

IN DEFENSE OF SOCIALIZED MEDICINE

By Harry Braverman

See Page 3

THE MILITANT

PUBLISHED WEEKLY IN THE INTERESTS OF THE WORKING PEOPLE

Vol. XIII - No. 18

NEW YORK, N. Y., MONDAY, MAY 2, 1949

PRICE: FIVE CENTS

Special Report From China on The Civil War

(The following is a report by the Political Committee of the Revolutionary Communist Party, Chinese section of the Fourth International.)

SOMEWHERE IN SOUTHERN CHINA, April 5 — The present situation in China is dominated by three outstanding facts:

- 1. The spectacular victories of the Stalinist-controlled peasant armies.
2. The bottomless bankruptcy, corruption and disintegration of the Kuomintang regime.
3. The political indifference, demoralization, paralysis and disorganization of the city working class.

It is universally recognized among the Chinese people that the surprising victories of the Stalinist peasant armies are a tribute not so much to its own strength as to the abysmal corruption of Chiang's arch-reactionary rule.

The fatal turning point came with the rout of the Kuomintang campaign in the Suchow campaign where all the military advantages were on Chiang's side.

CHIANG'S WITHDRAWAL

Confronted by internal collapse and by rising rebellion in the southwestern provinces, Chiang for a brief moment assumed the role of dove of peace.

he will be able to make a comeback a la De Gaulle. At this most critical juncture, when the Kuomintang reshuffled its cabinet in the hope of getting a breathing spell, Mao Tse-tung proclaimed his eight-point program, the basis for another rotten compromise.

Tito Says Czech Government Abets Despots in Greece

The Belgrade newspaper Politika carried on April 21 a detailed account of how the Stalinist-dominated Czech government since August 1947 has been supplying large quantities of strategic materials to the Greek monarchists and thus aiding and abetting them in the Greek civil war.

Under the original 1947 trade agreement, the Czechs supplied the Athens government with machinery, textiles, chemical and timber. When this pact was extended to August 1949, the Czech Stalinists agreed to add to the list aluminum, automobiles and trucks.

The Cominform press and the Czechs in particular had previously denounced Tito's regime for engaging in trade negotiations with the Athens government.

It is nothing new for the Kremlin to accuse its opponents of the crimes it commits itself. Stalin did not hesitate to slip oil to Mussolini when the Italian fascists were engaged in the rape of Ethiopia.

Attacks on Democratic Rights Arouse Increasing Opposition



Make Yourself at Home

'Model' Repressive Law Adopted by Maryland

The most repressive law against "subversives" yet enacted by any state government was signed on April 22 by Governor Lane and went into effect the same day.

The Ober Act, named after Frank B. Ober, a Baltimore lawyer who headed the governor's commission that drafted the bill, was drawn up with the aid of the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the FBI.

Its principal provisions are patterned on the Smith "Gag" Act of 1940, which Roosevelt signed and first used in 1941 against the Socialist Workers Party and the CIO truckdrivers in Minneapolis.

tion" laws which conceal their generally repressive intent under guise of attacking the Communist (Stalinist) Party by name, the Maryland law does not name the Communist Party. It defines a series of activities which it terms "seditious" and "subversive" and provides savage penalties for anyone convicted of engaging in such activities.

Any organization is defined as "subversive" which advocates the "alteration" of the existing form of government by "revolution," force or violence.

Anyone convicted of advocating or "teaching" such views or knowingly "supporting" in any way either a domestic or foreign "subversive" organization is liable to a \$20,000 fine and 20 years in prison.

The law requires loyalty oaths of all candidates for elective office, and all public employees, including teachers, are subject to mandatory "loyalty" investigations.

GI Jobless Benefits To End on July 25

Most of the 15,000,000 veterans will lose their eligibility for unemployment benefits under the GI Bill of Rights after July 25. After that date — unless Congress changes the law — only veterans discharged since July 25, 1947, will be eligible for GI jobless aid.

This prospect faces most of the veterans as unemployment is rising at the fastest pace in 11 years. Not less than 5 million workers are totally unemployed, while another 12 million have been reduced to part-time employment.

Largest Branches In NMU Condemn Purge Amendm'ts

Membership meetings of the CIO National Maritime Union in the largest ports on the east coast have gone on record against the proposal of the union's National Council to scuttle the non-discrimination clause in the NMU constitution.

In the port of New York, the largest shipping center in the country, the NMU membership adopted a resolution condemning the National Council proposals by a vote of 1,535 to 97.

The proposals of the National Council in the form of amendments to be submitted to a 30-day referendum vote of the entire membership beginning on May 2. These amendments would require that all members "affirm, in writing, that they are not members of the Communist Party or of any other subversive totalitarian organization."

SWEEPING AND DECEPTIVE

In addition to the yellow-dog affidavit the amendments would subject to expulsion "any persons who subscribe to, support, sponsor or otherwise follow a course of action consistent with and demonstrating membership in or adherence to the policy and program of the Communist Party or any other subversive or totalitarian doctrine."

It was disclosed at the membership meetings that the National Council resolution and amendments had been drawn up by the union's attorney and were so full of lawyers' double-talk, provisions so sweeping and all-inclusive, language so deceptive and ambiguous, that any critic or opponent could be summarily expelled as a "subversive."

OPPOSING AMENDMENTS

The revulsion against this attempt to establish a totalitarian police regime under the pretext of combating "totalitarian subversives" was immediate and effective. Twenty-four officials in the port of New York who were elected on the Rank & File Caucus slate which ousted the Stalinists in the last election, signed and circulated a statement opposing the yellow dog amendments.

Under the union constitution the National Council proposals must go to a referendum vote, but the opposition expressed in the largest and most decisive ports indicates that the NMU membership is prepared to resist the red-baiting hysteria.

Chicago Educators Challenge Witch-Hunt; 105 Aid Kutcher

One hundred and five University of Chicago faculty members joined in a public statement this week protesting the denial of genuine freedom of association and thought to the legless veteran James Kutcher.

Almost every department of this leading Midwest university was represented among the signers to an appeal on Kutcher's behalf sponsored by Dr. Harold C. Urey, Nobel Prize winner and director of the War Research Atomic Bomb Project, with four other famous scientists and scholars on the Chicago campus.

The 105 educators declared that "administrative agencies, using procedures which lend themselves to arbitrary action, should be subject to control both by public criticism and by judicial review." They demanded that Kutcher be granted the full and fair public hearing he asked, and record their agreement with the Kutcher Civil Rights Committee.

This forthright affirmation of the democratic rights involved in Kutcher's case by so large and distinguished a group of professors is especially noteworthy in view of attempts being made by Illinois witch-hunters to stifle free speech and ban progressive ideas from the universities in that state.

One of the Commission witnesses, Howard Rushmore, ex-Daily Worker reporter and now red-hunting specialist for the Hearst press, demanded that Professor Urey be barred from further work on atomic projects because of connections with organizations arbitrarily designated by the U. S. Attorney General as subversive.

Testifying before the Commission on April 21, Chancellor Robert M. Hutchins of the University of Chicago staunchly defended academic freedom against the witch-hunters.

And in the trade unions, a new fresh wind has begun to blow. By an overwhelming majority, the rank and file members of the National Maritime Union in New York, Boston, Philadelphia and Baltimore, have condemned the proposal of their National Council to introduce the witch-hunt and "loyalty" purge into the NMU by constitutional amendment.

Heartening Signs In the Fight for Civil Liberties

An Editorial

Heartening signs of growing resistance to the witch-hunt are beginning to appear. This past week has seen two significant challenges raised against those who would stifle free speech and free thought in America.

At one of the great institutions of higher learning, the University of Chicago, 105 leading professors and educators have dared to stand up and be counted among the true defenders of civil liberties.

They have attached their names publicly, in the midst of a "subversive" probe of their own institution, to a statement in defense of James Kutcher, the legless veteran fired from his government job for membership in the Socialist Workers Party.

And in the trade unions, a new fresh wind has begun to blow. By an overwhelming majority, the rank and file members of the National Maritime Union in New York, Boston, Philadelphia and Baltimore, have condemned the proposal of their National Council to introduce the witch-hunt and "loyalty" purge into the NMU by constitutional amendment.

VIDEO STATION CUTS OFF WEISS CIVIL RIGHTS DEBATE

LOS ANGELES, April 23 — The television broadcast "Viewpoint Unlimited" was abruptly cut off after sixteen lively minutes last Sunday. The debate in which Myra T. Weiss, Chairman of the Los Angeles Local of the Socialist Workers Party, participated apparently was not

proceeding to the liking of the management of KFI-TV. "The management has decided the subject is too delicate for discussion on the air," was the official reason given all those who telephoned to ask why the broadcast had been stopped.

The topic of the debate, "Should the 'ism' parties be outlawed," was soon modified by author Oliver Carlson and his red-baiting colleague, who stated that the Communist Party should be outlawed, no others, since it alone is responsible to a foreign government.

Comrade Weiss, arguing the negative, emphasized two points: that the current drive of the government is not against the 'ism' parties alone, but against the civil rights of all who oppose the administration; and that the Communist Party consists not only of misleaders of the working class who follow Stalin's false policies, but also of thousands of workers who sincerely and mainly seek some way out of the "mess of capitalism."

Her "colleague," a young law student at the University of California, threw the debate into an uproar when he became horrified at the thought that he might directly or indirectly appear to be on the side of "anyone defending communism." He was much less concerned about the possibility of losing the debate to those who would restrict civil liberties.

Next Week:

Another exclusive dispatch from China, presenting the program and perspectives of the Chinese Trotskyists.

Colvin R. De Silva, member of the Ceylon Parliament and leader of the Ceylon Trotskyists, tells why he was barred from being a delegate at the Stalinist-dominated "World Peace Congress" in Paris.

Art Preis continues his analysis of Bernard Baruch's extremely revealing article on Washington's plans for permanent regimentation of the American people.

And coming soon will be the first of a series of articles by Grace Carlson on the health plans being offered by various groups.

Send to THE MILITANT for extra copies. Only 3c each in bundles of 5 or more.

Baruch's Plan for Permanent Regimentation

By Art Preis

Just before the signing of the North Atlantic Pact last month, Winston Churchill visited these shores. His host was Bernard M. Baruch, whom the capitalist press calls "America's Elder Statesman." Their meeting at that precise moment in history—the conclusion of the greatest military alliance of all time—was no mere coincidence.

Although neither holds any formal governmental authority, they are actually the leading policy-makers and political spokesmen for the ruling capitalist classes of their respective countries. They are, each in his own way, the real war leaders of Great Britain and the United States.

The role of Churchill has been too widely publicized to need comment here. As for Baruch, the Wall Street speculator, he has served as chief adviser to the

presidents in two world wars. No man has freer access to the White House, for he is the master strategist of American imperialism.

We may never know the exact words exchanged between Churchill, who formally is merely a leader of the Tory opposition in Parliament, and Baruch, whom the press represents as a mellowed political philosopher holding office on park benches. But we do know the general conclusions and decisions at which they arrived—decisions which are now the guiding line of American and British imperialism.


DECISION MADE

These conclusions are embodied in a programmatic article by Bernard M. Baruch — "What of Our Future?" — in the April 23 Saturday Evening Post. In his article, under the guise of posing the problem of the future of our

society, Baruch indicates the course American capitalism proposes to travel.

"Decision, decision, decision — I cannot stress its necessity too strongly. All business is now on a fear-to-fear basis," exclaims Baruch. That decision is a definitive answer to the all-dominant question: War or peace? and that decision has been made, Baruch's article makes clear as crystal. It is war.

The purpose of the Baruch statement is not to argue in favor of this decision, nor even to make explicit that this decision has already been made, for war preparations must ever be masked in "peace" phraseology. It is his purpose to lay out the line of propaganda to prepare the American people to accept the inevitable consequences of a decision already arrived at in the secret councils of American and British imperialism.



BERNARD M. BARUCH

First of all, Baruch defines "the cause of the jitters spreading through the country" as "the absence of peace." It is not "generally appreciated" by the American people "what revolutionary changes in our habitual ways are being forced by our

being neither at war nor at peace."

We are, in fact, in "a continuous state of near-war" and "the cold war has thrust us into an around-the-clock twilight, with no telling where peace begins, where war ends." The United States is "trying to spend its way out" of this "twilight" state with a huge military budget and enormous foreign expenditures. "Yet, although taxes exact one out of every four dollars Americans' earn, and grave domestic needs in health, education and housing are neglected, nowhere have we achieved a decision in the peace-making," says Baruch. "How much can we do — and for how long — without wrecking ourselves and taking the world with us?"

There is no peace, Baruch informs us, in an echo of The Militant's first headline after V-J (Continued on Page 2)

especially significant because they are so strongly anti-Stalinist. They have shown they know the difference between fighting Stalinism as an anti-labor tendency and giving support to policies aimed at destroying the principles of trade union democracy.

These two events are a welcome beginning—but they must not remain only a beginning. The forces of reaction are driving unceasingly on every front, in every sphere of American life, to reduce all thought and expression to the level of the police-state mind. The defense of democratic rights must likewise be waged relentlessly on every front by the united efforts of all to whom civil liberties means more than a catch-word.

A Special Report from China

(Continued from page 1)

is trying to come to power through a short-cut of maneuvers with the capitalists and not through a solid revolutionary alliance between the peasants and city workers.

UNDER THE STALINISTS

What is happening in "liberated" China? A series of the most abominable crimes are being committed in the name of the revolution. As far back as last May, land reform was formally taken off the agenda. Under the new Stalinist policy, struggle for division of the land in newly liberated areas is prohibited, confiscated land is to be restored to the landlord and "excesses" are to be severely punished. In formerly liberated sections the peasants are to decide for themselves whether to retain the seized land or to return it to the former landlords.

[This information is confirmed by excerpts from the most recent proclamation of Mao and General Chu Teh (N. Y. Times, April 27). Fiefdom land ownership, they say, must be eliminated "only after preparations stage-by-stage." Furthermore, "reduction of rent and interest should be carried out first and land distribution later." Meanwhile peasants should "attempt to maintain the present agricultural level."] A decision was also adopted protecting native as well as foreign industry and trade and was accompanied by a series of decisions legalizing a longer working day (from ten to twelve hours) and cutting wages to a level even lower than under the Kuomintang.

MILITANTS MURDERED
When the "liberating army" occupied the cities, all the old organs of the Kuomintang regime were preserved intact. But Stalinist "political workers" spare no pains to hunt down and ruthlessly suppress any spontaneous proletarian action. From a worker, just escaped from Tsinan (capital of the Shantung province), we learn of this horrible incident:
As the "liberating army" approached, the workers in his factory instinctively understood that it was time to liberate themselves. They drove out the boss

and set up a factory committee to control production. They were immediately visited by a Stalinist political worker who demanded to know who was responsible for this action. The workers replied that they had decided in common to liberate themselves. He then insisted that the committee men follow him to headquarters. There they were chained together and led to a courtyard where they were massacred wholesale by a firing squad. The factory was then returned to the frightened owner.

In another factory where a strike broke out for the simple economic demand of equal treatment, the strike was brutally crushed by the Stalinists and three strikers stood up before a firing squad.

In Tientsin, second largest industrial center in China, a great strike occurred immediately after the Stalinist military government was established. The strikers demanded an eight-hour day and a reasonable increase in wages. To their astonishment they were answered by a savage proclamation in which the Stalinist military authorities decreed a longer working day — ten instead of eight — a 25% wage cut and the establishment of an arbitration board which eliminated at one stroke the limited rights which even the Kuomintang had not dared suppress.

There was not a solitary mention of the workers' demands in Mao's eight-point peace program. Not the eight-hour day for which the Chinese workers have fought from the beginning; nor the "sliding scale of wages" which was partially won by the Shanghai workers; nor the freedom to strike, assemble, etc., although rumors are current in Kuomintang areas that these rights are being trampled upon by the Stalinists.

"PRODUCTION CONTROL"

Enterprises formerly controlled by the Kuomintang, called "bureaucratic capital," were simply transferred to Stalinist military authorities. They have promised that private stocks in the nationalized enterprises will

regional director Charles Fischer wrote to the Pittsburgh section of the Kutchler Civil Rights Committee endorsing the campaign on Kutchler's behalf.
"The Progressive Party has always opposed the use of any arbitrarily composed list of organizations as a measure of a man's loyalty to his country," the letter stated. "We know that already hundreds and soon thousands of our country's most loyal citizens have suffered directly and will suffer further from this infamous betrayal of the principle of freedom of thought on which our nation was built. The case of Kutchler seems strikingly unfortunate in view of his service to the country and his resulting physical disability."

On the West Coast, Railroad Workers Industrial Union No. 520 of the IWW has condemned Kutchler's discharge and recommended to the IWW General Defense Committee that it take appropriate action to aid the veteran's fight.

KUTCHER RECEIVES SUPPORT FROM MANY DIVERSE GROUPS

Greetings of solidarity and expressions of support in his fight against political discrimination came to James Kutchler last week from widely separated points of the compass and diverse political groups.

In a letter from abroad Danish and Swedish Trotskyists sent revolutionary socialist greetings to the legless veteran. "Danish and Swedish comrades assembled for a mutual meeting in Malmo, Sweden send you our best greetings," their message read, "and congratulate you on your valiant fight against the oppressors."

In a telegram to the Kutchler Civil Rights Committee the fifth national convention of the Workers Party expressed "its solidarity in the fight of James Kutchler for full reinstatement to his job and joins you in condemning the onslaught of the government against civil liberties."

WALLACE GROUP

The Progressive Party of Western Pennsylvania, through re-

Chinese Students Ask Peace



More than 6,000 students in Nanking's schools demonstrated for peace just before the renewal of warfare in China. A few days later Nanking had been taken by the Stalinist forces.

be returned to the proprietors. A counterfeiting system of production control has been set up under a joint committee representing labor and capital, reminiscent of Mussolini's corporate control. There is not the slightest change of property relations in the industrial centers.

Here then is the Stalinist type of "revolution" — a "revolution" led by the very people who fear it like death itself. The Chinese peasant movement is being betrayed and the peasant army is being transformed into a reactionary bourgeois instrument for the suppression of the proletariat! Herein is the core of the new development in the tragedy of the Chinese revolution.

Although the "liberating army" is knocking at the doors of Shanghai, China's greatest industrial center, the proletariat remains indifferent, cool and full of doubt. The sporadic, spontaneous economic strikes were caused more by the unbearable inflation than by the victories of the Stalinist armies. Of all the turbulent struggles, not one occurred as a positive, enthusiastic political reaction to the Stalinist victories. Indeed, it offers a woeful contrast with the revolution of 1925-1927 when the Shanghai workers greeted the northern expeditionary army with an insurrection. The epidemic of disillusionment has spread to Peiping, penetrating the consciousness of the intellectuals whose vague radical sentiments are branded as "infantile leftism."

MAO AND STALIN

Chinese Stalinism is now at the zenith of its strength after 20 years of vicissitudes. But the closer it comes to power, the more it concentrates within its own ranks all the complex and unresolvable problems of the whole nation. Today Mao Tse-tung is capitalizing as much as possible upon the conflict between the United States and the Soviet Union and upon the great resentment of the Chinese people towards American imperialism.

Tomorrow this same Mao-Tse-tung will be obliged to deal with foreign imperialism as his sole savior to disentangle him from the multitudinous and almost insoluble financial and economic difficulties which his coming to power will confront him with. He will be attracted toward the orbit of western imperialism more resistably than Tito.

Stalin is aware that China is too big and too dangerous a bomb for the Kremlin to play with. Basing himself on the fresh and bitter experiences with the Yugoslav rebel, he is now nurturing a 100% pro-Moscow force in Manchuria. General Lim Pao's army, the elite of the Stalinist armed forces, was especially equipped and trained in Manchuria under the auspices of the Kremlin. The most significant step taken by Moscow was the appointment of Li Li-san, a die-hard tool of the Kremlin and Mao's old bitter enemy, to head Manchuria. While Lim Pao's forces were marching on Peiping and Tsientsin, a rumor circulated that the quarrel between Lim and Mao had been aggravated. Although there was undoubtedly some exaggeration in the rumor, there was also some modicum of truth. How else explain why Liu Shio-tse, organizer for the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party, openly denied the existence of any Titoist tendencies in his party, reaffirming loyalty to "international communism"?

It is obvious that Mao Tse-tung is being watched by Stalin through the excellent equipped and dictatorship—even the "temporary" restrictions of actual war. Many of these disciples were resisted during the last war. . . . How much greater will be the temptation, when war has not yet broken out, to "wait and see." With consummate hypocrisy, Baruch bewails, "How poignant for a democratic people to be forced to embrace the regimentation they hate to preserve the freedom they love!" How poignant, indeed, to embrace dictatorship in the name of democracy!

Sperry UE Local Votes Aid for Vet

NEW YORK — A membership meeting of Sperry Local 450 of the UE-CIO voted \$200 to the Kutchler Civil Rights Committee after hearing James Kutchler describe how he was victimized by the government because of his political beliefs.

The local president, Paul Jennings, thanked the legless veteran for coming to tell his story. Executive board members George Butterick spoke on behalf of Kutchler before making a motion of support for his fight.

The only opposition expressed was by a leading Stalinist in the local who did not attack Kutchler directly but blasted the officers for not participating in other civil liberties cases the Stalinists are interested in. When asked by Jennings whether he was for or against the local's giving aid to the Kutchler fight, he answered, "I'm non-committal."

Seven hundred attended the meeting, and the overwhelming majority voted in favor of the motion.

army of Lim Pao and through the alert eyes of Li Li-san. The threatening clash between Mao Tse-tung's nationalism and Stalin's pseudo-internationalism is only a distorted reflection of the conflict between the interests of the oppressed Chinese people and those of the Soviet bureaucracy represented by the malignant theory of Pan-Slavism.

INEVITABLE CONFLICTS

Another inevitable conflict within the Stalinist camp will be produced by the entry of the best proletarian elements into the Stalinist movement. The more brutally Mao enforces his anti-labor policy, the deeper will become the gulf between the top bureaucracy and the rank and file within his own party in particular, and between the exploited workers and his party in general.

There is plenty of evidence to show that revolutionary tendencies are sporadically but incessantly rising in the "liberated" rural areas. A large number of Stalinist workers have been executed with the aim of eliminating the specter of "Trotskyism." The scattered nature of rural society has thus far prevented this opposition from finding organized political expression. But it is quite different in the industrial centers where the well-organized workers will provide a solid framework for a revolutionary regrouping within the Stalinist party and the working class as a whole. Herein lies the perspective for the growth and development of Chinese Trotskyism, the Revolutionary Communist Party.

Baruch Plan for Permanent Regimentation in America

(Continued from page 1)

Day. And there will be no peace until a decision in the struggle of world powers is secured once and for all, he continues. And what is most important is "that the American people be left with no illusions [about the North Atlantic Pact]. We already are committed to resist aggression by every means, including war."

"The trial may come" — that is, the outbreak of world war — "through flagrant invasion or in the form of civil war, or over any number of immediate pretexts. The total war of atomic annihilation may break out at any time and its outcome for imperialism 'will hinge on how promptly we mobilize' for that conflict. Therefore, America must 'be prepared to mobilize before war has begun.'"

From here on in, Baruch states, America must be placed on a war-footing. M-Day—Mobilization Day—must be every day in this new and indefinitely prolonged era of "near war." It is the consequences this must have for the traditional "American way of life" that is the point of special emphasis by Baruch.

He leaves no doubt as to what the decision "to mobilize in advance of war" will mean for the American people, particularly the working people. "Our most fearful torments," he says, "will rise from modern warfare's totalitarian image being the hideous opposite of our free, individualistic ways. To the extent that we are compelled to mobilize, we would have to accept the hateful regimentations and denials which war itself entails."

Mobilization for war is to be the regular and dominant preoccupation of this country from now on and the American people will have to suffer regimentation and the loss of freedom, material privations and sacrifice.

"Young men might have to be drafted while the rest of us registered at rationing boards. The production of automobiles, television sets and other delights might have to be curtailed; limits imposed on prices, profits, wages; taxes heavily increased and numerous other Government controls instituted."

But something stands in the way, he sadly relates. That is the traditional opposition of the American people to regimentation

Militant Fund Still Lags 11% With 2 Weeks To Go

By Reba Aubrey
Campaign Manager

With only two weeks more to go, the \$7,500 Militant Fund campaign is 11% behind schedule as this report goes to press, April 26. The national percentage was raised to 56 with payments from Socialist Workers Party branches and friends totaling \$1,818 for the week. The schedule calls for 67% at this date.

Los Angeles left the zero column and hit the top in one leap. "Enclosed is the check we promised for our quota in the Militant Fund — \$600," writes Myra Tanager Weiss. "Now we can proceed to clear up our regular obligations to the National Office. And since the comrades here are so anxious to help the N. O. with dispatch, perhaps we can do that quickly too."

Allentown likewise jumped from the zero column to 100%. "We wish we were able to do more for the Fund," says Regina S.

Akron squeezed out of the zero column with \$50 sent in by S. Harte. "It gives us great pleasure to enclose this sum toward our Militant Fund quota."

Milwaukee jumped from 1% to 53%. A. Williams says for the comrades of that branch: "We are happy to enclose \$76.50 as our first installment for the Militant Fund. We hope to raise the balance and more at our May Day Social."

"It's nice to be up near the top," comments Eloise B. of Pittsburgh. "We had assessed ourselves \$5 per head per employed comrade.

SCOREBOARD FOR MILITANT FUND

Branch	Quota	Paid	Percent
Morgantown	\$ 25	\$ 30	120
Seattle	50	50	100
Allentown	25	25	100
Los Angeles	600	600	100
Youngstown	300	265	88
Toledo	50	40	80
Newark	250	190	76
Flint	200	146	73
Minneapolis	400	288	72
Baltimore	100	65	65
Boston	150	91	61
Pittsburgh	100	60	60
Reading	50	30	60
New York	2,000	1,177	59
Milwaukee	150	79	53
Philadelphia	400	211	53
New Britain	50	25	50
St. Paul	200	100	50
New Haven	35	15	43
Worcester	25	10	40
Buffalo	500	193	39
San Francisco-Oakland	500	194	39
St. Louis	50	16	32
Lynn	50	14	28
Chicago	250	68	27
Akron	200	50	25
Cleveland	150	38	25
Detroit	500	112	22
Rochester	25	0	0
General	115	48	42
Total through April 26			56
			\$7,500
			\$4,230

Now we hope to begin contacting our friends. We are also counting on raising some of our quota from a May 1 social. If the push comes too slow, we can always assess ourselves again, though, of course, we prefer to have contacts participate in the fund raising."

Rose of Reading sent in \$13. They "are trying to reach 100% quick."

A payment of \$27 from Flint raised that branch to 73% of its quota. Genora Dollinger says, "We're driving hard to bring it up as high as possible."

Oscar C. of St. Louis sent in \$10 with a promise that "more will follow."

Jean P. of St. Paul mailed in \$45. "This pays us up 50% with a couple of weeks to go, so I'm sure we will make it."

"I am enclosing \$110 which will boost Minneapolis' percentage on the 'Scoreboard' quite substantially," writes Grace Carlson. "Dur-

ing these fund campaigns, the 'Scoreboard' and the accompanying notes is the first section of The Militant that we read, so we were grievously disappointed to find that our last check came in too late for the April 18 issue.

"It is a very humiliating thing to have the same old percentage two weeks in succession! Anyhow it won't happen again. . . . We have been very favorably surprised by the way the pledges are being paid. Money is tight and most of the pledges represent a real sacrifice on the part of the comrades making them. But they seem determined to do their duty by The Militant, even though it hurts."

Chris Andrews, an old friend of The Militant in Los Angeles, contributed \$25 to the Militant Fund even though he has been laid off.

Cyril Z. of New York sent in \$10 and John McB. of Seattle sent in \$5.

THE MILITANT ARMY

Preparations of the various locals and branches of the Socialist Workers Party to celebrate May Day were reflected last week in orders for extra copies from the following:

New York 1,000 extra copies; Youngstown 26; Detroit 50; Cleveland 100; Los Angeles 160; Allentown 500.

Louise Leslie, Literature Agent for Oakland, writes that 61 Militants were sold during the election campaign for Filomena Goelman and hundreds distributed with "an introductory leaflet attached." Comrade Leslie believes that "the stage is set for very good sales of literature in the near future. This week we will make our first attempt in a long time at getting the Fourth International and Militant on newsstands in the area surrounding the University of California campus in Berkeley. From this attempt we expect to gain a great many new readers as it will be very difficult to pass up our 'new look' FI and Militant if they are in an accessible spot."

Boston's Literature Agent, Rena Breschi, reports that a subscription campaign is getting under way there. First returns were five renewals. S. Gordon, Literature Agent for Lynn, Mass., sent in three renewals as the opening salvo in their sub campaign. "We find that we get a pretty good reception as far as repeats are concerned," he says. "Our prize sub-getter, Comrade Franklin, batted 100% for the week and reported to the branch that each edition is eagerly awaited by the sub holders. Renewals on the whole ran about 50% which we consider pretty good. The big stumbling block is new subs."

Comrades in other areas also report that it requires far more time and effort to get new subscriptions than a year or two ago. James W. Conroy of Kewanee, Ill., for instance, writes: "Am trying all the time, but the people are afraid that everything is going down. The boiler works are on four days and the employers are laying off quite a few, so it is hard to talk them into getting anything they can get along without. Will do all I can." Literature Agent G. H. of Milwaukee, sending in some new subs and renewals, writes: "There is increasing difficulty in getting renewals. They usually mean several calls. Workers are anticipating layoffs. They are living from pay check to pay check and don't have the money to lay out immediately. Veterans living in cheaply constructed temporary housing are paying high rents and installments on furniture. Their budgets are tight as it is. With rumors of lay-offs in the shops they can only think of the meager unemployment checks ahead — not sufficient to pay the rent, furniture installments and food bills. Subs under these conditions mean real interest in The Militant."

Increased difficulty in getting subs is a sign of the times. Many workers' first reaction to threatening lay-offs is to cut down on every possible expense. It requires patience and persistence to convince such subscribers that they can play a role as individuals in fighting mass lay-offs. At a later stage of the economic cycle workers begin to seek out publications that tell them the truth about conditions, give a basic explanation of the evils of capitalism and point the road out. The subscriptions come more easily.

Meanwhile, it's a question of fortitude and hard work. As Pittsburgh's live-wire Literature Agent, Gerry Woolf, told us the other day when he visited our office: "If you put the time in, you make the sales and get the subs." We should add that many branches experiencing difficulties getting subs have by way of compensation found it relatively easy to sell single copies of The Militant. Have you tried this?

Increased difficulty in getting subs is a sign of the times. Many workers' first reaction to threatening lay-offs is to cut down on every possible expense. It requires patience and persistence to convince such subscribers that they can play a role as individuals in fighting mass lay-offs. At a later stage of the economic cycle workers begin to seek out publications that tell them the truth about conditions, give a basic explanation of the evils of capitalism and point the road out. The subscriptions come more easily.

Meanwhile, it's a question of fortitude and hard work. As Pittsburgh's live-wire Literature Agent, Gerry Woolf, told us the other day when he visited our office: "If you put the time in, you make the sales and get the subs." We should add that many branches experiencing difficulties getting subs have by way of compensation found it relatively easy to sell single copies of The Militant. Have you tried this?

Increased difficulty in getting subs is a sign of the times. Many workers' first reaction to threatening lay-offs is to cut down on every possible expense. It requires patience and persistence to convince such subscribers that they can play a role as individuals in fighting mass lay-offs. At a later stage of the economic cycle workers begin to seek out publications that tell them the truth about conditions, give a basic explanation of the evils of capitalism and point the road out. The subscriptions come more easily.

Meanwhile, it's a question of fortitude and hard work. As Pittsburgh's live-wire Literature Agent, Gerry Woolf, told us the other day when he visited our office: "If you put the time in, you make the sales and get the subs." We should add that many branches experiencing difficulties getting subs have by way of compensation found it relatively easy to sell single copies of The Militant. Have you tried this?

Increased difficulty in getting subs is a sign of the times. Many workers' first reaction to threatening lay-offs is to cut down on every possible expense. It requires patience and persistence to convince such subscribers that they can play a role as individuals in fighting mass lay-offs. At a later stage of the economic cycle workers begin to seek out publications that tell them the truth about conditions, give a basic explanation of the evils of capitalism and point the road out. The subscriptions come more easily.

Meanwhile, it's a question of fortitude and hard work. As Pittsburgh's live-wire Literature Agent, Gerry Woolf, told us the other day when he visited our office: "If you put the time in, you make the sales and get the subs." We should add that many branches experiencing difficulties getting subs have by way of compensation found it relatively easy to sell single copies of The Militant. Have you tried this?

Economics, History

The May issue of Fourth International, monthly theoretical magazine of American Trotskyism, presents:

- **May Day 1949**
A searching editorial on the status of the struggle for a world free of war and poverty — a world where national rivalries, racial and religious bigotry have been eliminated and the "Brotherhood of Man" has conquered inequality and oppression.
- **Latin America in the Postwar World**
Latin America is not the technicolor paradise displayed in Hollywood movies. It's a vast region of poverty and bitter exploitation. Louis T. Gordon cites facts and statistics showing what happened to the wartime bubble of prosperity.
- **Revolutionary Course of American Society**
A Marxist contribution to the study of American history by William F. Ward. This is an important article. Extraordinary facts about the rise of American capitalism: invasion from abroad, violent overthrow of Indian communal life, solemn treaties cynically violated, genocide deliberately practiced — the fascinating, strife-torn panorama of American history seen through the powerful lens of dialectical materialism. The sub-headings will give you an idea of the contents: "The struggle for the land," "What the future holds," "The real methods of bourgeois advancement," "Social significance of the assault upon the Indians," "Three main stages of American history," "Where capitalist thinking ends," "America's violent transitions," "Fear of Marxist enlightenment."
- **Cromwell and the Levellers**
Tercentenary of the English Revolution: 1649-1949. The first of two articles by G. F. Eckstein on the capitalist revolution of 300 years ago. Presents little-known facts about the decisive role of the communist-minded Levellers. How the head

of a reactionary British king came to roll from the chopping block.

- **Economic Trends in Eastern Europe**
Ernest Germain assembles a mass of figures to estimate the economic trends in the satellite countries. The first installment in an authoritative Marxist survey. What is the status of the capitalist class? Is production reviving? Has inflation reached an end? What has been the effect of nationalization? How have the various economic plans worked out? This is the most comprehensive study of the economies of Eastern Europe we have seen in the American press.
- **The Meaning of Hegel**
The concluding installment of this important essay by the great Russian Marxist, George Plekhanov, summarizes the essential difference between Hegel's philosophy and the materialist interpretation of history. A lucid presentation of the dialectic method as used by Hegel and Marx.

Send for a sample copy of the May issue of FOURTH INTERNATIONAL. Only 25c. Fill out the coupon and mail it today. The address is FOURTH INTERNATIONAL, 116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.

Name

Street

City

State

Zone

25c Sample Copy. \$1.25 Six months subscription. \$2.50 Full year.

Pamphlets You Should Have


- THE COMING AMERICAN REVOLUTION by J. P. Cannon 32 pages, 10c.
An analysis of the remarkable transformation that has been made in American society and the working class during the last two decades, stressing the factors that are preparing the way for the American socialist revolution.
 - THE STRUGGLE FOR NEGRO EQUALITY by John Saunders and Albert Parker 48 pages, 10 cents.
A study of the problems facing the fight for the second emancipation of the Negro people, drawing lessons from American history since the Civil War and from the Russian Revolution.
- PIONEER PUBLISHERS
116 UNIVERSITY PLACE NEW YORK 3, N. Y.

DETROIT
Celebrate May Day
SPRING DANCE
FLOOR SHOW 11 P.M.
—Refreshments—
Sat. May 7th 8:30 P.M.
Donation 50 Cents
6108 Linwood Avenue

THE MILITANT
 Published Weekly in the Interest of the Working People
THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASSOCIATION
 116 University Pl., N. Y. 3, N. Y. (Phone: AL 4-9830)
 Editor: GEORGE BREITMAN
 Business Manager: JOSEPH HANSEN

Subscriptions: \$2 per year; \$1 for 6 months. Foreign: \$3.50 per yr; \$2 for 6 mos.
 Entered as second class matter Mar. 7, 1944 at the Post Office at New York, N. Y., under the act of Mar. 3, 1879.

Vol. XIII - No. 18
 Monday, May 2, 1949



TROTSKY



LENIN

— Leon Trotsky, 1940

"With the present level of technology and skill of the workers, it is quite possible to create adequate conditions for the material and spiritual development of all mankind. It would be necessary only to organize the economic life within each country and over our entire planet correctly, scientifically, and rationally, according to a general plan. So long, however, as the main productive forces of society are held by trusts, i.e., isolated capitalist cliques, and so long as the national state remains a pliant tool in the hands of these cliques, the struggle for markets, for sources of raw materials, for domination of the world must inevitably assume a more and more destructive character."

The Trumanites Retreat Once More

No sooner did the House begin debate on the Truman-sponsored Lesinski bill to repeal the Taft-Hartley Act than the administration forces began to back-peddle and issue reports of their willingness to make major concessions to the Taft-Hartleyites.

The April 27 N. Y. Times lists four compromise proposals the Truman Democrats are preparing to offer in return for majority vote on a bill bearing the administration's label. These are: stronger curbs on "national emergency strikes," presumably specific provisions for use of injunctions; continuation of the yellow-dog "non-communist" affidavits; continued limitations on closed shop agreements; and continued requirements for the filing of union financial reports.

Each one of these proposals would maintain, under the new label of the Lesinski bill, a basic anti-union policy of the Taft-Hartley Act. Together they would constitute a virtual restoration of the main provisions of the Slave Labor Law.

Even as it now stands, the Lesinski bill is a far cry from Truman's election promise of unconditional repeal of the Taft-Hartley Act. It would replace the Taft-Hartley law with the Wagner Act so amended as to retain in principle the main premise of the Taft-Hartley Act — government intervention against the unions in strikes and prohibition

of certain types of strikes. At the same time, Truman has declared his "inherent powers," without legislation, to use injunctions against strikes and Secretary of Labor Tobin has proposed a separate law to retain the yellow-dog oaths.

Certain it is, as *The Militant* predicted many weeks ago, the Truman Democrats are going to put up no real fight against Taft-Hartleyism. They already have the white flag unfurled, ready to run up to the top of the pole.

And once more the union leaders give every indication of going along with the Trumanite betrayal of a key election promise, of minimizing and justifying the conduct of the administration. Once more, behind a verbal barrage aimed exclusively at the Republicans and Southern Democrats, they are shielding Truman and his political machine.

The unholy alliance between the top union bureaucrats and the Truman wing of the Democrats in the first four months of the 81st Congress has already led to retreats on civil rights and rent control. Dependence on Truman and his lieutenants will only lead to further debacles on labor legislation, health insurance and housing. Labor, as always, can depend only on its own independent strength, mobilized in united action, to win its demands.

"Guilt by Association"

The case of the Rev. Dr. John Howard Melish, whose dismissal as rector of Holy Trinity Protestant Episcopal Church in Brooklyn Heights was upheld last week by New York Supreme Court Justice Steinbrink involves one of the most vital issues of civil rights confronting the American people.

Dr. Melish was charged only with "guilt by association." After holding the pulpit in his church for more than 40 years the 74-year-old pastor was dismissed by Bishop James Pernet De Wolfe solely on the grounds that Dr. Melish's son, who had been his assistant for 10 years, engaged in "outside activities" deemed too "radical" by a minority of the congregation, particularly some of the more wealthy.

The judge who upheld the Bishop's order of dismissal, however, granted a ten-day stay of execution so that the contending parties might try to arrive at an agreement. The agreement offered the aged minister was that he could continue his pastorate — if he would get his son to resign and remove himself and his family from the rectory where they live with Dr. Melish.

With commendable principle, Dr. Melish indignantly rejected this proposition and chose rather to sit in the pews last Sunday. He issued a ringing statement in defense of "freedom of the pulpit, and freedom of conscience and speech." He declared he would continue the fight for "the basic principles of democracy" by appeal to higher courts.

The N.Y. NAACP Resolution

Last week's *Militant* reported the fact that the New York branch of the NAACP had unanimously adopted a resolution calling on the NAACP annual conference in Los Angeles in July to demand a special session of Congress this summer to act on all civil rights bills not enacted when Congress adjourns.

In our opinion this was a wise move, meriting the support of all labor and Negro organizations seriously concerned with civil rights. It puts Truman on the spot; in a special session he will not have the alibi about the pressure of other important business to "explain" the administration's capitulation to the Southern Democrats; and if he rejects the proposal, it will expose his hypocrisy on civil rights even more glaringly than did his do-nothing behaviour during the filibuster debate. Clearly enough, the struggle for civil rights legislation has everything to gain and nothing to lose from a special session called specifically for this purpose.

Equally important was the second half of the New York resolution, requesting the NAACP to take the lead in convening "a united Negro and labor conference, representing Negro and labor organizations in all parts of the country, to meet in Washington simultaneously with the special session of Con-

gress and to press for the passage of the civil rights bills."

The New York NAACP evidently recognizes that a special session of Congress will not mean much by itself unless it is accompanied by pressure going far beyond the kind which was relied on by the Negro organizations during the recent filibuster debate and that is now being relied on by the labor leaders in their efforts to repeal the Taft-Hartley Act. The traditional lobbying methods — buttonholing congressmen or sending them letters — have proved utterly inadequate.

What is necessary now is the mobilization of mass pressure to convince the members of Congress that the people will not stand for any more monkey-business from the capitalist parties which less than a year ago pledged to pass civil rights measures. A united labor and Negro conference, attended by rank and file delegates determined to get action in Washington, is certainly a good and efficient way of exerting such pressure.

Most of the unions and all of the Negro organizations are on record for the passage of civil rights bills this year. None of them can have a valid reason for not supporting the New York NAACP position fully and actively.

Socialized Medicine--Why the U. S. Needs It

By Harry Braverman

Popular attention is becoming increasingly focused on the bitter debate over the system of medical payment in the United States.

On one side, popular indignation and the feeling of many individual doctors is on the rise against the present profit system in medicine.

On the other side, the top five percent of the medical profession constituting the ruling clique of the American Medical Association, together with their powerful friends — the industrialists and financiers of the nation — have mobilized to keep the doctor and his patient divided by the almighty dollar.

The chief subject of discussion in recent days has been the health insurance plan proposed by Oscar Ewing who is the Federal Security Administrator. This plan provides for a system of medical insurance. Payments would be made on the payroll deduction plan by workers and employers. People could choose their own doctor or dentist, receive treatment, and the doctor would then send his bill for payment to the common insurance fund provided by the taxes.

A hue and cry has been raised against this health insurance plan on the ground that it is a plan for socialized or nationalized medicine. This is not true at all.

Socialized medicine, something entirely different, means the complete abolition of the profit system in medicine and the building of a medical structure similar to a free school system or post office. All doctors, dentists, nurses, hospital attendants, etc., work as salaried employees of the government and medical care is free to all who require it.

The Truman-sponsored plan does not at all abolish the medical fee system and place the medical

profession on a salaried basis. All that it does is spread the payments in an insurance fashion. As the Federal Security Administrator himself has said, "the proposed bill is no more Socialistic than fire insurance."

However, the plain insurance function of the Truman Administration plan does not prevent its critics from shouting that this is the next step before Socialism. The top hierarchy of the Medical Association fought the Workmen's Compensation Laws when they were adopted. It fought and still fights cooperative hospitals and cooperative medicine even in rural communities where doctors could not be provided in any other way. The American Medical Association fought even the plans for voluntary health insurance, such as Blue Cross Insurance, when they were first organized. It is not surprising that organized medicine fights National Health Insurance as well.

The opposition to the health insurance plan is clear evidence of the controlling hand behind the American Medical Association. Under a system of health insurance, the income of the average doctor with average patients tends to rise. Not only is the health care of the patient insured but the income of the doctor as well. This has been the universal experience of nations operating a health insurance plan.

On the other hand, the income of the wealthy practitioners and specialists who fatten on exorbitant fees tends to decline. These top income physicians represent in the United States about five percent of the medical profession. This top layer controls the organized medical profession and uses that control in its own interest.

Shocking Facts About Medical Care

The Socialist Workers Party has entered the debate over the system of medical payment as a proponent of socialized medicine. We intervene not in order to discuss the scientific, the medical end of the medical profession but in order to discuss the business end: the method of payment for medical services. We do not rush in to criticize medical science. This field has advanced a long distance since the life time of Hippocrates, the ancient Greek healer. But, the economics of the medical industry are in a Hippocratic—even a pre-Hippocratic state!

Let us recall that over one-third of all those examined for the armed forces under the operation of the Selective Service Act during the last war, were rejected for serious physical defects. And, this was in the age group that is considered to be the healthiest in the nation.

Needless death is rampant in the United States. All authorities testify that tens of thousands of deaths from pneumonia, tuberculosis, heart diseases and cancer are preventable and that the death rate from these killers is fantastic in view of modern discoveries in the fields of prevention, detection and treatment of diseases. While the methods are known, for some reason they have not been applied on a mass scale. That is what I mean when I say that the economics of medicine is in the quack stage.

The prevailing system of medical payment in the United States is the fee system, under which medical care is a profit business and the doctor a business man. Can everyone afford medical care under this system? Not at all!

Government figures show that the cost of adequate medical care is beyond the reach of over half the families of the United States! The full benefits of the best quality medical and pharmaceutical care can be purchased at present by only about twenty

'Sacred' Doctor-Patient Relationship

Every decent-minded person is sick to death of being told today that there is not enough money to support this or that program intended for human welfare. Money is always found, borrowed, squeezed out of the people by oppressive taxation, when it is necessary to destroy people by the millions in gigantic world slaughters. What a commentary on the capitalist system that all the money spent on medical research in one entire year would pay for only eight hours and twenty minutes of the last world war!

There is a great deal of discussion going on about this proposal for socialized medicine. As usual, the big business-controlled press features the propaganda of those who are the least pure in their motives. Abuse against socialized medicine is to

Each and every patient who walks into a doctor's office represents to him not only an ill man or woman but also a figure in dollars and cents. The poor man must fail to get adequate care, while the pocketbook of the better-off patient is often more closely investigated than other parts of his anatomy.

This is the testimony of doctors themselves. As an example, I want to read from the writings of a doctor, this brief comment about the human appendix:

"The appendix, an inert organ usually some three inches long, three quarters of an inch in diameter, and attached to the large bowel, is of course an 'evolutionary hangover' with no known physical function. There is nothing in the books, however, to indicate that nature left the appendix behind to be a peren-

operational source of income for the operation-minded surgeon. Yet, under a system of surgery for profit that would too often seem to be the appendix's economic function."

It is of course necessary for every sufferer from abdominal pains to consult a doctor at once and to follow his advice. We laymen have no other recourse. But, under the present system of medical care, the number of unnecessary operations or expensive treatments must be enormous. There is a powerful economic impulsion to decide in favor of operation in doubtful or even clearly non-operative cases.

This I must repeat, is not my personal opinion or statement, it is the conclusion of recognized medical authorities who have studied the matter.

Individual Physician Not to Blame

In most cases, the family doctor has the confidence of his patient. How often does the physician abuse this confidence and make of the sacred doctor-patient relationship a confidence game? We have no way of knowing.

Under the present system of so-called "medical ethics" no doctor will say. Only a few courageous fighters have made themselves outcasts in the official medical profession by blowing the lid off.

I say we have no way of knowing exactly what the statistics are. But, we do know that the most powerful economic temptations beset the doctor in his unequal bargaining contest with the medically ignorant patient.

There can be no question of blaming the individual physician in this matter. Under the present system, the young medical man begins his career with debts on a medical education which may run to sixteen thousand dollars, with debts on his office and technical equipment, with years of lost earning power behind him which may also hang around his neck in the form of debts. He enters a fiercely competitive field.

The medical system must be blamed for what he may do to guarantee his income. As long as the doctor is half business man, half healer, the abuses will continue. Free him from his two-sided role and make of him a scientist with an assured adequate income and this problem is solved.

Another argument used to repel the advance of socialized medicine is the cry that the patient would lose his "free

choice of doctors." This has a bitter ring in the ears of the families with incomes below twelve-hundred dollars per year among whom half of all disease strikes in this country. What choice of physician have they? What choice do the charity wards give them?

This cry about free choice of physician is the most false, fantastic, sentimentalized piece of quackery in the history of the medical profession.

Assuming for example that I do have the money to seek the attendance of a physician for every illness, why should I even want the free choice of a physician? Which of us is competent to select from among the many medical specialties, fields of experience and practice, the one particular doctor who is the most suitable for our case?

Can we investigate the educational background, experience record of treatment of every physician in our city when we proceed to exercise our precious right of free choice? Or, is the choice of a doctor a haphazard, hit-and-miss affair dominated by friendship, convenience, size of fee, professional manner, and so forth?

All the palaver about free choice of physician is not at all impressive when it is analyzed. I do not see why the average man should not be ready to trade away this dubious right for the more valuable privilege of having his doctor chosen for him by the medical board of a cooperative or state-owned hospital which has no financial interest in him and which can choose for him conscientiously on the basis of his needs and medical case history.

At Expense of the Nation's Health

Let us now consider the effect upon the physician of a system of socialized medicine. I have already discussed the harassed and financially untenable situation of the young practitioner. This financial insecurity stays with the doctor throughout his entire career as it does indeed with all small businessmen.

In 1929, the boom year of American prosperity before the War, over half of all American doctors earned two-thousand five-hundred dollars per year or less.

The doctor is expected to do a great deal of charity work; he is consulted by many people in time of crisis who cannot stop to think of their ability to pay. He himself cannot, out of common humanity, refuse treatment, although this is not always true, particularly in the case of commercial hospitals. (Big business is notoriously more hard-hearted than small.)

The doctor has a running overhead expense, he has difficulty in collecting bills, he has a constant financial problem. This may not be true for the Park Avenue specialist whose patients are wealthy and who depends upon his lawyer or collection agency to handle his financial worries, but it is true of the average practitioner.

Doctors today may find themselves better off financially. This is a result of the shortage of medical care. The relation of supply to demand favors the seller — in this case the doctor who is the producer of medical care.

But, can any medical man defend with a clear conscience, a system of medical care which thrives upon a shortage of good health and over-supply of illness in relation to supply of medical care for that illness?

The medical profession at present operates insofar as its economics is concerned upon the basis of this rule.

There are fewer than eighty medical schools in the United States, and the number of students graduated from them is restricted by the organized medical profession. The result is that

can refute this fact. The only possible way to alter it is to socialize medicine in the hands of the public.

Socialized medicine would open up great new avenues of medical progress. Consider, for example, the great possibilities of preventive medicine.

What is preventive medicine? It is that branch of the medical art which concentrates on the prevention, rather than the cure, of disease.

At the present time, only two percent of the medical dollar is spent for prevention. About ninety-eight percent is spent for treatment.

Usually by the time a doctor first gets a look at your illness, it is far beyond the initial stage. It is rather well established in the organism. It has already inflicted injury. Seriously ill patients bring to the doctor a difficult, if not incurable malady. This is particularly true in the case of cancer, heart disease, tuberculosis: the great killers of mankind.

Preventive medicine presupposes physical check-ups on a monthly or even semi-monthly basis. Under the present medical system, it is hardly even possible for the average working man to pay for adequate care when he is sick, let alone expect him to go to the doctor for examinations when he is perfectly healthy.

Everything to Gain

Only socialized medicine can bring into existence the chain of free clinics, hospitals, X-ray centers and cancer-detection stations that will prevent the hundreds of thousands of needless deaths, and ruined, maimed and crippled bodies every year in this country.

Such a system of preventive medicine can genuinely destroy disease, and lengthen the average life expectancy of mankind to the full century which is its due.

The final most frightened cry of the opponents of socialized medicine is this: that socialized medicine will lead inevitably to Socialism. But, how can this be, if socialized medicine has all the faults, defects, inefficiencies, bureaucratic bungling and red tape attributed to it, by its opponents? If they are right, socialized medicine would rapidly discredit itself, and, along with it, the whole idea of Socialism. More people would then be firmly convinced anti-socialists than ever before.

But the truth is that the big business opponents of socialized medicine are hostile to it not because of its possible failures but because of its great and easily demonstrated superiority over the present profit system of medicine.

They fear that the great mass of the people will reason: "If we can get adequate medical care by the elimination of the profit motive and the socialization of medicine, why should we not then guarantee ourselves adequate production of all necessities and even luxuries of life by socializing all of basic industries?"

The great mass of people have nothing to fear and everything to gain from Socialism. Socialized medicine in conjunction with socialized industry is the only road to the elimination of poverty, and the only guarantee to mankind of long, happy and healthy years of life.

Visit your local headquarters of the

SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY

AKRON — 4 So. Howard St., 2nd fl. Every afternoon 1 to 4 p. m. Meetings Sunday 2 p. m.

BALTIMORE — 1121 E. Baltimore St., 2nd floor, front. Phone WOLFE 9021M. Open daily 10-9 p.m. MILITANT and FOURTH INTERNATIONAL on sale.

BOSTON — Workers Educational Center, 30 Stuart St., open Mon. 5:30-9:00 p.m., Wed. 7-10 p.m.

BUFFALO — Militant Forum, 629 Main St., 2nd fl. Phone Madison 3999. Every afternoon except Sun.

CHICAGO — 166 W. Washington Blvd., Rm. 312-314. Daily except Sun. 11:30 to 3:30; or phone for an appointment.

CLEVELAND — Pecks Hall, 1446 E. 82nd St. (off Wade Pl. Ave.) Monthly Public Forum 1st Sun. 8 p.m.

DETROIT — 8108 Linwood Ave. Phone TY 7-0267. Mon. through Sat., 12-5 p.m.

ELINT — Socialist Workers Party Hall, 215 East Ninth Street. Phone: 2-2488. Open House Saturday evenings.

LOS ANGELES — Militant Publ. Assn., Room 325, 124 W. 6th St. Phone Vandyke 8061.

SAN PEDRO — Militant, 1008 S. Pacific Room 214.

LYNN (Mass.) — Militant Publ. Assn., 44 Central Ave., office open Tues., Wed. 7:30-9:30 p.m.

MILWAUKEE — 917 N. 3rd St., 3rd fl. Sun. through Fri., 7:30-9:30 p.m. Phone Hopkins 2-3557.

MINNEAPOLIS — 10 So. 4th St. Phone Main 781. Daily except Sun., 10 a.m.-6 p.m. Library, bookstore.

NEW BRITAIN (Conn.) — For information write P. D. Box No. 659 or phone 3-8287.

NEW HAVEN — For information telephone 7-8780.

NEWARK — 423 Springfield Ave. Phone Bigelow 3-2574. Reading room. Open daily, 12-4 and 7-10 p.m.

NEW YORK CITY (HQ.) — 118 University Place. Phone PL 6-8140.

HARLEM — 103 W. 110 St., Rm. 25. Phone MO 5-1896. Open discussion, Friday, 8 p.m.

BROXN — Hunts Point Palace, 953 Southern Blvd.; Room 25. Every Fri. night at 8:30 p.m.

BROOKLYN — 635 Fulton St. Phone BR 3-7433.

CHESAPEA — 130 W. 23rd St. Phone AL 5-2488.

OKLAHOMA (OH) — 1408 Webster.

PHILADELPHIA — 1205-05 W. Girard Ave., 2nd fl. Phone Stevenson 4-8200. Open daily. Forum, Fri., 8 p.m.

PITTSBURGH — 1418 Fifth Ave., 2nd fl. Forums 2nd Fri. each month. Marxist class 4th p.m. every Sat. Meetings every Thurs., 7:30 p.m.

SAN FRANCISCO — 1789 Fillmore Ave., 4th fl. Phone FI 6-0410. Daily except Sun., 12-4:30 p.m.

SEATTLE — Maynard Bldg., 1st Ave., R. 201, So. & Washington. Tel. Main 9278. Mon. through Sat., 12-5 p.m. Branch meetings, Fri., 8 p.m. Library, bookstore, Forums, Thurs., 7:30-10 p.m.

ST. LOUIS — Jefferson and Lafayette Ave., United Hall, Hall No. 5. Militant Forums, Thurs., 7:30-10 p.m.

TOLEDO — Monthly open meeting, Third Thurs. 8 p.m., Kapps Hall, 413 Summit, Room 3.

WORCESTER (Mass.) — For information write P. D. Box 555, Worcester, Mass. 01101. Phone 854-2220. Federal St., Youngstown, Wed., Fri., Sat., 1:30 to 4 p.m.

The 'Trust-Busting' Fraud

By Ruth Johnson

Practically all capitalist politicians looking for popular support try their hand at the "trust-busting" game. They recall the days when capitalism was young, and competition was its way of life. They see, quite correctly, that capitalist democracy belongs to the era of competition, and that monopoly puts a political straitjacket as well as an economic squeeze on the people. But the big stick they wave so threateningly at the trusts, is only soft foam rubber.

Take Senator O'Mahoney of Wyoming, and his article in the April Reader's Digest, "Is Big Business Too Big?" is the title. His answer is "yes." He tells what happened to the dime pack of cigarettes, killed by the tobacco trust, and gives some history. "At the turn of the century," he says, the tobacco industry was "highly competitive. Soon, by merger and consolidation, the big fellows got big enough to swallow up or squeeze out the little fellows. They so completely controlled and monopolized the market that, in 1911, the 'Tobacco Trust' was dissolved as a result of federal action."

If government trust-busting was real, that should have fixed up the situation. But what happened?

"By 1940, however, the Big Three had become so big that each of these companies, by itself, was larger than the Tobacco Trust had been. Again the U. S. government brought suit for monopolistic practices," but this did not stop the Big Three's growth."

Some independent enterprisers started to put out their cheaper cigarettes, and won 25% of the market. The monopolists cut prices on their own brands to below cost, bankrupted their competitors, then promptly raised prices to the original levels — and higher. Let O'Mahoney continue:

"When the Government's suit was brought in 1940, the Big Three did less than 68% of the country's cigarette business. By 1948 they were doing 86%."

He says that this is no exception. "Three milling corporations produce 38% of all flour consumed in the United States; three meat-packing companies produce 43% of all processed meat; three dairy companies produce 44% of all condensed and evaporated milk and 63% of all cheese," and so on.

"In heavy industries, Big Business seems to have taken over even more completely. Three corporations in each of the following fields produce from 68% to 91% of the total output: chemicals, window glass, farm tractors, rubber tires, copper, tin cans, passenger automobiles, primary aluminum."

O'Mahoney doesn't explain why the trust-busting failed to halt their growth. He can't, because to do so he would have to admit that monopoly is the purpose and the natural outcome of capitalist competition; and that the government serves the capitalists; not the masses of people robbed by monopolies.

Unable to take that leap, he falls flat on his face, begs for just a "constitution" to govern business practices, and denies that he meant what he said before.

"The extension and strengthening of economic democracy," he concludes, "does not mean that the modern industrial organization should be scrapped or Big Business atomized. . . . But economic democracy requires business that is more responsive to the social and economic needs of the people."

That's like asking a man-hungry tiger to chew his victim more sensitively.

THE MILITANT

VOLUME XIII

MONDAY, MAY 2, 1949

NUMBER 18

65,000 Ford Workers Vote To Strike Against Speedup

SENATOR PAUL DOUGLAS "RISING STAR OF ILLINOIS"

By J. Meyer

The movement of politics is very rapid these days. Take Senator Paul Douglas. To illustrate the vicissitudes of his Senatorial career so far, here is an extract from the Negro press:

"Great things were expected of Mr. Douglas. He was a respected member of the faculty of the University of Chicago. He had served with distinction in Chicago's City Council. He had fought gallantly in World War II with the Marines. He had taken a noble and aggressive pre-election stand for civil rights. He was the toast of the minorities. In him they placed their faith and hope. But lo and behold! Senator Douglas has fallen, even as Lucifer, the son of the morning, fell from the pinnacles of heaven down into the nether regions."

EARN'S RUSSELL'S PRAISE

How fell this faker so fast and so furiously and whom has he disappointed? To begin with, the Negro people. During the debate on the filibuster Douglas said on the Senate floor, "We are not proposing to abolish segregation in the South. We are not proposing to abolish it in housing or in federal aid for education. We are not proposing to abolish it in the schools."

Then what in the name of heaven did President Truman propose to the world and the country, not merely the Negro people, during the last elections? Better still, what did Douglas propose? Douglas also took it upon himself to repeat the hoary old confusion which within recent years has been dropping out of the vocabulary of even anti-Negro politicians.

"In answer to Senator Russell of Georgia, Sir," said Douglas, "I am not insisting that in the social relations that men have with each other they must be forced to mingle."

No wonder the Senator from Georgia made a special point of thanking "the rising star of Illinois" for his reactionary statement. A Negro columnist comments: "This . . . expression was not expected to fall from the lips of our erudite and distinguished professor. Certainly emanating from Senator Douglas it precipitated shock, disillusion and disgust."

ON HOUSING BIAS

What was particularly annoying to Negroes was that Douglas had previously made a pro-Negro gesture by appointing a Negro woman as one of his secretaries. It seems that with a lot of these Democratic Party left-wing Senators the civil rights program is to be applied only in their staff offices. The Chicago Negro supporters of Douglas are bitter at this hypocrisy and the cheapness of this political gesture.

Then last week Senator Bricker of Ohio, Republican, tried to wreck the housing bill in the Senate by proposing to add to it a clause prohibiting racial segregation in housing. Douglas took it upon himself to show that to vote for the amendment would mean no housing bill. He "reaffirmed that he believed in the President's civil rights program." He "wanted to face [that] issue squarely." He "deplored" the conduct of the Southerners. But he wanted housing for four million people, and as Bricker was obviously trying to wreck the bill by this clause, he would vote against it.

Wayne Morse associated himself with Douglas, and Hubert Humphrey, needless to say, crawled rapidly into the same hole. Humphrey and Douglas of course bear the main responsibility in the 81st Congress for all blows that have fallen and will fall upon the Truman program, rent control, Taft-Hartley repeal, etc., in the Senate. They bear the responsibility because these "leftists" by their capitulation on the civil rights issue made it clear to all that they are not going to make any serious fight on ANY issue.

HE WHO YIELDS

It must be said, however, that Douglas, here as in the filibuster debate, uses a particularly nauseating brand of political camouflage — Douglas in this debate had the gall to say that the North was not free from discrimination and therefore should not criticize the South too strongly. He quoted: "Judge not lest ye be judged."

What more could any rabid Southern senator want from the "rising star of Illinois"?

He pounded the desk and addressed the Southern senators: "You should give a little, too. You should not be so unyielding on civil rights."

What a miserable crawling worm this is! The Southern sensitive should not be so unyielding. But since they persist in being "unyielding," then Douglas yields — with hammering of the table.

But it is not only his fist that he beat upon the table. He beat his head too. Before he sat down he observed that he had probably succeeded "in getting everyone angry and cutting [his] own political throat from ear to ear."

Wrong again, Senator Douglas. You have not offended "everybody." The Southern reactionaries have recognized in you a friend, have no doubt about this. And Truman and Barkley and the Democratic leaders also have already recognized in you a man of ability — ability to talk big outside and win votes, and crawl on your belly and beat your head on the table inside Congress.

PEOPLE ARE LEARNING

That is a valuable type these days for capitalist political parties. It is a type that cuts throats all right. But it is the throats of Negroes, workers, and others which are in danger from these throat-cutters.

It must be said, however, that the people are being given every opportunity to educate themselves as to the real political character of one who, the higher his stocks rises inside the Senate, the lower it falls outside; who is called the "rising star of Illinois" inside the Senate and Lucifer outside of it; who is excoriated by the Negro people and praised by Georgian Senators. All this Douglas has done in less than four months. He is certainly moving fast.

Councilman Connolly Hits Kutcher Purge

NEW YORK — City Councilman Eugene P. Connolly, leader of the American Labor Party, has notified the Kutcher Civil Rights Committee that he is "very glad to join others in protesting" against the victimization of James Kutcher, which he describes as "one of the most vicious examples of the workings of a so-called 'loyalty oath' procedure."

"This case and all the others," he continued, "are a startling example of the fact that we have already lost some of our democracy. There can, of course, be no genuine democracy when people are discriminated against because of their political or religious beliefs, or national origin or color. Democracy is not something you can compromise with and keep."

Upturn Forecasts Prove False


By John G. Wright

The Easter season was sure to bring with it an upturn in production, employment and sales, so said all the prominent government and business prognosticators. The lateness of Easter accounted, in their opinion, for the delay of this upturn which "normally" does come this time of the year. The Easter season has come and gone. The Pollyannas have been proved wrong on all counts.

Instead of increasing, Easter retail sales flopped, and rather resoundingly so. There is no noticeable improvement, apart from agriculture, in employment. The increase of jobless, while not so steep as in January and February, continued throughout March and April. On the other hand, shorter work weeks and cutbacks have become more pronounced, extending to the field of metals and leaving autos as the sole strong sector in production today.

Rose-colored glasses are being discarded by many who had previously donned them. In its April 23 weekly review, the Wall Street Journal, conservative

In Their Own Backyard



Sen. Paul H. Douglas (D, Ill.) led some of his colleagues on a tour of the slums in their own backyard. Talking to a resident of these slums just six blocks from the Capitol building are (l. to r.) Senators Theodore T. Green (D, R. I.), Douglas and Wayne Morse (R, Ore.). Soon afterward, the Senate passed an inadequate slum clearance and housing bill. For an account of what happened to these slum-dwellers, see Joseph Keller's story on this page. For a study of the Douglas brand of "liberalism," see J. Meyer's story.

DETROIT, April 27 — By a seven to one majority the 65,000 members of Ford River Rouge Local 600 UAW-CIO voted for strike action against the speedup in the Ford Motor Company. Earlier in the week members of Ford Lincoln Local 900 also voted overwhelmingly for strike action.

The Company in both cases has been charged with an inhuman speedup and arbitrary disregard of former production commitments made to the union. Tommy Thompson, president of Local 600, stated that the normal daily scheduling in "B" building at Rouge calls for 240 cars, but the actual output runs five to ten cars over this figure. Al Valenti, president of Local 900, estimated the speedup at Ford at an even higher figure of 10%.

But in spite of the broadly expressed sentiment of the Ford workers for vigorous and immediate action against the speedup, any strike will be held up pending authorization from the International Executive Board of the UAW. Detroit newspapers and commentators are freely predicting that no strike authorization will be forthcoming. But that, on the contrary, "all avenues of peaceable negotiation" will be "fully explored."

This despite the fact that the Ford Company has already broken a negotiated production agreement. The excuse for withholding authorization will reportedly be that any strike now would jeopardize contract negotiations scheduled to begin May 15.

MORE LAYOFFS

Meanwhile some 8,000 Detroit auto workers have been laid off as a result of the strike of 7,500 UAW-CIO members at the Bendix Aviation Corporation, South Bend, Ind. This strike action was taken on April 20 after the Bendix Corporation refused to reinstate 47 workers fired because of the speedup. The union is demanding:

1. Full reinstatement of the 47 fired workers.
2. Guaranteed take-home pay for certain employees of the brake shoe department.
3. Dismissal of the corporation's suit against the union for "damages" from the alleged slowdown.

Recently over 1,000 members of UAW Local 154 demonstrated before an office of the Michigan Unemployment Commission demanding unemployment payments. The Hudson Motor Car Corporation has followed a coldly calculated policy of operating barely 15-20 hours a week. By this tactic the Hudson workers have been deprived of compensation. Meanwhile the company has instituted a major speedup. Many Hudson workers have been thrown on city relief rolls and private charities.

Many local union officers have requested action by the Reuther International Executive Board against the calculated speedup which has hit every major auto plant in Detroit. These appeals for action have been, with few exceptions, met by stony silence or outright denunciations of the "lazy membership." As a result of this cowardly policy many of the more outspoken union members are being victimized.

Unemployment High In Maritime Unions

A nation-wide survey by the National Federation of American Shipping showed that only 79,000 members of all the maritime unions were employed last February.

In April the CIO National Maritime Union reported that at least half of its 47,000 dues-paying members are on the beach because of unemployment. Similar reports were made by the other maritime unions.

Senators Go A-Slumming

By Joseph Keller

During the debate last week in the Senate on how small they could make the housing program without losing too many votes come next election, a recess was called to permit four Senators to go "slumming" in an area four blocks from the Capitol.

It seems that among the little alley-ways within smelling distance of Capitol Hill and the Senate office building human beings are living, as many as ten to a 12-foot-square room, in what are as crowded and squalid slums as can be found in the United States.

How the Senators failed to notice this before, particularly when the wind was in the right direction, remains a mystery. Possibly, the political odor in Washington sort of desensitized senatorial organs of smell to lesser stenches.

One of the Senators said following the tour — "quick tour" the papers all wrote — that "I had to scrub myself afterwards." The poor people, mostly Negroes but some whites, who are forced to live in these alley slums, have a hard time doing even that. Most of the dwellings in Shott's Alley, "Pleasant Court" and other places visited by the Senators have no running water and only outside toilets. They took a hasty gander into one house where 13 people are forced to sleep in three beds in two rooms, lighted only by an oil lamp,

heated by a coal stove in the kitchen and with toilet and running water out in the back yard, which was heaped with rubbish and garbage. Seems the D. C. garbage and rubbish collection hardly ever gets around to the alleyways where the poor live.

Now, these Washington slums aren't really news, except maybe to Senators, or maybe only when Senators decide to take a little jaunt four blocks away and get themselves photographed sniffing into garbage heaps. There have been all kinds of reports over the years describing these slums.

Still the Senatorial slumming party returned with their noses wrinkled, full of indignation and opining that these pestholes in which some Americans are forced to live are a "national disgrace." Something had to be done about it.

It was. The very next day, in fact. Some government men came around and tacked eviction notices on 30 houses in Shott's Alley. The residents weren't told where else they could go — no provision was made for that. But you can't stop the Senate once it has a mind to slum-clearance. And right where those 30 families are going to be kicked out, there is going to rise a nice, new, bright Senate Office Building. With inside toilets too, we hear.

Whom to Investigate?

By Sam Taylor

One of the sure signs of a social system in crisis is the persistent attack upon freedom of thought and expression, particularly in educational institutions. Every reactionary, stand-pat, status-quo, obscurantist element of society quivers with fear lest any real or possible teaching, discussion and study of conflicting social theories and political philosophies take place.

The University of Chicago and Roosevelt College are now under fire from these elements, represented by the Broyles Commission of the Illinois Legislature. Haunted by the specter of "communism," these legislative Sherlock Holmeses are worried about "dangerous" text-books, students and teachers, and have set out to hunt down the insidious forces undermining the sanctity of the home, the morals of the younger generation and the very fabric of "American democracy" itself.

Who are these men that have arrogated to themselves the right to decide upon an investigation of two private educational institutions in the state of Illinois? Some facts about one of the numerous unsavory legislative characters "protecting" the "American Way" will provide a clue. Take James J. Adduci, for example. Leader of the notorious Adduci Bloc in the Legislature, he is Republican representative for the 2nd legislative district of Chicago. During a movie operators' strike in 1930, Adduci was arrested in connection with movie theatre bombings. In 1932 he was arrested and interrogated regarding a kidnapping. A year later, Adduci was arrested

with the infamous Willie Bioff, Al Capone "labor specialist," in connection with strong-arm incursions into the poultry handlers' union. In 1933 he was also picked up on a vagrancy charge. In 1934, Adduci made his political debut, running for the State Legislature against an incumbent who, according to the Chicago Sun-Times, "had served two terms in the Assembly with credit," but "suddenly quit the race just before election."

Adduci's "leadership" in the 2nd district was challenged by William John Granata in 1942. Granata lost. In 1944 the district broke out into "political" gang warfare; violence, intimidation and kidnappings followed. Governor Green threw a soft state job to Granata and, wrote the Sun-Times, "persuaded him not to try to unseat Adduci again." By 1948 Granata's political star had risen to the point where he was scheduled to run as Republican candidate for clerk of the circuit court. In true Capone fashion, Granata was "bumped off" in Chicago's Loop district two weeks before the election.

Adduci is only one of many in the Illinois Legislature whose sordid personal and political record can be detailed. Yet, by an almost unanimous vote in both houses of the Legislature, Adduci and his ilk have decided to spend thousands of tax-payer dollars to investigate "dangerous" doctrines and "subversive" individuals and groups in the two Chicago institutions of higher learning. Really, is there any doubt as to who should be investigated?

Notes from the News

NEW DISCOVERY — Attorney General Clark's latest additions to his "subversive" list include the 44-year old Industrial Workers of the World as an organization which seeks "to alter the form of government of the United States by unconstitutional means."

GETTING READY FOR FRANCO — The U. S. delegation to the UN is reported ready to take the first open step toward getting together with Franco by supporting the repeal of a ban on the admission of the fascist Spanish regime to UN specialized agencies.

THAKIN SOE JAILED — The Associated Press, reporting on the civil war in Burma, says that the Stalinists have jailed Thakin Soe, leader of the Red Flag Communists (said to be Trotskyist), in the Stalinist-controlled section of the country.

FEPC PUT FIRST — The NAACP has decided to give top priority in its legislative program to efforts to enact FEPC legislation. Previously the NAACP had considered anti-lynching, anti-poll tax, anti-segregation and FEPC bills all of equal importance. FEPC will be placed first from now on, although the other items will not be neglected, says Secretary Walter White.

LIVING COSTS UP AGAIN — For the first time in five months, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reports a rise in living costs for the month

ending March 15. The average increase was .3%, but food rose 1%. Rents, miscellaneous goods and services went up, but apparel and housefurnishings continued to decline.

USING THEIR OWN EQUIPMENT — The Communication Workers of America, independent union, charges that the Bell subsidiary, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph, has been tapping telephone wires in CWA offices in Seattle and Tacoma, Wash., and even in the homes of some union officers and members.

WITCH-HUNT PROCEEDS — The New York Board of Regents has already set up a special committee to work out means of implementing the recently-signed Peinberg Law which requires the purge of all teachers belonging to "subversive" organizations.

AND WHO APPOINTED HIM? — Congressman Adam Clayton Powell Jr. doesn't think Paul Robeson is qualified to speak for American Negroes when he says they would not want to fight against the Soviet Union. But Powell thinks that he (Powell) is qualified to speak for them when he says: "In the event of war at any time against any one we will do our part, insofar as it does not conflict with our individual religious beliefs or conscience. . . . in the hour of crisis we will be loyal to our nation, reserving the right, however, to criticize constructively as we did in World War I and World War II."