





## Down with revisionism, opportunism, renegacy and betrayal! . . . from page 3

Leninism were directed precisely against the line of accusing the Soviet Union headed by Stalin of territorial annexation after World War II. In essence our political stance against the representatives of Chinese chauvinism in Canada was identical in content to the line of the PLA.

This stand of CPC(M-L) in 1975 against the calumnies and lies promoted against Stalin and the Bolshevik Party, against the glorious socialist regime of the Soviet Union after World War II, was directed against the whole Canadian opportunist front, including today's "critics" of "three worlds", both in Struggle! and this opportunist agency, and was undertaken by CPC(M-L) on its own initiative, based on its own analysis of both the opportunist stands and the authoritative documents of the International Communist Movement in defence of Leninism and Stalinism and against Khrushchovite, Titoite and Chinese revisionism. Those who bray loudly today about being faithful to the line of the Third International and the communist movement are merely hypocrites and liars. In 1975 when the pressure of Chinese revisionism was in full swing to slander Lenin and Stalin's Soviet Union and to promote a line of "two roads", all the opportunists, including in Struggle! and this opportunist agency, took a cowardly and expedient opportunist stand, while only CPC(M-L) stood firm and courageously rejected these slanders against Stalin and the Soviet Union of the post-war period.

We will document this historic fact with a lengthy quotation from the essay published by our Party entitled, "Mao Tse Tung Thought - The Marxism-Leninism of Our Era". In the portion of the essay which follows, the author had singled out Jack Scott's many years of counter-revolutionary activity in promoting "polycentrism" in opposition to the monolithic Leninist unity under the proletariat headed by their vanguard Marxist-Leninist parties in all countries. To this end the author cited the following words from the report of the National Party of China of a section of the "Constitution of the Communist Party of China" adopted at its 10th Congress in 1973: "The Communist Party of China upholds proletarian internationalism and opposes great-power chauvinism; it firmly unites with the genuine Marxist-Leninist parties and organizations the world over, unites with the proletariat, the oppressed people and nations of the whole world and fights together with them to oppose the hegemonism of the two superpowers - the United States and the Soviet Union, to overthrow imperialist, modern revisionism, and all reaction, and to abolish the system of exploitation of man by man on a globe, so that all mankind will be emancipated." These were fine words and CPC(M-L) fully supported and continues to support them, as we have done in the past, but insists on like deeds also. Our Party had no illusions whatever about the practice of Chinese revisionism attempting to liquidate our Canadian Party and assist counter-revolution, but it was our correct assessment not to make the fight against the Chinese "advisors" to the opportunist trend, but to focus all our class hatred and energy in fighting the Chinese agents such as Jack Scott, in Struggle!, MRFCO-CCCI, this agency, and others, etc., and in this way uphold Leninism and proletarian internationalism. After citing these correct words from the Chinese constitution, we added:

"To illustrate how once an individual or group which considers itself 'Marxist-Leninist' but refuses to recognize the international authority of the leading comrade, and the leading Party, and follow the general line laid out by them for the international movement inevitably opens the door to revisionism and opportunism, let us look at an essay written by Workers' Unity, a small collective of Marxist-Leninists in Toronto " (actually a small group of scabs and anti-communists who, in addition to helping to liquidate various workers' struggles such as Artistic Woodworkers' strike when they were disguised as "strike support committees", did a quick costume change to re-appear on the stage as "Marxist-Leninists", and have now done yet another costume change, and have now re-emerged as genuine followers of the "three world theory" in CCL). "The essay, 'Imperialism and Canadian Political Economy' is itself a complete rejection of the central thesis of Chairman Mao and the Communist Party of China with respect to the two superpowers being the main enemy of the world, and imperialism in Canada completely wrong line on the question of the Canadian political economy, and their enthusiasm for the vicious anti-China, anti-Mao Tse Tung arch-revisionism, Tim Buck, let us see how their 'free-lance Marxist-Leninist' ends them up in the camp of the trotskyite Titoists and Khrushchovite revisionists on the question of the International Communist Movement. They say: 'The Soviet Union, which was the world's first socialist state, is now a country which has experienced a restoration of a bourgeois-capitalist system, and has deserted all principles of proletarian internationalism, and has recently since the end of the Second World War followed a course of 'socialism in words and imperialism in deeds'. Both through the 'cold war' period and the more recent period of détente, the Soviet Union has consistently vied with U.S. imperialism in carving out territories for markets, and raw materials, in expansion of arms production and in subjecting one country after another to its influence and policies.' Marxist-Leninists across Canada should ask: 'What is your authority for this statement that the Soviet Union 'consistently since the end of the Second World War followed a course of 'socialism in words and imperialism in deeds', and imperialism in the 'cold war' period and the more recent period of détente, the Soviet Union has consistently vied with U.S. imperialism in carving out

territories for markets, etc.?' The Cold War was declared by Anglo-American imperialism, by Winston Churchill at Fulton, Missouri in 1946, when he formally passed the mantle of world empire from the falling hands of British imperialism to the rising star, U.S. imperialism. Who declared the era of 'détente'? The traitors to communism and the dictatorship of the proletariat, did. Khrushchov declared the doctrine of 'peaceful competition' with U.S. imperialism; Brezhnev declared the era of 'détente'. Why does the Toronto Workers' Unity mix up these two periods of Soviet history, of the history of our International Communist Movement?

"How did matters really stand at the end of World War II? The world was split up into two camps: the anti-imperialist democratic camp, headed by the Soviet Union, and the imperialist camp, with aims and objectives diametrically opposed."

"The democratic camp was made up of the Soviet Union and the countries of people's democracy. At its head stood the Soviet Union. It was supported by the entire international democratic and workers' movement, by all progressive-minded persons fighting in defence of democracy, freedom and the independence of the peoples. The aim of this camp was to secure world peace, the independence of the peoples, democracy and progress toward socialism. This could be attained only in struggle against imperialism."

"The imperialist camp had the USA as its leading force. It relied on the reactionary classes and on all the anti-democratic forces in the capitalist countries. The aim of this camp was to save the old capitalist order, to suppress the workers' revolutionary and national-liberation movements, to re-establish the capitalist regime in the countries of people's democracy. By making use of their great economic and military potential, the U.S. imperialists sought to establish their domination over the world. To achieve this aim, the imperialists headed by the USA launched a frenzied hostile campaign against the Soviet Union and against all the socialist, freedom and peace-loving forces."

"Does Workers' Unity recognize the authority of our statement on the alignment of forces at the end of the Second World War until 1953 when Comrade Stalin died? Clearly they do not. The Canadian Marxist-Leninists should ponder over this seriously. Why? Because our analysis is word for word taken from the *History of the Party of Labour of Albania* published under the authority of the Institute of Marxist-Leninist Studies at the Central Committee of the Party of Labour of Albania. We recognize our authority, not because the Party of Labour said it, but because it is correct. Furthermore, we know it is correct not only because of our 'creative application of Marxism-Leninism', but because the social practice of the Albanian Party of Labour as a leader in fighting imperialism, social-imperialism, modern revisionism, Titoism and every other reactionary trend in or out of the world communist movement, has been consistently Marxist-Leninist, consistently correct. Marxist-Leninists in Canada demand to know why the Workers' Unity collective in Toronto set themselves apart from the authority of the Albanian Party of Labour?"

"Furthermore the Marxist-Leninists in Canada led the Toronto Workers' Unity clearly and in no uncertain terms just what they called Comrade Stalin an imperialist warmonger. Harry Truman called the Soviet Union an imperialist power at the end of the Second World War. Winston Churchill said the same thing. Tito said it. Trotskyists and social democrats joined in the chorus. The deposed kings, queens, junkers, landlords, capitalists, Nazi collaborators fleeing the people's justice in the people's democracies and who pinned their hopes on Anglo-American imperialism to restore their lost paradise, added their creaking voices."

"After 1956 Khrushchov and the modern revisionists also said similar things. Marxist-Leninists in Canada cannot shrink their internationalist responsibility on these historical problems in the International Communist Movement. Marxist-Leninists, regardless of how young or old they may be, must take a stand on this matter. The 'veteran' communists had and still have a particularly important and responsible role to educate the young revolutionary forces as to the glorious and immortal contribution of Comrade Stalin as the world leader and author of the International Communist Movement before he died. But our 'veteran' revisionists have only mistakedly misdirected the new revolutionary forces on this vital question. Jack Scott's line in *Two Roads* repeats all the reactionary slanders of the imperialists, revisionists and trotskyists about Comrade Stalin and opens the door of eclecticism to such groups as Workers' Unity. As far back as November 1967 Scott was obscuring the immortal contribution of Comrade Stalin. In *Progressive Worker* a story on the 50th anniversary celebration of the October Revolution reporting on the line Jack Scott gave during the meeting says not one single word about Comrade Stalin. Only the Khrushchovite revisionists try to deny that Comrade Stalin was the great student of Lenin, and the leading Marxist-Leninist who gloriously took up the mission of building socialism, defeating fascism, and opposing U.S. imperialism after World War II. On what authority does Jack Scott present the history of the Soviet Union without mentioning Comrade Stalin's name? Does Jack Scott recognize the correctness of Comrade Enver Hoxha's verdict that he who does not defend Stalin is an opportunist and a coward? Who is the authority for our movement? The Albanian Party of Labour led by Enver Hoxha, the Communist Party of China led by Mao Tse Tung, or Churchill, Dulles, Tito or Khrushchov? Do the Workers' Collective not investigate and study the documents of the Communist Party under Chairman Mao's supervision to find

out what this leading authority had to say about the Soviet Union under the leadership of Comrade Stalin? They said emphatically on March 23, 1966 in a letter fully endorsed by the 11th Plenary Session of the Eighth Central Committee that: 'suddenly lashed out at Stalin as a great Marxist-Leninist. In attacking Stalin you were attacking Marxism-Leninism, the Soviet Union, communist parties, the people, and all Marxist-Leninists of the world. . . Russia, the native land of Leninism and used to be in the centre of the international working class movement. After Stalin's death the leaders of the CPSU, headed by Khrushchov, gradually revealed their true features as betrayers of Lenin and Leninism.' (Central Committee of the Communist Party of China, *Letter of Reply* dated March 22, 1966 of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China to the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union) Is it possible that a 'great Marxist-Leninist' could lead the Soviet Union and engage in 'imperialism in deeds'. What is Workers' Unity trying to smuggle into the Canadian communist movement? What is En Luttel trying to smuggle into the Canadian communist movement with their assertion that 'after the Second World War, a new crisis arose within the international communist movement when the leaders of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union definitively broke from the principles of Marxism-Leninism. . . When after the Second World War, the Marxist-Leninists of Canada demanded a change? Not until eight years after the Second World War. The Report of the National Congress of the Communist Party of China clearly says that 'over the last two decades the Soviet revisionist ruling clique, from Khrushchov to Brezhnev, has made a socialist country degenerate into a social-imperialist country'. Two decades from the date of the report (1973) is the year 1953, the date of Comrade Stalin's death. If the Communist Party of China led by Chairman Mao Tse Tung can be so precise, why is En Luttel so evasive, inaccurate? Why use the Second World War as the dividing line; why promote the sabotage of Red Imperialism as promoted by Churchill, Dulles, Tito and their allies? From where do these 'genuine Marxist-Leninists' get their ideas? What does En Luttel mean by speaking of Marxism-Leninism and Mao Tse Tung's thought' in its important policy statement of December 12, 1974? Was this just a slip?"

Now we know the answers to these serious questions posed in the summer of 1975. In Struggle! and the rest of the opportunist sects, including the U.S. imperialist opportunist agency, did view the two, Marxism-Leninism and Mao Tse Tung Thought, separately, and they were the practitioners of "Mao Tse Tung Thought", that is to say the followers of the Chinese revisionist social-chauvinist line. When the crucial came in 1974-75 with the promotion of the "three world theory" and the slanderous attacks against Stalin and the Soviet Union after World War Two, we denounced these allegations that Mao Tse Tung was issuing this line. We upheld the glorious name and work of J.V. Stalin when once again international opportunism had come up to sully them as part of their offensive against proletarian revolution and the dictatorship of the proletariat.

Where were the opportunist heroes who are posing these days as the great "followers" of the PL and Comrade Enver Hoxha in 1975? They had their heads up under the sinking skirts of Teng Hsiao-ping and Jack Scott. On their knees they tried to sew their slanders against Stalin and the dictatorship of the proletariat; like real cowards they were wriggling and manoeuvring to make their attacks. The U.S. imperialist opportunist agency is especially hypocritical and slimy in this respect. It doesn't matter how many holy mays they utter in "self-criticism", the fact is that they were part of the opportunist *Canadian Revolution* rag which was used as a springboard to launch their foul and denigrating attacks, and all their posturing these days as the true followers of the Third International, where were they in 1975, just three years ago, when right under their noses the social-fascists were spewing their poison at Stalin, the International Communist Movement and CPC(M-L)? They were one with them. And it doesn't matter how much they manoeuvre and claim they split with CR, their own filthy rag, with all its self-righteous breast-beating in July-August 1976, has not one single word of criticism or self-criticism for CR promoting its attack against the Soviet Union and Stalin.

These cheap crones do denounce others for "copying" or "jumping up and down" to suit the Canadian Marxist-Leninists, bound in steel unity around the Central Committee of CPC(M-L), headed by Hardial Bains, from linking theory with practice to build our Party relying on our own efforts, following the Leninist principles of self-reliance and proletarian internationalism. They can never succeed. Their path is the road to liquidation and disaster for the proletariat, which is why they are here on this earth, for they are carrying out the will of the state, of imperialism and social-imperialism. While CPC(M-L) is allegedly "isolated" and without friends according to the opportunist revisionist Leninist analysis, it has been ferociously fought since 1970 (and the International Communist Party) that to defend Leninism and the International Marxist-Leninist Communist Movement, when it crossed swords with those who rose up to slander Stalin and Stalin's Soviet Union, all those who are screaming from the rafters against our Party were united as one against Leninism, were in fact the real champions of a doctrine known through the Chinese revisionists as "Mao Tse Tung Thought", a pragmatic and opportunist doctrine which in practice never upheld Leninism and the road of October despite their words and promises. The

Canadian communists who suffered on their backs the calumnies and perfidious collaboration of the Chinese revisionists with the Canadian state and its opportunist front, stood loyal to Leninism. CPC(M-L) stood loyal to the Leninist norms of proletarian internationalism and in defence of the monolithic unity of the International Marxist-Leninist Communist Movement, refrained from any public criticism of the Communist Party of China or Mao Tse Tung, who we then still regarded as a Marxist-Leninist. Now at this juncture of history where the unwavering myth of Mao Tse Tung has been exposed by the facts of life and the Marxist-Leninists everywhere are analyzing the roots of his pragmatic and social-imperialist policies, policies which have consistently led China away from the road of the October Revolution and socialist construction, we have the spectacle of the U.S. imperialist opportunist agency joined by a handful of renegades simply declaring with the knowledge of hindsight: see how counter-revolutionary CPC(M-L) is, all along they were supporting Mao Tse Tung and Mao's China! This is the renegades' big excuse to become outright police spies and supporters of the "three world" bloc. In short, it is their excuse to continue along their own road of supporting Mao's subjective idealist world outlook and pragmatic politics of collaboration with imperialism. For CPC(M-L) support for Mao and Mao's China meant support for Lenin and the road of the October Revolution. That is the road the Internationalists set out on against Khrushchovite revisionism in 1963 and it is the road the Party continues along today against revisionism and opportunism of all hues, including so-called "Mao Tse Tung Thought". It is precisely against Leninism these vile opportunists and renegades are rebelling on their knees. But the Party grows stronger by unflinching such elements, and is determined to fulfill its duty to the Canadian proletariat and complete the tasks set for the Party at its Third Congress. By building the Party in the centres of production and waging a ceaseless Leninist class war against social-democracy, revisionism and opportunism of all hues, we shall prepare conditions so that the proletariat, through one wave of revolutionary struggle after another, will eventually topple the monopoly capitalist system itself and defeat the U.S. imperialist domination of Canada.

It is our duty to succeed in the great task the Leninist forms of Party organization and discipline must actually evolve and advance; the communists must become even more steered and mature leaders of their class against enemies steeped in their counter-revolutionary trade. This is the issue again is whether to uphold and strengthen the Leninist forms of Party organization in order to advance the socialist content of revolutionary politics amongst the masses, or to liquidate the Leninist form so that the revolutionary content is dissipated and socialist revolution suffers a setback. We showed how precisely this was the issue at the time of the struggle against Jack Scott and remained so at every juncture of the struggle against opportunism, especially the Chinese brand of social-imperialism. As CPC(M-L) told in Struggle! in October 1974 just before they ran off to join the "three world" cabal in their conspiracy and intrigue against the Party, "The struggles of the revolutionary people in Quebec in the 1960s has already given rise to new content, new experience, new historical lessons, and if these lessons are not used to destroy the old forms which are keeping the new content down, then the new content will fritter away and revolution will suffer a setback. The old struggles hard and raises its head, and it is essential for us to struggle against the new and it is the old form which attempts to strangle and kill the new content. Lenin explains 'the struggle of content with form and conversely. The throwing off of the form, the transformation of content.' CPC(M-L) came into existence as part of the new content. This is why the state machine had to hit extremely hard right at the birth of our Party. The state spends much money and effort, using all sorts of ways to discredit the Party, split it and liquidate it or transform it into a revisionist and opportunist party. This is precisely what in Struggle! took up for its mission and did it, the struggle child, and the liquidator, when opportunist agency. Now the state machine is doing the same of trying "to discredit the Party, split it and liquidate it or transform it into a revisionist and opportunist party." But they too have hit their heads against the steel shield of the Party's Leninist discipline, its iron-clad Marxist-Leninist logic, which explains why they are whimpering against the Party and putting on all sorts of childish airs about being the "most loyal followers" of the communist movement. They are true followers of Lenin's opportunist political adversaries, the aristocratic anarchists, who all give homage to the Platonic conception of a Party, the immaculate ideal, but when it comes to the reality of flesh and blood, then they find themselves in the company of the petty opportunists, sectarian circles of petty intriguers and police spies. And all the while they are screaming at the Canadian Marxist-Leninists to join them, to set history backwards. No, that which has been created with so much effort and sacrifice by the Canadian revolutionaries will not be so easily liquidated. Let them howl for the state will soon find more use for them now that they have told all their gossips and lies in public. It is not by chance that the old gang of the 60s, including the F.I.O. "heroes", the descendants of the Aroun and Lévesque and other social-chauvinists, are being re-mobilized by the state and its opportunist sections of monopoly capitalism. But whatever comes they are hatching against the Canadian proletariat and people they will have to reckon with the Leninist politics and Leninist Party. CPC(M-L).