an atmosphere of hatred and discontent: These feelings are clearly expressed to every class of society. For this reason they were compelled to suspend Democratic freedom and to make a system of government on of the emergency laws and out of a regime of terror

The explanation of this state of affairs is to be found in the peculiarity of the political organisation of the Kemalistsy the People's Party.

This is the only powerful political party in Earkey which has a legiturate existence in a continuous close of or only but The People's Party is not a political party in the sense

which is understood in Europe. The classes, which it allegedly represents, are not organised in the party. In reality it is an administrative machine and a very small general staff. The members do not do any party work, they have only to make their regular contributions and to vote for the candidates of the party when the elections come around. There is never held a general meeting of the party. Everything is done by the parliamentary faction in Angora and communicated to the sections. In the beginning, at least, the People's Party was closely related to the masses of the middle classes, but in the course of time of the masses of the middle classes, but in the course of time of the gradually severed this connection in order to be completely free.

No statistics are available concerning the strength and composition of the Party. We estimate that the number of its active members does not exceed 500, and the total number of its members will range between 2000 and 3000. According to the data which we have at hand, we can give the toflowing

14 64	-herinado	ro an	d prot	rincia	in retire magna	25%	
arge und	lertaker	s, ind	ustrial	ists, at	id merc	cnants .	209
iberal p	rojessio	ns: la	wyers	docto	ors, euc	21.11	109
Tell-to-do	farme	rs .	1 54.15			*,, ** * . () *	
orkers	4		. A. Is		A 1.30 *	1 30.00	59

SHE ACT

While the People's Party doubles its endeavours to deceive the middle class in order to keep it in tow and thereby rank an organisation representing middle-class interests, it is, on account of its composition forced more and more to pursue the policy of the exploiter-class. This knowledge is common property. The decline of the People's Party has two important causes:

The encouragement which the Party itself gives to its most influencial members to plunge into economic enterprises. In a relatively short time the most capable political leaders of Kemalism, supported morally and materially by the Covernment have been able to secure for themselves the most prominent positions in the business world.

The big concerns need peace at home and the support of foreign capital, in order to develop. Nothing damages their prosperity, more than uncertainty brought about by diplomatic tension. The Government is, therefore, obliged to settle international differences amicably.

2. The second cause of the decline of the People's Party must be sought in the spirit and methods prevailing before its foundation and composition. Kensal won the gratitude of the people; by successfully leading the armed fights for national independence. It was only after seizing power, and disposing of his political apponents that Kemal occupies himself with the task of forming his party. All those who were entrusted with the organisation and beadership of the party sections and with important posts in the machinery of state were selected from the very small circle of Kemal's intimates and were bornerup by his tremendous prestige. There is practically noloody in Covernment circles who owes his position to election and to the confidence of any social group.

are guided. By a single thought. They want to have a free hand everywhere in order to be able to devote themselves to the realisation of their economic programme. In the same way they are unanimous in their desire to avoid any conflict, either internal or external, which might be long drawn bout. The reign of ternor which they have instituted in the country was designed to hip in the bud every attempt to resist their policy, so that no parliamentary on other flights sof doubtful issue might ensue. The slightest suspicion is justification doubtful issue might ensue. The slightest suspicion is justification doubtful issue might ensue. The slightest suspicion is justification doubtful issue might ensue. They have abused their power to width that greatest exercity. They have abused their power to width that the Democratic constitution of the Republic has become a scrap of spapen. These practices alienate them from their masses point the people nand deprive them of their prudence as leaders of othe greatest in the

The Kennilists feel only too well that their policy must be ineffectual in view of the general discontent which must of necessity arise among the misses. Their policy throughout indicates their fear of the attitude of the masses of the people in case of armed conflict. If they were able to rely on the support of the masses they would have shown much more

radicalism in the various disputes which they have had with neighbouring (countries) and especially in the Mosul question. As they are afraid of the conflagration of civil war, other have hastened at great sacrifice to come to an understanding with the respective countries (Treaty of neutrality with France and Persia treaty of friendship with Bulgaria and Mugoslavia, compromise with Greece in the question of the exchange of populations, etc.)

The situation is sometrained, the discontent of the masses so great, that open fights of great dimensions may shortly be expected. The class which is destined to appear first upon the scene is that class of the bourgeoisie, which we are inclined to term the "great" bourgeoisie of the old regime" and which is composed of representatives, and allies of international finance. This is the class which is politically best organised. Famous politicians of the most various origin (Progressives, Unionists, "Second Group", Independents, etc.) have undertaken to defend the interests of these important classes. Three tendencies may be observed in this block, which would, of course, split up as soon as power was gained; Firstly, those who are dependent upon English capital and who advocate a policy of rapproblement in regard to Great Britain (the leading Progressives); secondly, those who advocate close collaboration with German capital (the "Unionists"); thirdly a those who have no particular point of view but who still cultivate friendly relations with the Soviet Union (certain Unionists, the remnants of the "Second Group").

in order to seize upon several of the most prominent personalities of the Liberal Opposition and a few of them were hung. But this does not signify the definite elimination of this opposition.

This ideology is so deeply rooted in the country that it cannot be destroyed even though it be deprived of its most courageous and most capable lighters. The great majority of the intellectuals, all the officers on half pay and a portion of the civil servants display sympathy for this movement.

The true and real reaction, which is supported by the fendal

The true and real reaction, which is supported by the fendal and monarchist circles, is less to be feared at the moment it is a fact that the clerical circles and the regents of the Ottoman dynasty are carrying on a secret agricultum among the proof peasants, and deliberately, spreading confusion in their minds concerning the reform of the flaity and the unfavourable sconomic position. The reactionary campaign will naturally continue until the time has arrived when feudalism will be destroyed and the question of land reform will be solved in a radical sense.

Finally, there is the question whether a revolutionary movement on the part of the discontented working masses and especially of the poor peasants is possible. In this direction one may anticipate any of a number of eventualities: firstly, a sudden swerving of the Kemalists, who find themselves threatened from every side and see that their salvation lies in the support of the oppressed classes and, therefore, would arrange their policy accordingly. There is no indication up to the present that a change of this nature will take place. But if the People's Party does not comprehend, before it is too late, the necessity of extending their social basis by attracting the most advanced elements, their position will be exposed to immediate danger, for the possibility of the lormation against them of a condition of all the maloonteuts under the leadership of the city bourgeoiste must be reckoned with. This is, the second highly possible eventuality. The third possibility consists of a movement on the part of the working masses. It is the task of the Communication prepare and promote such a movement.

### THE LABOUR MOVEMENT

### The Bournemouth Trades Union Congress.

By R. Palmen Dust (London)

The Bournemouth Trades Union Congress was a Congress held under a dictatorship of the dictatorship of the Conservative Government acting in alliance with the General Council of the Trade Unions: The Emergency Powers Act overshadows the Congress the principal leaders of the opposition, such as many rates Politic and Confincient were in prison with hundred the beschof the workers of the traternal delegate of the Soviet workers. Commide Tontally who would have expressed out cism of the General Council was prevented from alternating by

the Government. The President ruled out of order any discussion of otherwood debining issues of the General Strike and the Mineral flock Out. The Mineral in the midst of struggle, were bound over by a space between the leaders from expressing their olders, 2002.

Congress lever held in the annals of the British Labour Movement is thappening in the working class.

worlding of the Opposition, and the visible joint worlding of the Congress. For the past four years Compiled Politic has been the recognised leader of the Opposition at the Congress. For the past four years Compiled Politic has been the recognised leader of the Opposition at the Congress. The was due for release from his one year scrittence of September 11; the Congress was on September 5. With the slightest pressure the General Council could have secured his release the necessary few days earlier it was not done; under trade union demand a formal request was made to the Home Secretary, which was refusely and there the matter was left hat he same way, with regard to the exclusion of Council to but the Times came hearen the truth of the position when it said.

To surphic action of the Home Office will relieve the General Council from a rather embarrassing position? There will be a secret sense of satisfaction that Tomsky will not be satisfaction that Tomsky will not be satisfaction that Tomsky will not be satisfaction that the second which it is a subject to deliver the peculiar kind of fraternal speech which it is a subject to deliver the peculiar kind of fraternal speech which it is a subject to the second on the second of the

(Times 3/9/26.)

What the Government left undone in the way of smoothing the path for the Ceneral Council and silencing opposition, the General Council machine completed by censoring the agenda, ruling out debate oil the principal issues, closuring discussion against protest, and wiping out even a semblance of freedom of the Congress. The power of the machine may be judged from this fact; that the whole weight of the Miners vote, the strongest Union and one fourth of the Congress, was directed against every sitting member of the General Council and yet this 25% turnover of votes, which would swamp any ordinary parliamentary election, produced only one change in the thirty two members of the General Council, and that of a relatively unknown

Thus the decisions of Bournemouth are a reflection of the trade union machine and not of the working class. They represent the fetrear of the trade union bureaucracy from Scarborough. At Starborough the torce of the rising Left Wing tide in the working class, in an atmosphere of victory from "Red Friday" and approaching greater struggle, compelled a show of concession from the machine; senti-revolutionary resolutions were passed, for international unity, for greater centralisation, for the overthrow of capitalism, for the formation of factory committees, for the break-up of the capitalist Empire; the so-called Left Wing leaders were at their highest point. These resolutions were never intended by the machine to be taken seriously, and immediately after the Congress were shelyed and ignored. Once the Capitalistion of May 12 had smashed the working class advance in battle by the coalition of the Government and the General Council, the reformst machine could openly abandon its shams and return to the normal policy of subservence and class collaboration. The so-called Left Wing leaders on the General Council were completely deflated, and played no role in the Gongress; the dominating Right Wing leaders, such as Thomas and Menderson, did not trouble to attend. The Congress was left in the hands of such typical trade union bureaucrats as Pugh, Bevin and Cramp.

an expression of the extreme conservative view of the functions of trade unionism. The Trade Unions to day" he declared "are as much a part of the hie of the community as the Law Courts or Parliament itself". The apparent conflicts of Trade Unionism and the State in the General Strike (he called it the National Strike) was accidental; there was no political intention; the supreme lesson of the national strike is the clear evidence; it adduced as showing that the Trade Union. Movement retained belief in the essential rightness of democratic methods". The Covernment attack on hours was mentioned; the remedy lay in the ballot box. The "tragic situation" in the mining industry

was gmentioned; no steps were proposed A "scientific wage policy" was the Chairman's proposed for the tuture of trade union policy. The speaker concluded with a warning against mechanical unity" in the international field, and a plea for to-leastion" at bome. In this reactionary speech every living issue waste evaded.

The principal issues facing the Congress were two; the General Strike and the Mineral Fight. With petther of these was

the Congress allowed to deald the property of the Congress allowed to deald the property of the Congress of th

The first of these grounds was a direct ruling out of the control of the General Council by the Congress which elects it the question whether "the Congress of Executives is more authoritative than this Congress replied. "On this subject, undoubtedly". Thus in luture the trade union bureaucracy desires to be responsible only to the trade union bureaucracy.

The second is even less valid. No private agreement of my two sets of officials has any claim to tie the hands of the Congress. The Miners' leaders were fooled into making the agreement, which Cook has since declared that he "personally regrets" and they were fooled again into maintaining if at the Congress to that they alone defended the Centeral Council from attack at the Congress.

When the small group of Communist delegates pressed forward the attack on the issue of the General Strike, taking as their opportunity the reference back of the section of the General Council's report mentioning it, and driving home a merciless criticism of the treachery and cowardite of the General Council. Cook intervened to save the General Council of appeal to Congress, he said in the interests of the men for whom we are fighting, not to wash dirty linen. Initialized after his speech the debate was stopped; and the vote taken despite protests. The vote showed 775,000 for the reference back, and 3,098,000 against that the miners, vote been correctly cast, the vote should have shown 1,5 millions against the General Council to two millions for it; and the miners, had they put up a fight, could certainly have carried with them other sections.

Cook's action in saving the General Council at the Congress throws on him a responsibility parallel to theirs. He has subsequently stated that had he been free to speak, Tomsky's criticism of the General Council would have been mild in comparison to his. No subsequent criticism when it is too late, can wippout his action of the Congress.

The treatment of the Miners' Struggle was even more shameful. Aleresolution was input; not of practical assistance to the Miners, not even touching on the issues of the miners' light, but of self-congratulation of the other Unions on their "generous financial, assistance" to the miners ("high appreciation of the generous financial assistance both national and international which has been afforded to the miners") and urging all to "subscribing to the utmost". This when as Cook has stated. the Miners have not received as much as id ner head a week from the British Trade Union Movement, and a one per cent levy would have in one week brought in more than all the voluntary collection in mineteen weeks; while the "international" assistance outside Soviet Russia has consisted, from the Amsterdam international, of 7,5 million tons of blackleg coal and a loan at interest. Practical aid, the levy, the embargo, was not allowed to be touched on.

levy for the Miners. The motion was noneven allowed to be put to the Congress. No left wing speaker was allowed to speak in this shameful contedy the miners cofficials again par-

the this shameful comedy the miners officials again participated by supporting the insulting resolution of the General Council, and making no attempt to place the issue of the tevy and the embargo before the Congress.

By those two issues the Congress stands judged. The treatment of other issues was corresponding. The placing of directing powers in the bands of the Opneral Council was not only rejected, but even a resolution in layour of investigation and report in a year's time was rejected so great was the tear of an repetition of the situation of May. While a formal resolution

in favour of International Unity was carried, a proposal for a world conference of the Amaterdam and Red Internationals was opposed by Purcell and rejected by 2,416,600 to 1,237,000. On the other hand, an emergency resolution, proposed by a Communist for the Amers, for "Hands Off China" and "Hands Off Russia", was despite the opposition of one of MacDonald's Ministers, carried by a large majority.

In the re-election of these was by previous arrangement. The

In the re-election of the General Council only two changes took place, and one of these was by previous arrangement. The one change by voting replaced a right winger by a Left Wing official, Halleworth, who had done some good lighting at the Congress. Of the 32 seats 17 were "unopposed". This does not mean that there was no opposition to the holders, but simply that by the sectional system of nomination the majority of the seats are the unchallengeable preserves of the officials of the big Uniois. In this way, Thomas, Bromley, Bevin, Turner, Poulton, Hicks and others were all "unopposed", while the majority of the remainder only had minor officials as opponents and not representatives of the Opposition. A democratic vote of the delegates would have certainly produced very different results.

The strength of the Opposition (which was almost solely maintained by the Communists and Minority Movement) on the voting on the main issues never fell below three quarters of a million. This is a very favourable result, in view of the workings of the block vote by which many minorities are swamped, and of the reactionary pressure of every kind, excercised by the Government and the General Council upon the Congress. Despite the official character of most of delegations, the reflection of leliward sentiment in the main body of the delegates was obvious in several incidents; and the extreme disciplining and nervousness of the ruling body was not without grounds. In fact, one member of the General Council, Conley, stated the position with blazing indiscretion, when he explained why the General Council was endeavouring to forbid the affiliation of Trades Councils to the Minority Movement:

Trades Councils and trade union branches; it would within

a short time become the majority."

With this encouragement the Continumists and Minority Movement can go forward with their work in the Trade Unions after the Bournemouth Congress, to wipe out its shameful record and replace the leadership responsible, more confident than ever in the lavourable situation and the certainty of their advance.

# HOINU SURE SHE'SO YTHU SHE'SOF

# The Berlin Meeting of the Anglo-Russian

Comrade Andreyev's Report at the Extraordinary Session of the Presidings of the Enlarged Central Council of the Trade

(Continued.)

Our criticism of the standpoint of the English delegation,
We considered it absolutely necessary to reply to the decla-

ration of the British delegation by expressing, with hundred per cent of downrightness, our opinion of the attitude taken by the British delegation. This straight-forwardness considerably oftended the delegates of the General Council, but it was simply impossible for us to coin cleasant phrases (A voice; hear, hear!) after we had heard that the British delegation was not prepared to discuss, at the meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee, practical measures for increasing the aid given to the miners. After they had declined to do this, wo could not talk to one another in suavely diplomatic phrases, we could only say what we thought with complete proletarian frankness.

We declared to them that their reply entitled us to place clearly on record that they do not awant the wanglo-Russian Committee to discuss or decide upon any measures in the question of increased aid for the miners. The last declaration of the British delegation, designating our proposals as obsoleted and as representing a stage long since passed, is to us a fresh manouver, a resh stratagem for removing the pressingly important lighting question of aid to the miners from the agenda of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

In order to prove this, it is only necessary to recall briefly the tacts: On 28. June the A.U.C.T.U. demanded the same-diate convocation of the Anglo-Russian Committee, for the discussion of the question of aid to the miners. The Anglo-Russian Committee did not meet, however, until the 30th July, in Paris. This was not the fault of the A.U.C.T.U.; the meeting was postponed by the General Council. At last the Anglo-Russian Committee met in Paris. We, the Russian delegation, were anxious to have the mainers' question discussed, but were told that the most important question was that of the declaration of the A.U.C.T.U., and that the British delegation was not authorised to discuss any practical questions, such as the miners' question. This question was accordingly removed from the agenda. This was the second step taken by the General Council, the second attempt, to draw back from the question of aiding the miners.

And now the third factor: the disagreement with regard to the successive order of the points of the agenda at the Berlin meeting of the Committee, and the obstinacy with which the British delegation contended against the placing of the miners' question as first item on the agenda, were not accidental. The British delegation wanted to discuss the miners' question as something of secondary importance.

The fourth stage of their strategy was the declaration that our proposals are out of date.

To this last declaration we replied: Very well. Let us assume that our proposals possess no practical value. What do you propose? What practical improvement have you to suggest to our proposals? Were you, willing, at the meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee, to examine our proposals point by point, and to make counter proposals, instead of contending for, a day and a half against any discussion of the question whatever? Did you try anything of the sort? No, we observed nothing of the kind. The British delegation did not make the slightest attempt to examine our proposals point by point, they merely made a general declaration to the effect that they rejected them as a whole. This shows the nature of the fisincerity? with which they have been destrous of practically discussing the miners' question.

and of increasing the necessary aid for the miners. In reply to the objection that our proposals are out of date, and that they are already being carried out, we answered: Let us at least examine our most important proposals. Is the question of material aid then out of date? Is it unnecessary to increase the material aid being given? Have all necessary measures been taken? Is the international doing anything premotely resembling an action of proletarian solidarity or remotely reflecting even the idea that it is mobilising the international abour front in the aid of the miners?

With reference to Comrade Purcell's words, I must mention to you some of the figures referring to the material aid which has been sent from home and abroad. Up to the present the miners have received 740,000 pounds, of which about 500,000 have come from us, the rest having been collected in England and in other countries. When this sum is divided among the whole of the miners, we find that out of every eighteen pence received per miner per week, eleven pence has come from the workers of the Soviet Union. Does this show that the material aid has been adequate? Does it look as if the General Council had exerted every endeauour to induce the Amsterdam International and the Industrial Internationals to give all the aid in their power? No, nothing of the kind. Surely the want and privation suffered by the miners, and the length of the severe struggle, must give rise to anxiety as to the issue of the strike. But you assure us that everything has been done, everything carried out, all available possibilities utilised, etc.

With respect to material aid we proposed such measures as would have made it possible now to ensure for the miners a certain guaranteed and constant aid, not a haphazard and occasional aid, on the principle of. If there are collections, it is a good thing; if there are none, the miners can receive nothing, etc. We proposed much more fundamental measures; We proposed and undertook to carry out the measures in our own country, a one per cent levy on the wages of all members of trade unions for the duration of the strike. (Voices: Quite right) This measure would have ensured essential success. Had this measure been carried out in England, in Russia, and in other countries, then it would have been a very real support enabling the miners to continue their struggle. Was this measure carried out? Was it discussed even once by the General Council? No, not even once.

Has everything possible been done in the question of the embargo on coal and other fuel? Our English delegation declared that everything has been considered. In that case what is the meaning of Churchile's assertion that no less than one million ions of coal are being imported into England weekly? Is this scab coal not the most important weapon in the hands of the government and the coalowners, enabling them to starve out the miners? Here is the chief danger, and to this we have drawn attention. Has the General Council taken any steps in this direction? Has the General Council, on its own initiative, taken any steps towards proposing that the separate unions should carry out these measures in the interests of the miners? None whatever,

The General Council has not done this even once, although the representatives of the General Council assured us that they have examined into this question, discussed it with the separate unions, and had considered the embargo on coal and fuel transport as possible of accomplishment, etc. It is hypocrisy, after all this, to declare that our proposals are out of date. On no single occasion has the General Council; on its own initiative. proposed to any transport workers' union the actual carrying out of this measure. Not once has the General Council appealed to either the Amsterdam International or to the Transport Workers' Federation, with the request that the latter should provide for the carrying out of this measure outside of Engand, etc. And now we are told that our proposals are out of date, and this when in England a large number of trade councils - for instance in Liverpool, Glasgow, Manchester, Bristol, London --, and various sections of the Transport Workers' and Railwaymen's Unions have declared themselves in favour of an embargo on the transport of coal and other fuel, whilst the General Council has taken no steps in the matter.

We further proposed that the General Council should exercise determined pressure on the government through the Labour Party, in order to force the government to cease its direct support of the mineowners. The representatives of the General Council told us that they have done everything: The Labour Party is taking all necessary steps, etc. etc. But have we heard one single energetic declaration on the part of the Labour Party, calling upon the Conservative government to abandon its support of the mineowners? Not nothing of the kind. And yet the representatives of the General Council have declared that this is being done. Comrades, the Olympian tranquillity with which the English delegates dealt with this question literally disgusted us. The refusal to discuss the question of international aid for the miners signifies that the miners remain in their isolated position, that they must continue to light alone, with the evident prospect of defeat. And if the question of the embargo is simply shelved, what does this mean? It means objective aid lent to the enemy, it means that the enemies of the miners are permitted to retain in their hands the weapon of scab coal, a weapon with which they can win the fight. The failure to force the Labour Party to exercise pressure on the government means, objectively regarded, that the Conservative government is to be given a free hand to smash the miners.

If it is still declared, after all this, and after no serious measures whatever have been taken in aid of the miners, that our proposals are out of date, then this is simply hypocrisy, if not more than that. It means that the proposals of the A.U.C.T.U. are being derided, thought these proposals have been submitted in all seriousness. And with the sincere wish that they might be put into practice—to the mutual examination of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

We cannot judge the attitude of the English delegation other wise than this

A further objection: The Anglo-Russian Committee is not

competent to examine into this question.

The constitution of the Anglo-Russian Committee, and the purposes for which it was founded, are in themselves sufficiently detected that the Committee cannot pass over the question of the miners strike. It is undertakes nothing in this question, it will greatly detract from its authority in the eyes of the broad masses of the workers, for the immediate duty of the Committee is the foint struggle against the capitalist offensive, and mutual aid. This is stated in so many words in the former decisions and resolutions of the Anglo-Russian Committee. We declared that we, the representatives of the A'U.C.T'U., regard the struggle for unity as a question of action and not of talk, and that if the Anglo-Russian Committee were to further the cause of increased aid for the miners, this would be a great factor

towards the establishment of real unity, of a real trade umon international. We declared further that we stand for the Anglo-Russian Committee, but are decidedly opposed to its being converted into a debating society, and will energetically combat an policy tending to transform the Anglo-Russian Committee into an institution for general discussions on unity. The Anglo-Russian Committee must be a real organ for the struggle for unity, and its basis must be the struggle against the capitalist offensive. That is how we understand the tasks and functions of the Anglo-Russian Committee, but you, the English delegation, speak of the incompetence and lack of authority of the Committee.

We declared to the English delegation that after all they have done, after dealing the first blow at the Anglo-Russian Committee in Paris, they now deal the second in retusing to discuss the question of aid to the miners. The workers can form no other opinion of your attitude, for the working masses are waiting impatiently to know what the Committee says about aid for the miners. You will not discuss this question, and thereby you endanger the whole existence of the Committee.

#### The threat to dissolve the Committee.

The representatives of the General Council declared that after the reply made by the Russian delegation to their declaration on the question of aid for the miners, it was possible that the General Council, after taking cognisance of the report of its delegation, would raise the question of the dissolution of the Angio-Russian Committee. This was said by various members of the British delegation.

Why should the General Council raise the question of the dissolution of the Anglo-Russian Committee? What cause has arisen? You are anxious for dissolution because we, the Russian delegation, have told you the truth to your faces, and because you do not want to discuss the practical side of the question of aid to the miners. Dissolve the Committee if you want to But take the initiative of dissolving it upon yourselves, for we shall not do it; we shall endeavour to make the Anglo-Russian Committee what it was intended to be in reality, and not only in name. If you will, take this initiative and dissolve the Committee, after first dealing it a further blow. Do this, and then see what the masses of the English workers will say to you and to what extent they will support your action.

We have told you that our indignation is roused at the tranquility with which the British delegation deals with a question of such extreme importance as that of the mining conflict. The strength has been tragging to for four months. The present stage is one wild an end in defeat, and yet you decline our proposals at such a moment with perfectly Olympian calm. This is beyond our comprehension. We, the representatives of the Al UrQAT. Us cannot adopt such a leafing lattitude with regard to this question. Our experiences have taught us what class warfare means? We have suffered more than one defeat in this warfare, and we have hear it how we should or ganise, and what we must do during the struggle, if we are to gain the victory. Such Olympian tranquillity is beyond us in face of such a struggle as the mining conflict.

I now come to the last objection raised, that of "interference" and "dictation" We have field this to be a very serious accusation, and have considered it necessary to protest against the assertion that the Russian Unions are anxious to exploit the Anglo-Russian Committee for the purpose of getting into the hands a dictatorship over the English trade union movement. We have been told in so many words that we were trying to write textbooks for the English trade union movement. This is perfectly ridiculous. Who wants to do any such thing?

All we want is to offer our sincere help. The assertion that we want to take over the immediate leadership of the English trade union movement is a lie and a stander. We are aware that the English bourgeois press is now spreading abroad report that Moscow is trying to serve the leadership over England over the English labour movement, and that we are attempting to make the anglo-Russian Committee a tool by which we gain the leadership of the British trade union movement. The motive of the bourgeoisie in spreading these reports are clear enough for their first desire is the most rapid possible rupture between the working class of England and the Soviet Union. But it is perfectly incomprehensible to us that this assertion is found in the responsible declarations made by representatives of the

British trade unions, the representatives of the British working class. Its is perfectly incomprehensible to us that such a slander an be taken over by the members not the British delegation We raise the most energetic protest against this. We have declaed that we have not submitted our proposals with the purpose of forcing tour will upon anybody abut for the purpose of having our proposals accorded careful consideration, point by point / If we have formulated our propositions incorrectly, we hall be pleased to have them corrected. All this is a necessary tage of joint discussion, in order that the decisions of the Anglo-Russian Committee may be laid before the General Counand the A. U. C. T. U. for confirmation. Is there any single element of dictatorship in this? Nothing of the kind. We are anxious tor, a solut discussion of questions, such discussion as formerly took place at the meetings of the Anglo-Russian Committee and it is untruthful, and slauderous to maintain that we want to influence the English trade union movement through the medium of the Anglo-Russian Committee. We know perfectly well that the British working class, and the British working class alone, can lead the British trade union movement and correct its faults. We, of course, reserve the right of free criticism, like any other organisation. This is an inviolable ight possessed by every division of the international labour movement. But the immediate leadership, and the correction of the faults of this leadership, are the task of the British working class itself, and of the British working class alone. We do not disguise the fact that the General Council pur-

We do not disguise the tact that the General Council pursued a wrong policy in the general strike that it has rendered the struggle of the miners extremely difficult, and has struck the miners a severe blow. We are, however, of the opinion that only the British working class, and no one else, can correct these errors. We can criticise, we can impart our experiences we can give our advice. But this cannot in the least be regarded as an attempt at dictatorship etc. It is the task of the English working class to make good the mistakes, and we hope that it will show itself capable of doing this.

I should like to draw attention to a very characteristic factor in the attitude of the British delegation. At the meeting the chairmen of the delegations spoke most frequently. Thus I in my capacity as chairman of our delegation spoke oftener than the others of our delegation, and the main attack of the British delegation was directed against me. The British delegation said in so many words that Andreyev's speeches followed a certain line, that he was steering for a runture in the Anglo-Russian Committee, and that the speeches of some of the other Soviet delegates took a different line to Andreyev's.

This attitude on the part of the British delegation is an extremely characteristic attempt to make out that there are differences in our ranks, whilst in reality there are no such differences. Our delegation has maintained perfect unanimity. But the English delegation found it necessary to discover some deviating lines,

This is highly important, for in this manner an entirely wrong impression of the state of affairs might be given after the return of the British delegation. We were obliged to take this argument out of their hands beforehand. Our delegation brought forward the following declaration, with four signatures:

Attempts have been made, in the speeches of Comrades Citrine and Swales, to make a difference between the line represented by comrade Andreyev and that of other members of the Russian delegation who have spoken.

"The chairman of our delegation defends entirely and completely that line and standpoint corresponding to the instructions given to the idelegation by the A. U.C. T.U.

agreement with the speeches and declarations made by Comrade Andreyev at the present meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee Hima round shart

Ugarov, the Lepsey of the Schwart; the Melnitschausky

The declaration of the British delegation on the miners' question.

I have described the whole course of the discussion on the question of aid for the miners. At the close of the debates we received a written declaration from the English delegation. I must read this declaration.

"The British representatives in the Anglo-Russian Committee having become acquainted with the resolution of the

Russian representatives, desire to state their general conclusions in respect to the said resolution.

The British delegates must consider the resolution in the light of the practical results which could be obtained by such a declaration.

Taking into consideration the insistance with which the Russian representatives demanded the examination of the question of the British unining conflict in the first order, the British representatives would have proposals indicating lines of activity and sources of aid to the British miners which have not up to now been investigated or utilised.

The British representatives have already frequently stated they are ready to examine and report to their own trade union centre any practical proposal coming from the Russian representatives and which could extend the steps already taken and the efforts made by those charged with conducting the

The resolution does not do this, and its proposals in respect to the various organisations mentioned in it, if they were to be accepted by the Anglo-Russian Committee, would not give any additional practical effect whatsoever, and would also not give the desired aid to the British miners, but on the contrary, at the present would be harmful for the cause of the finners as the result of international complications which might thereby be evoked.

In respect to the proposed condemnation of the I. F. T. U. (Amsterdam) for its supposed inactivity, the British delegates are of the opinion that this item is outside the jurisdiction of the Anglo-Russian Committee, and also that they cannot give their signature to such decisions.

The question of an embargo on coal imported to England has been thoroughly examined both from the national and international aspect by the competent organs, and the Anglo-Russian Committee has not means at its disposal for putting into force such a policy, while the proposed manifesto to the organs indicated would be correctly estimated as an absolutely unjustified interference.

Sources of possible financial aid have already been thoroughly investigated, and all steps have been taken for fully utilising the national and international apparatus for this object. The resolution does not envisage anything at all that is new or that is capable of giving greater results.

The British Labour Party during the entire mining conflict has shown its readiness in all possible cases to utilise the moment for a struggle against the position of the British Government. It has all the time been in close contact with the Miners' Federation for this purpose.

The British delegates have already expressed their appreciation of the support and material aid of the Russian trade unions to the British miners, and are sure that the resolution from all possible sources; but this support would not be attained with acceptance of the resolution.

If the Anglo-Russian Committee were to take upon itself powers which do not belong to it, this, as has already been indicated, would be looked upon as interference, and would neither bring practical aid to the miners, nor aid to the cause of international Unity.

Therefore the British representatives cannot agree to a joint acceptance of the resolution, but at the same time will report to their General Council about it."

This was the English declaration, to which we replied with the following declaration:

#### Declaration of the Delegation of the A. U. C. T. U.

"The delegation of the A.U.C.T.U. submitted to the meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee a draft of proposals referring to practical measures for helping the locked out miners in England to the end that the 14 points comprising this draft should be examined by both sides of the Anglo-Russian Committee, and the measures agreed upon then laid before the General Council and the A.U.C.T.U. for final examination and confirmation.

After the declaration of the delegation of the General Council, to the effect that the delegation is not in agreement with the proposals of our delegation with regard to aid for the miners, the delegation of the A.U.C. T.U. places on

record that the British delegation does not wish any decisions to be come to on the part of the Anglo-Russian Committee

on behalf of increased aid for the miners.

1074

The main object of our proposals was to aid the struggle of the miners in every possible way, and aimed at making every possible effort, under the present difficult conditions, to aid the struggle of the miners to victory.

The object of our proposal for carrying out the coal embargo was to deprive the English mineowners and government of their main weapon for crushing the strike by scab coal. This proposal aimed at a united action in support of the fighting miners on the part of the transport workers of England and other countries. The English delegation, however-rejected this proposal. This attitude of the Bnitish delegation implies a continuation of that inactivity on the part of the General Council which has led to the actual isolation of the miners in their struggle.

A number of our proposals on the increase of material aid for the miners contained on the one hand a criticism of the impermissible passivity of the leaders of the Amsterdam International and the Industrial Internationals in the question of aid, and on the other hand suggestions of various measures the acceptance of which would have undoubtedly caused the Anglo-Russian Committee to increase the material aid given the miners. The British delegation refused to accept these proposals. We can form no other estimate of this attitude of the British delegation than to designate it as an attempt to veil the criminal incativity of the leaders of the Amsterdam International and the Industrial Internationals, an inactivity frequently changing over into active resistance against help for the miners, and on the other hand as a sign of a lack of desire to support the miners in their struggle by means of strengthening the organisation of material aid, both in England itself and internationally. Our draft contained the pro posal that the General Council should appeal to the Labour Party to demand from the Conservative government that the latter cease its policy of supporting the mineowners against the miners. But the British delegation did not agree even with this proposal. Such an attitude on the part of the delegation of the General Council gives a free hand to the Conservative government and to the mineowners to continue to exert their whole forces against the miners as before.

The arguments brought forward against our draft by the General Council, as if our proposals had no practical import. and as if the General Council was already in the act of carrying them out, merely mean an attempt on the part of the British delegation to avoid any practical discussion of the question of aid for the miners by the Anglo-Russian Committee, for the General Council has not once expressed itself in favour of the embargo, nor once recommended that these measures be carried out by the transport workers' unions of England. and by the International Transport Workers' Federation, as a means by which help is to be given to the mitters. The General Council has made no use of its influence and its authority, either in England by the organisation of a regular material support for the miners, or internationally by promoting the organisation of an international relief through the Amsterdam International. Not once has the General Council demanded expressly from the Labour Party that the latter should require the Conservative government to abandon its support of the mineowners. To declare, under such circumstances, that our proposals are out of date, means in reality a frustration of the proposals made by the A. U. C. T. U. in aid of the miners

It need not be said that the delegation of the A. U. C. T. U. when bringing forward these proposals in aid of the miners, did not in the least expect that this draft would not be supplemented, during the course of the joint discussion, by a number of corrections and alterations. We should have been prepared to examine with the greatest attention any proposal from the British delegation with respect to increased aid for the miners. But the British delegation expressed no desire whatever for the joint examination of our proposals. And the British delegation, whilst raising objections to our whole draft, did not bring forward one single proposal for the joint examination of the agenda question of aid for the miners. All this is a fresh proof that the delegation of the General Council has no measures for increasing the aid given to the miners.

Such an attitude as this on the part of the delegation of the General Council at a moment when the miners struggle assumes so acute a form, we consider to be entirely impermissible. We are confident that this attitude is opposed to the feeling of the broad masses of the lighting miners.

the attempt made by the British delegation to relegate the discussion and determination of measures in aid of the miners to a sphere outside of the jurisdiction of the Angio-Rossian Committee is merely another attempt to bring about a rupture in the Committee, and another blow against the friendship and unity of the Angio-Russian trade union movement.

The whole aim and character of the Angle-Russian Committee means that the Angle-Russian Committee represents an organ in the trade union movement of both countries for the struggle against the capitalist offensive. It is clear that the Anglo-Russian Committee cannot simply pass over such an important event as the lockout of the miners in England, and that it must hasten to adopt measures in relief of the lighting miners.

We declare once more that the trade unions of the Soviet Union consider the continued existence of the Anglo-Russian Committee to be necessary. We shall however continue to make it our endeavour that the Anglo-Russian Committee shall actually realise the hopes and wishes placed in it by the working masses of England and of the Soviet Union, that we shall endeavour to increase the activity of the Committee in its work for trade union unity and against the capitalist offensive.

We protest energetically against the declarations contained in the speech of Comrade Pugh, in which he tried to make out that the trade unions of the Soviet Union were anxious to seize upon the leadership of the English trade union movement. We are fully aware that only the English working class can lead the English trade union movement, and we are convinced that if the leaders of the English trade union movement commit what are in our opinion errors, adopt a wrong attende towards the miners' struggle, and lead the movement wrongly, then the English working class will correct this leadership out of its own resources."

I must say that our declaration was received with great annoyance by the British delegation. They declared that we the Russian unions, were taking the initiative of causing a rupture in the Anglo-Russian Committee. We refuted this argument decisively in our subsequent speeches, and declared the argument to be a shifting of responsibility. After discussion the British delegation made the following brief declaration in reply to ourse.

"The British delegation express disagreement with the attacks on their motives and sincerity contained in the Russian statement, and categorically refute the accusations contained in this statement.

They assert their amazement that their well thought our arguments and explanations evoked such a reply, and regret the present act of the Russian delegates, which is without precedent.

Finally, we desire to draw attention to the considerable difference between accepting the declaration and putting sure a declaration into effect."

We felt ourselves justified in not replying again with written declaration, as so many declarations had falready been made. We restricted ourselves to once more confirming the portance of our declaration.

This ended the discussion on the nuners' question (Voice "It is very little?") I must make mention of the fact that the discussion occupied one and a half days!

#### Trade Union unity:

discussion on the unity question. This took much less time We first heard a number of formal statements by Comrade Citruse upon the decisions passed, etc. The British delegation made up proposals of their own on the question of unity.

no proposals of their own on the question of unity.

What standpoint was adopted by our delegation? We criticised the impermissible delay with which the decisions of the Anglo-Russian Comittee made last December were being put into effect. We declared that this delay was respectably impermissible, at the present time when the capitalist offensive

was increasing, when the war danger was becoming greater every day, and when the struggle for the unity of the working class should therefore become more and more active. We declared further that we for our part were doing everything that had been demanded by the decisions of the Anglo-Russian Committee. We carried on a larrly lengthy correspondence with Amsterdam on the question of the convocation of a conference between the A.U.C.T.U. and Amsterdam, without preliminarly conditions, in order to discuss the unity question. Amsterdam declined to call such a conference, and thus the carrying out of the December resolution of the Anglo-Russian Committee was trustrated by the Amsterdam International.

Although the Plenum of the A.U.C.T.U. had not passed

any decision on the subject, the fact, that our trade union functionaries are occupying themselves more and more with the idea of undertaking fresh steps fir the unity question, induced us to feel it our duty to bring a new proposal at this meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee. This proposal was to the effect that the Committee should now get a joint conference of Ameterdam and the R.L.U., participated in by those national organisations which belong to neither International. We substantiated this motion by stating that this corresponds better with the correct standpoint in the unity question than do the decisions of last year's Berlin meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee and Illiation the fother hand thest idea has much support, both within the trade union movement of the Soviet Union and in the British trade unions. We know that the fingineers' Union and this is a very large organisation in England — and the Garment Workers' Union will submit resolutions to the coming Bournemouth conference, demanding the convocation of a conference between Amsterdam and the R.I.L.U. The English delegates energetically objected to this proposal They said that this question was absolutely new to them; they had not discussed it and were thus not authorised to deal with it, and that they could not by any means declare themselves in agreement with our proposal. In this respect all we could do was to vield to the British delegation on this matter, but we told them that we are prepared to withdraw our proposal for the present, but only on condition that the Anglo-Russian Committee categorically confirms its last year's decisions, according to which the General Council undertakes to call a conference between Anisterdam and the Russian trade unions, without preliminary conditions, and that for the convocation of this conference the earliest date possible be fixed. The British delegation accepted this proposal, as a result of which the following resolution on the question of unity was passed:

1. The Anglo-Russian Joint Advisory Commuttee, at its Fourth Session, held in Berlin on August 23, 24, 25, 1920. having considered the international situation and the task of the working class arising from this situation, unanimously confirms the necessity of more decisive steps for the international unity of the International Trade Union Movement and the creation of a single International of Trade Unions

The present meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee observes with regret that the attempt to reach unity by means of summoning a conference of the L.F.T.U. with the A.U.C.T.U. made at the Berlin meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee in December, 1925, did not meet with success in view of the disinclination of the L.F.T.U. to agree to the summoning of such a conference with Russian unions without preliminary conditions.

Despite this the Anglo Russian Committee will continue with still greater energy to this on the establishment of real unity of the international Trade Union Movement.

As a first and substantial step in this direction the Anglo-Rusian Committee confirms its decision taken at the Berlin Conference, according to which the General Council of the British Trade Union Congress should immediately fulfil the obligation undertaken by the Anglo-Russian Conference, and afterwards ratified by the General Council and the British Trade Union Congress, namely the obligation to call, upon to observation, at preliminary conference without languagestrictive conditions, between the LF.T. U. and the Russian unions.

The Anglo-Russian Committee recommends the General Council of the British Trade Union Congress to summon this conference not later than the end of October

This is all that I have to report on the work of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

Our most important conclusions

I believe the first conclusion which we have to draw from this report is that, unfortunately, our worst anticipations have been realised with regard to the attitude taken by the British delegation in the question of aid for the miners: The British delegation has shown through and through that it does not want to increase the aid given to the fighting miners, nor to utilise the whole authority of the Anglo-Russian Committee for this purpose. This attitude on the part of the British delegation, and in consequence of the General Council, cannot be regarded as anything else than a continuation of that line of policy leading objectively to inaction, and to the complete isolation of one of the most decisively important groups of the English proletariat. We for our part have done our utmost The Russian trade unions and the Russian workers are doing everything possible with respect to material aid. We repeat that we do not think of taking credit to ourselves for this. We are merely fulfilling our most elementary profetarian class duty And at the same time we have done all in our power, in our capacity as one of the parties forming the Anglo-Russian Committee, to bring forward the question of increased international aid. May every Russian worker, every miners' delegate here present, and every British worker, know that the Russian trade unions have done all that is in their power. The General Council is to blame that the sincere wishes and sincere proposals of the Russian trade unions as to increased aid for the fighting miners have not been met. The whole responsibility for the possible defeat of the strike thus rests solely with the General Council.

The attitude adopted by the British delegation at this meeting has completely confirmed the correctness of our criticism of the General Council, contained in our declaration in our resolution on our report on the Paris meeting of the Committee. This is the first conclusion which we are inevitably obliged to draw from the results of the Berlin meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

With respect to the fate of the Anglo-Russian Committee, in my opinion we may draw the following conclusion. We stand for the Anglo-Russian Committee, and we stand by our former standpoint of maintaining the Anglo-Russian Committee — both the Russian and the British workers should hear this. But we are opposed to the Anglo-Russian Committee in name only, whilst in reality it turns aside from everything expected from it by the working masses of England and the Soviet Union. We stand for the Anglo-Russian Committee, but we are opposed to the representatives of the General Council transforming the Anglo-Russian Committee into a diplomatic debating society, for the English and Russian trade union leaders. We are opposed to this, for it would be a highly unprofitable business. (Laughter Voices: Hear, hear.)

We, the leaders of our trade union movement, have had to leave all the urgent business of our own unions, and — although each one of us has dozens of duties of his own — run round abroad for a month and a half, in order to take part in the session of the Anglo-Russian. Committee, without having achieved any result in the main question, in that main question in which the workers of the Soviet Union and of England expected positive results. This is exceedingly unprofitable.

Ommittee, and we shall not depart from this principle, but we shall exert our utmost lefforts to make the Anglo-Russian Committee a real organ of the struggle for unity, of the struggle against the capitalist offensive, for it is only if this is accomplished that the Committee will be able to fulfil its aims, and maintain its authority among the broad masses of the Russian and British proletariats. We must however place on record that the attitude taken by the British delegation has dealt a sevene blow at the authority of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

The third conclusion is a very obvious one: that the miners' struggle is the common struggle of the whole proletarian class, the common struggle of the option of the international proletariat, and that this fight of hoddiners become the local around which the first decision battleris being lought in the conflict between international capital and the international working class, this struggle between the English

and the second s

working class and English capital. The capitalists are awaiting the issue of the miners' struggle in order to extend their attack to other branches of industry. This applies to other countries as well. All this characterises the nature of the miners' struggle as an essentially class struggle, and therefore it must be given the united aid of the whole international labour movement

The fourth conclusion which we may draw is that the possibility of the miners' being victorious is now completely bound up with political fighting questions. The present Conservative government has already taken sides, with perfect frankness and 100 per cent definiteness, with the coalowners. The Conservative power, the ruling party in England, has taken definite sides wich the mineowners, and is fighting hand in hand with these against the miners. The miners have now to fight, not only against the coalowners, but against the bourgeois state opposing them. And more than this, the miners have now to fight in a direct struggle against the present Parliament, for this Parliament has lent direct support to the colliery owners by passing the eight hour law. This means that the miners have to combat not only the mineowners, but cannot hope to gain the victory without successfully combating the Conservative government and the Parliament at the same time. The completely class character of the English constitution is now being made plain to the workers of England, for this constitution is being utilised against the miners.

The miners are extremely inclined to give way with respect to negotiations, but their yielding attitude has simply been derided by the government. Thus the struggle so successfuly commenced by the British workers can only be brought to a victorious end as a struggle against the employers and against the Conservative government.

The English miners cannot win the victory alone. This is the conclusion which must be drawn from events by the English miners and the English working class.

The fifth conclusion is that reformism has exposed itself in all its nakedness in the English strike. Reformism does not recognise class warfare, and fears it. The attitude adopted by the reformist leaders, especially the leaders of the Amsterdam International, in the question of the aid to be given to the miners, is an attitude which not only objectively fails to help the miners, but actually lends support to their enemies. Not a penny has been received from Amsterdam for the miners. Amsterdam has given money at the rate of 41/2% interest, but this looks such more like usury than the exercise of international solidarity. This is no weapon from the proletarian class arsenal, it is an attitude entirely alien to the proletarian movement. Reformism has completely unmasked itself with the adoption of this attitude.

In conclusion, we must state with all definiteness: In spite of the above described results of our negotiations with the representatives of the General Conuncil, we declare that the Russian unions not only maintain their standpoint with regard to material aid, but will strive with even greater energy for an increase of this relief action, to the end that the miners may carry of the

### The A. U. C. T. U. on the Results of the Berlin Meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee.

Moscow, 1st September 1926.

Comrade Andreiev the chairman of the Russian delegation to the Berlin meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee reported apon the proceedings of this meeting to the Central Council of Soviet Labour Unions in the presence of the miners delegation. Andreiev stressed the fact that the Russian delegation had proposed concrete measures for the support of the British miners to be carried out on the part of the Anglo-Russian Committee and the General Council, with regard to a goal embargo etc.

The Central Council of Soviet Labour Unions unanimously ratified the attitude of its delegation. The resolution which was adopted reads:

"The Central Council of Soviet Labour Unions declares in the name of 8 millions of organised workers in the Soviet Union

that if honestly wishes to preserve, to consolidate and to strengthen the Anglo-Russian Committee as the organ of the fraternal alliance of the proletariats of Great Britain and of the Soviet Union in their struggle against the offensive of capitalism, for the international unity, of the trade union movement and against the preparations for imperialist war. The Central Council will instruct its delegation to the forthcoming congress of the British trade unions to declare very definitely that it is the intention of the Soviet Labour Unions to continue to support the heroic struggle of the British miners against the offensive of capitalism. The Central Council of Soviet Labour Unions calls upon all members of its affiliated unions to contribute a percentage of their wages to support the British miners and calls upon the Red International of Labour Unions to commence a campaign for similar collections in its affiliated organisations.

The Central Council of Soviet Labour Unions, on the basis of the report of comrade Andrejey, ratified the unity declaration which was unanimously adopted at the Berlin Meeting of the Anglo-Russian Committee (printed above).

### The Question of Unity at the British Trades Union Congress.

By A. Lozovsky.

The General Council of the British Trade Unions set everything in motion in order to deprive the Trade Umon Congress at Bournemouth of all political colour and to get it to pass such decisions as would admit of any "inpretation" according to circumstances. After some talk over the general strike and the miners' strike, the question of unity had still to be settled. Then the Congress would have fulfilled the task submitted to it by the General Council of turning back the wheel of the English trade union, movement. The General Council did everything in order to obscure the problem of unity. It was, however, prevented from doing this by the opposition. The General Council, it is true, gave a detailed report on the meetings of the Amsterdam International and of the Anglo-Russian Committee before the general strike, but said nothing regarding the last two meetings of the Anglo-Russian Committee in Paris and Berlin and nothing as to what has been done and what has still to be done in order to fulfil the pledges undertaken by the General Council.

Against the vague standpoint of the General Council there was placed the resolution of the Tailors' Union, which repeated the decisions of the Congress at Scarborough, and the clear emphatic resolution of the Engineers' Union. The latter resolution marks a considerable step forward. It states:

The Congress declares that in view of the international position of the trade union movement, it is necessary to set up a United International of the Trade Unions of the whole world

The Congress notes with regret the attitude of some sections of the Amsterdam International.

In view of this the Congress instructs the General Council to urge on the I. F. T. U. that a Conference. without preconditions, be convened between the R. I. L. and the I. F. T. U. as the representatives of two great world unions, in order to lay the foundation of the international unity of the trade union movement.

The proposal of the engineering Union is of particular interest; as by it the question of a Conference between Amster dam and the R. I. L. U. is raised for the first time by a bg organisation. The most far-reaching resolution to which the Left wing in the General Council was hitherto capable was the demand for the acceptance of the Central Council of the Trade Unions of the Soviet Union into the Amsterdam International. The "entry" of the Soviet trade union into the 1.F. T. U. was the official article of belief of the "Left" with which they gained a name for themselves in Amsterdam, although this only proves that those who held this belief had no un derstanding for the actual position in the international trade union momement. This standpoint received its first blow at Scarborough. Nevertheless the resolution adopted there did not The reactionary trade union bureaucracy painted the R1

L. U. in the blackes; colours. It is therefore not surprising that

the resolution of the engineers stirred up the reformist swamp. The question became particularly seute in connection with the attitude of the General Council at the three last meetings of the Anglo Russian Comittee. The representatives of the Minority Movement criticised in the sharpest manner the inactivity of the General Council in the sphere of unity, and emphasised that it is not a question of the entry of the Central Council of the Trade Unions of the Soviet Union into the Amsterdam International, but of the amalgamation of Amsterdam with the R. L. U. To the question of the representative of the engineers' Union as to whether it is true that the English delegation in Paris refused to discuss the question of supporting the miners, the inimitable Arthur Pugh replied that such a way of putting the question was incorrect! This shameful lie characterised not only Pugh, but also the Ceneral Council in whose name and on whose behalf he conducted the Congress.

The "clarification" took place, as was to be expected, by the Chairman of the Amsterdam International, Purcell, who is muddle headed on principle. According to his opinion, an international Congress participated in by all the organisations affiliated to the Roll U and to Amsterdam would not only "not promote the cause of international unity but throw it back for many years (1) The speaker of course, forgot to add why the Congress would athrow back the cause of unity. Purcell further declared that the General Council will attempt to reorganise the apparatus of the Amsterdamo international with the help of the Russians He then declared that it would be important to accept the Central Council of the Trade Unions of the Soviet Union into the Amsterdam International as the revolutionary trade unions and the R.I.L.U. would thereby be figuridated. Purcell, who helped to found the R.I.L.U. along with us, has for long been endeavouring to liquidate it. Even the "left" Judas Broutley attacked the R.I.L.U. He accused the R.I.L.U. of splitting and endeavoured to discredit the Minority Movement. But Comrades Tanner and Elsbury dealt with Bromley and the other members of the General Council.

The Right wing did not bring in any resolution of their own; they clung to the vague, indefinite resolution of the Tailors Union, which only repeats the decision of the Scarborough Congress, in order to prevent a victory of the engineers. Union, whose resolution raised the question of actual unity by the amalgamation of the R. I. L. U. and Amsterdam. Two left resolutions were voted upon. The resolution of the engineers Union received 1,237,000 votes and the resolution mayed by Elsbury 2,416,000 votes. It is remarkable that the Miners' Federation voted unanimously for the Engineers' union. The whole reactionary bureaucracy however voted for Elsbury's resolution as the lesser evil, in order by this means at any rate not to go beyond the level of Scarborough.

The discussions and the voting on the resolutions are in many respects instructive: in the first place because the reactionaries did not venture to put forward their own resolution. The demand of the broad masses for unity is too strong! The same buteaucracy did not venture to come forward openly at the Congress against the Central Council of the trade unions of the Soviet Union and to defend their attitude in the Anglo-Russian Comittee. On the other hand the votes of the Left wing at the Congress were divided, which gave the reactionaries the possibility to save their position. Nevertheless the results of the voting are of the greatest interest. In spite of the fact that the votes were split. 1.237,000 were cast for actual unity. What would have happened if this question had not been submitted to trade union officials for decision but to the masses?

Our expectations which we had before the Congress, that the opposition, would make a firm stand and that they would destroy, the petty bourgeois idyll of the reformists, have been confirmed. All that is required is a closer co-ordination, a greater tenacity and unity of action and the Minority Movement will then be able to cleanse the English Labour Movement from the bourgeois reformist elements.

A New Manoeuvre of American Justice against Sacco and Vanzetti.

THE WHITE TERROR

The pressure of the international working class has finally succeeded in enforcing from the "free" judges of "democratic Massachusetts" a fresh trial in the case of the radical workers Sacco and Vanzetti who were condemned to death in 1921 for alleged murder. The fresh proceedings commenced on the 15th of September before the court of Dedgam and are still going on

It must be admitted that the American bourgeoisie and their judges who framed up the whole affair in order to get rid of the two revolutionaries who have a big following among the working class of Massachusetts, were very reluctant in their decision to grant a new trial. They did everything possible and made use of their whole arsenal of legal trickery in order to prevent a new trial.

The capitalists of Massachusetts and their confederates in the police and the court fully realise that the reopening of the case will necessarily expose all their methods of "objective bourgeois class justice", and that they will have to stand naked with all their miserable machinations, with all their stinking corruption not only before the workers, but before all honest people of the world. Their last manoeuvre was the attempt to have executed the chief witness in the trial, Madeiros, who himself committed the murder of which Sacco and Vanzetti have been charged and has confessed to the same, and who has been condemned to death on account of a robbery with murder. The object of this attempt is obvious: if the chief witness is got out of the way, then his confession can be distorted at will and even the protocoll containing his confession can be caused to disappear. The American workers however have immediately perceived the object of the manoeuvre, and their protest has succeeded in postponing the execution of Madeiros until the new trial has been concluded.

In spite of this fresh defeat the authorities of Massachusetts will certainly have fresh surprises in store. Sacco and Vanzetti are not yet rescued! American class justice will only release them from its clutches if the protest movement of the workers, and particularly of the workers of America, is still further increased and if there is heard the continued cry of the workers of all countries: "Release our brothers Sacco and Vanzetta!"

### Five Years of the Inprecorr.

1. Five Years of the Inprecorr.

Five years ago, on the 24th September 1921, there appeared the first number of the "International Press Correspondence" at first in German, French and English, and since the last 18 months also in Czechish. It set forth its programme in the following lines which were printed above the first article:

The "International Press Correspondence" will afford a true picture of all the political and economic conditions of every country, describe the most important events in the proletarian class struggle, report on the condition and the progress of our movement, and further, will publish the communications of the Executive Committee of the Communist International which are intended for the public The contributious to the Correspondence are to be supplied by the leading writers among our compades in all countries, who will carry out their work in close collaboration with their parties. By conveying an exact knowledge as possible of the conditions in the various countries, as well as by international collaboration in this work, the bond between the various Parties will be drawn more closely and cooperation facilitated.

We do not need to spend many words in order to show how far we have succeeded in realising our programme. Our readers can judge for themselves. On the 31st December 1921 there appeared the first Table of Contents of the "Inprecorr".

Three months after our first appearance we were able to record that

Control Section Control Contro

Johanne's Wertheim, Vienna, VIII. Albertgasse 20. Vienna, IX. Berggasse 31 we already had 188 contributors in 31 countries which con-stituted the into important political fields. We have at present 1000 contributors in 52 countries! The "Inprecorr." has found a wide field of circulation; it is carefully perused by friend and opponent. The bourgeois and social democratic press in London. Paris, Vienna and Budabest, as well as in Buenos Aires, Sydney and Calcutta are count inthy polemising against us. On the other hand we receive letters full of joyful approval from the battlefields in China, Syria and Morocco, from the political prisoners of all the bourgeois States, and before all, however, from the factories and workshops of all parts of the world.

### 2. Five Years ago and Now.

Five years are a long period. Oreat events have occurred, great changes have taken place. Must we, under these circumstances, lay down an entirely new programme of work?

When we glance through the first pumbers of the "In-precorr," we are really surprised to see how topical most of the articles still are to day in the Autumn of 1921, on the occasion of the terrible famine disaster, the danger of an intervention in Russia became very threatening. The leading article of the first tumber accordingly bore the heading: "Hands off Russia!" The author was Comrade Ernst Meyer. The economic article, "The Crisis of Capitalist World Economy" was written by Comrade Varga, in this article there was set forth the same implamental view of the situation which he has since maintained in our columns. The article under the heading "Relief for Russia" bore the title: "Relief for Russia, a true sign of the proletarian united front!" The section: "Our Problems" contained an article by Comrade Bela Kun: "To the Masses!" which, among other things, emphasised:

"The Communist Party only forms an advance troop in the fight when it has behind it a main troop. These main troops who will decide the revolutionary light, are the masses, the majority of the working class"

The same number also contains an article by Contrade Zinoviev, entitled; "The Character of our Newspapers", the criticisms in which, unfortunately, still apply to-day. In the later numbers we find articles which are still topical on almost every page.

Enormous changes have certainly taken place in the last five years. But, fundamentally regarded, we have not yet emerged from that particular period which Comrade Lenin characterised at the HI. World Congress of the Communist International as a "relative, uncertain balance of forces, a balance that can only be said to exist in a timited sense of the word, but which severtheless exists" a balance of the forces of the international bourgeoiste on the one hand, and of Russian along with the revolutionary labour movement and the revolutionary movement of the suppressed peoples on the other hand. The situation of the international bourgeoisie in the year 1921 was not the same as it is today; but neither are Soviet Russia, the Communist Parties nor the so-called colonial peoples in the same position as they were at that time!

Five years ago the antagonisms between the various Powers were very acute, the Damocles sward of the reparations question hung over their heads. Timid preparations were being made for the first Conference at Wiesbaden between Loucheur and Rathenau, the Washington Conference stood before the door. Today we have the Dawes Plan as well as the Geneva agree-

The Second International, which at that time only existed as a number of scattered fragments has in the meantime been galvanised afresh. Poincaré's many Boncour, and Vandervelde, the successors of Branting, are carrying out, not only in Geneva but also in Zurich, with the co-operation of Fritz Adler and Longuet, the foreign policy of the bourgeoisie These are considerable, even if very temporary successes of the international honrgeoisie.

But we have on the other hand, in comparison with five years ago, a greatly strengthened Sovier Union and the rest of the forces of the revolution, all of which have grown in the meantime. In the year 1921 the C. P. of Germany was severely shaken. It had to fight with the K. Al C. (Communist Working Union) people and with the Ruth Fischer Maslow Opposition - these opposite poles of the one and the same petty bourgeon. social democratic ideology of lack of faith in the revolution In the Party Centre of the C. P. O. there were leading comrades who were not quite clear as to the importance for the daity struggles of the Communist theory of the State. In Francwe had a Party just beginning to develop, but which under the leadership of Frossard, was still a selff-social democratic Party The C. P. of Czechostovakia was not yet born in Italy ther was a small sectarian Party. In America and England we had the first taint beginnings of the Communist Party. Today we have nearly everywhere good Communist Parties whose fluence on the masses is increasing. As regards the movement for liberty on the part of the colonial peoples, it suffices to point to China

We still have stoday the state of balance which Lemm recognised and analysed five years ago, even if the forces opposing each other today appear to be stronger, so that this balance is being reproduced, to some extent, on a much higher scale

#### 3. A Word as to the Shortcomings of the "Inprecorr"

The political world-situation, therefore, has not undergone in five years such essential alterations as would confront utoday with completely new tasks. The next thing we have to do is: to remedy as quickly as possible the main faults of the "Inprecorr" and to make it better.

We are not in a position to maintain permanent, paid correspondents who have no other task than to serve the "Inprecorr". Our contributors in all countries are comrade who are overwhelmed with Party work. It therefore costs much time and pains before we receive from them the necessary contributions. As a result of this our articles sometimes appear rather late. The absorption of our contributors in practical work has for us the advantage that their articles are immediately derived from life. On the other hand, there is often the di-advantage that the mithor believes that he is writing for his own countrymen and engages in arguments with his opponent on detailed questions and scarcely heeds our reminders that we have, before all, to inform an interpational public, which has the first place to be in mished with the knowledge of the parties.

sition in the various countries.

Further: The "Imprecorr" should be a Press Correspondence which has to serve the newspapers. It does in fact, rendervaluable service to the editors by supplying them with articlebut before all provides them with material which the can work up. But there still tremains much important unaterial which the editors are not able fully to make use of. We have therefore from the beginning made the "Imprecorr" acessible a greater circle, and already in the second year of existence brought it out in the form of a review. At that time we were very hopeful that we should find not only a broad but also a large circulation. The circulation of the "Inprecorr" still leaves much to be desired.

Our next tasks are, therefore, to improve the information service of the "imprecorr.", both as regards its promptnes well as its contents, but before all to aim at a larger circulation

If ever a public journal bore the character of collections work it is the "Inprecorr". That is to be seen from the great number of contributors. The work of the small editorial state would have been without success if they had not experienced wide-scale support in all countries, but before all from our Russian friends. We take the opportunity on the occasion our five years' existence to express to all these supporter and to all contributors our best thanks.

The Redaction

M. Jomsky: The British Strike and the Jasks of the International Trade Union save in poment time, we are new record a systement datapeayon is to be as

What does no Covernments, policy nearly On August 20 ration, whose unity the nos have built up and sucrifices the the control of the control

# so the former to intendity and well to so their, working the sole of the sole

which is the first on to the state of the st

# This good of ess avoid the right of the conditional level of the level

Editorial Orile be and College of Despite in gette be partured to Berggasse 31, Vienna IX. Postal Addres 279 norshiol of the college of the c

### of somender was presented in a letter with the Contract of the Contract with the second of the secon

Smached?

them in the Almers' extension are far

Polities. Arthur Rosen benga Pilsudskils Plan of Attack against

Stewarta The Significance of the Results of the Elections in Canada.

tlands off China.

The Capture of the Headquarters of Wut-Pei-Fu.

H. Et du s : Against Intervention in Chinal .... Appeal of the E. C. of the Young Communist International.

The Balkans and or collector on a parallel to the sense. If the a D. Fabitant The Murder of Tkatchenko and the Roumanian Demogracy, start beams, and of his ide and a read of the Economies, successage of the annual grant that it is south a second as

Tang Shin She: The Economic Position in the Yang-tse

national Trade Union Movement. I.

Taighin't Economic Consequences of the British Miners' content of mated by

R Palme Dutt: Workers! Shall the British Miners Be "Cfovanni Germanetto: The Sabotage of Relief for

the British Miners in thity and form of the Re-expend of the Centropous for International Co-operative Re-united for the British Mineral education are seen and the Re-turning the British Mineral education and the Re-

The Labour Movement and path of bentalding strong on the new ned in the property of the path of the pa Fritz Heckert: Twenty Five Years of the International Trade Union Movement mappeter 2601 statement to (1 Bi Fie ridhr (The Labour Movement in Burkey)

For the Unity of the Trade Union Movement.

Joset Kovatch: The Workers of Yugoslavia take the Question of Trade Union Unity Into their own Hands.

taised on erris Wager were satisfied hours siragled com

For the Unity of the C.P.S. U.ya: Donalino ... of becamene The Elitarged Executive of the C.P. of Switzerland on the Russian Question.

The Youth Movement Decision of the E. C. of the V. C. I. regarding Comrade Vuyovitchi in the control of the con

suits or good, what become independent of all a manufactor

# Dany hard a september of the control of the control

of amount force. The event soon is eved the company of the parties and the contract of the experiment of the experiment. The experiment of the experiment of

g of the same's leade. The miners are having to The Covernment declaration of September 47 to the British Miners (reinforced by their tetter of September 24) in the declaration of the policy of the Knock Out Blown All the efforts of the miners! leaders to reach a peace of compromise have failed. The concessions moliered by the miners' mleaders, concessions of a reduction in the naiready powered wages of the miners and acceptance of the hypocritical Samuel Coal Report, concessions never sanctioned by the ranks and tile and made amide general aprotests, a have thielded ono breside and shape tonly hardened sithe demands wot sittle trenemy. Theis last inhope on the miners'i leaders; their hope expressed in the Government liss a neutral "third party" aboye the classes in save them from the coalowners, they been proved date and thusony of the Govern-

int ten the retormed leaders a comment bewildenner ment declaration of September 17 affirms the absolute unity of the Government with the Coaldwaers in rejecting the miners' concessions and demanting a total surrender on every point: Lower Wages Tonger Hours, District Settlements and Compulsory Ambitration. The subsequent negotiations with the Compulsory Ambitration. pulsory 'Arbitration. The subsequent negotiations with the Covernment have ended in deadlock, and been broken off by the Covernment itself: and the Prime! Minister's secretary's letter of September 20 to the Mineral Pederation has realizated the Knock Our Declaration of September 17. Thus the situation is allot the light confinenting the Miners in more bitter and desperate than even believes the mineralize fighting for their harest existence and tighten of organization; and cafter all the attempts atonegotiation and compromise. Gook has had to declare that,