DOWN WITH REVISIONISM, OPPORTUNISM, RENEGACY AND BETRAYAL! BOLSHEVIZE THE PARTY! PREPARE FOR THE COMING REVOLUTIONARY STORMS!

-Reference material published at the request made by Party activists in Montreal at the Raily organized by the Party to usher in the Year of Stalin

e Party from being founded. In the criticism made of In ruggled in an open and principled manner through private rrespondence to In Struggle! in October 1974, we find which the same politics as marcined by Varma coming under correspondence to In Struggle! in October 1974, we precisely the same politics as practised by Varmac coming us fire. Now how did the leadership of In Struggle! choose behave? They took tup precisely the same reactionary same Varma did, that is, they blamed the Party for "abusing" it instead of assessing the justness of the criticism. This is Leininist, not even honest bourgoois-democratic behavior. It we have the U.S. Imperialit opportunist agency also pushing we have the U.S. Imperialit opportunist agency also pushing

at the request made by Party activists in stander that CPC(M-L) abuses people, and that "The Bainsites were too busy 'fighting bourgeois hang-ups' realizing the 'Necessity for Change,' etc., etc., ... to undertake their own analysis.' But the analysis of CPC(M-L) has been precisely mentioned the Law political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the political and ideological struggle to build a monolish the proportion of the property of the pr monopoly capitalist outlook and make themselves the target of revolution and the masses the target of revolutionary propagands, then the real snakes in the Party raise their heads it is terrible! It is unjust! It is dictatorial!, etc., and nauseum. And instead of wagning vigorous class struggle to get rid of these habits, they mobilize around themselves the bourgeois telements, the degenerates and the capitulators to the bourgeois take machine and call for disunity and splits. We ask these individuals: Can proletarian revolution be brought about and the proletarian outlook acquired without going through vicious and painstaking class struggle inside and outside the Party! Can revolution be so civil play it does not affect anyone's life! Should, revolution and the Provioutionary Party not purise itself of degenerate clements?

revolution he so civil that of does not attect anyones suter Shouan, revolution and the revolutionary Party not purific sitself of degenerate elements?

"The question of habit — this is the daily dictatorship of the bourgeoisie over the people in their lives, on their minds as to how they think, as to how they should wage class struggle — is a class question and a decisive question too. No one can make much of a contribution in revolution by keeping (and actually fighting to keep and violently resisting to preserve) the habits of the monopoly capitalists. It is to be and must be the discipline of the Party that the leading comrades are advanced, that they reflect the ideas and aspirations of the advanced class and not of the monopoly capitalists and that they work tirelessly to demolish everything which keeps the Party from organizing. It is for this reason the Internationalists waged the struggle-against-bourgeois-hauptys campaign. It is for this reason we insist three be mass democratic method inside and outside the Party. This is why we insist on vigorous criticism-self-criticism as the method of moving forward. This is why we make the monopoly capitalist class, setzry is influence inside the Party and build the new as the basis of organizing the Party and build the new as the basis of organizing the Party and waging external class struggle against the monopoly capitalist class.
"Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this is not the correct method of "Our recemies tell us that this

inside the Paris and the Paris

it years after the triumph of the October Revolution, Stalin, in pledging the Bolshevik Party and himself to carry out Lenin's behest, said, "We communists are people of a special mould. We are made of special snight. We are those who formed the army of the great proletarian strategist — the army of Comrade Lenin. There is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing higher than the title of member of the Party Thorne is nothing the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the Internationalists aspired to achieve in laying the basis and the party and the p

Leninism.

Daya Varma tried to liquidate the Party's insistence
Bolshevik quality in the Party ranks. While Jack Scott gave h
ine of an "independentist party", where "socialists and no
socialism" could collude and contend, in order to prevent a Part
modelled on the Bolsheviks from being founded and built, Day
Jarma tried to push the line of "freedom of criticism", i. modelied on the Boshevits from being founded and built, Days Arma tried to push the line of "Treoduce and built, Days Arma tried to push the line of "Treoduce and built, Days Arma tried to push the line of "Treoduce and Introduce and Control and

This line was actually used by Jack Scott and others since the 1968-69 period to prevent the revolutionaries from building the Leninist Party in the course of class struggle. As early as August 1969, the Canadian Gommunist Movement (Marxist-Leninist),

1969, the Canadian Communist Movement (Marxist-Leninat), the founding organization of CPG(M-L), wrote: "In Party building the key question at the moment is not having a class analysis, but doing ideological propagands for organizational work and for the necessity of building a Party.
"In North America where we have a long history of revisionism, deep-going ideological work alone can create the material conditions for Party building and help our comrades grasp the tasks of the working class movement. Ideological work cannot be carried out without organizational work. Ideological propagands means taking a stand at the place of work and organized propagands means taking a stand at the place of work and organized. ist initiate struggles at the place of work and organiz small groups of workers to disseminate the ideology of the working class. Out of this propaganda will grow a movement and

working class. Out of this propaganda will grow a movement and a Party.

This article written in August 1969 is further documentary evidence that right from the period of the Canadian Communist Movement (Marxist-Leninist), that is, the formative period of the Party, the central leadership fought for the Leninist principles. First of all, the idea of "Canadian exceptionalism" or "Canadian revolutionary theory" promoted by Jack Scott as an obstacle to building the Party was rejected; it was affirmed that the revolutionary theory of Marxism-Leninism must be applied to the concrete conditions in Canada, meaning first and foremost building the Party in opposition to revisionism. Another Leninist principle, that of integrating Marxist-Leninist theory with the working class movement, through building the Party amongst the advanced elements of the proletariat at the place of work is also affirmed. The emphasis on organizational work and building a Party in order to advance the ideological struggle-against social democracy, evisionism and opportunism is a direct refutation of Jack Scott's liquidationist, anti-Leninist line which was taken up by Charles Gagnon in 1972 to date, and is now being reiterated by the renegades from the Party who also as first principle oppose Leninist organizational forms as a basolutely necessary to divert the workers' movement away from the figuidationist bourgeois officies of the labour aristocracy and petty-bourgeois democrats.

Also of great importance in this article defending the Leninist

first principle oppose Leninist organizational forms as absolutely necessary to divert the workers' movement away from the liquidationist bourgeois politics of the labour aristocracy and petty-bourgeois democrats.

Also of great importance in this article defending the Leninist Party principles is the refutation of the petty-bourgeois adventurist politics. It is a big self-exposure of Daya Varma and his clique in MREQ ("Communist' League) that they denounce the Marxist class analysis of political politics. They say in their 1975 slanders against CPC(M-1) that "it is political action that can be adventurist not whole classes." This is truly the ideology of "Mao Tsettung Thought" which rejects the Marxist-Leninist class analysis. Further it shows how jumpy they are over this issue of adventurism, for it is pricetyl their petty-bourgeoic slass politics which led Varma and his clique to advance the adventurism of the strength of the control of the strength of the petty bourgeoics and labour aristocrats to liquidate proletarian, i.e. Marxist-Leninist, politics in the working class movement. Thus in 1971 while Varma was intriguing and conspiring with Chinese revisionism to liquidate CPC(M-1). In this in 1971 while Varma was intriguing and conspiring with Chinese revisionism to liquidate CPC(M-1). In this in 1971 while Varma was intriguing and conspiring with Chinese revisionism to liquidate CPC(M-1) and turm it into an adventurist and reformist sect, the working class movement. Thus in 1971 while Varma was intriguing and conspiring with Chinese revisionism to liquidate CPC(M-L) and turn it into an adventurist and reformist sect, the Marxist-Leninists were organizing to advance the level of Party organization and Leninist norms to rebuff these liquidationist efforts of the alien class elements around Varma, elements who have since been re-mobilized as the agency for Chinese social-investigations.

have Some occurred.

Thus, in the whole issue of the Party "abusing" opportunism is the issue of the very easence of a Leninist Party, is it to be a Party of the new type, a Party for the negation of the bourgeoic class by the proletariat or not? The facts show that it is the Party's insistence on strict adherence to Bolshevik discipline. Leninist, norms and democratic centralism and to be a consistent of the process of th

ganeering front of Khrushchovite revisionism in the 1970-71 period is a case in point. From the time of the founding of the Party in March 1970 to the convening of the Second Congress, the political line of the Party was to Establish the Centralised Organs of the Party. In dealing with the "Ich" cologaneering front of Khrushchovite revisionism, the leading bodies met and led the discussion on Dava Varma's line in all the bodies of the Party and measures were taken to organize all the members of the Party of measures were taken to organize all the members of a "Ich Party of oppose his line of "Irecdom of criticism" and of "Icderation" instead of a Party. The Second Congress of the Party in 1973 adopted the Constitution of the Party and the slogan Strengthese the Centralized Organs of the Party. Throughout this period, Leninists norms were upheld and democratic centralism was strengthened. On the ideological and political front, the line of reformism and terrorism was throughly repudiated, as was the line advocated by the chauvinists in Quebec who in March 1971 marched under the slogan "Kill the English" — a line carried by supporters of Daya Varma on July 1, 1971 for which he was firmly criticized by the Party leadenship. It is the Party's insistence on strict adherence to the Bolshevik discipline and Leninist norms, democratic centralism and the Marxinsistence on strict adherence to the Bollavit usually and Leninist norms, democratic centralism and the fusive six-Leninist ideological and political line which Daya Varma opposed and which the opportunists cell "abusing" people. When we look back and see how the Party leadership in 1971 avoided the "ultra-leftist" provocation and trap set by Daya Varma in collaboration with the Iranian lumpen petty-bourgeois elements, then far from turning on its history, the CPC(M-L) militants have in mind to lift the banner of the Party is history est mighter, to make the outlook, style, method of every communist organizer consistent with the Party's history est mighter, to make the outlook, style, method of every communist organizer consistent with the Party shistory est might gagainst opportunism, raise it to an even new height in realizing the even more difficult tasks assumed by the Party at its Third Congress, the tasks of bolahevizing itself from top to bottom, of building the basicunits of the Party in the factories, mills and mines, until every factory is a fortress of communism.

of the Party in the factories, nulls and mines, until every factory factory and others of communium.

The issue raised by Daya Varma, by MREQ, by In Struggle! and others of CPCM-L] "abusing" opportunists, an issue which has been prompted and inflamed by a sinister and perfidious series of lies piled one upon the other has to do with their politics of being agents-provocateurs and police spies against the CPC(M-L) millitants, of laying the sorts of traps and pifalis such as Daya Varma did with his trick of posing as "most millitant revolutionary", not only when he incited the Party to terrorism from the background in November 1990, not only when he organized the May 20, 1971 demonstration about which he sheds his crocodile tears in 1975, and for the ugly incident he organized and provoked in Vancouver in August 1976. The whole charade Varma, acting on behalf of the Chinese embassy, organized with CCL. In Struggle! Jack Scott, and others, adopting the ridiculous trotskyite pose of being the "genuine Marxist-Leninists" in opposition to CPC(M-L)3 "neo-revisionism" on the basis that they single-handedly discovered the "fundamental contradiction between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie", that Canada is an "imperialist country", and so on and so forth, has exposed itself clearly in these days when Teng Hisio-ping wines and dines with Carter the combined offerors of the Chinese coals; as fasts as as a Structure of the combined offerors of the Chinese coals; as fasts as a Structure of the combined offerors of the Chinese coals; as fasts as a Structure of the combined forces of the Chinese coals; as fasts as a Structure of the combined forces of the Chinese coals; as forces, and collinaries, have been in the nature of a great provocation against the international to make the bearings and forces, and effort to make the communists to either bearings and the coals. peoples. An inter mose saintiers, ites and caumines against international Marxist-Leninist communist parties, have been in the nature of a great provocation against the Marxist-Leninist forces, an effort to make the communists lose their bearings and trip in an extremely complicated and dangerous time for the orld proletariat and people. It is for this reason that the Party upholds the Leninist tactics

ind CPC(M-L) to adv which enabled the Internationalists and CPC(M-1) to advance as far as it has, and to deepen and broaden the application of those tactics in the life-and-death struggle against the opportunists of all hues in the workers' movement. Far from turning on itself and denying its history of self-sacrificing struggle the Party has, at its Third Congress, passed a serious resolution against the nefarious activities of the social-fascist

turning on itself and denying its missary or measurements struggle the Party has, at its Third Congress, passed a serious resolution against the nefarious activities of the social-fasciss provocateurs:

"30. The Third Congress of the Communist Party of Canada (Maxist-Leninist) holds that in fighting social-fascism, we must make a clear distinction between our real enemies and our real friends. One of the methods social-fascists use against the Party is the method of organizing provocations in order to embroil our comrades in side-line struggles in order to sow confusion amongst the masses. The Third Congress of CPC(M-L) calls upon all our comrades to learn how to respond to these provocations, how to bypass the rock instead of steering the ship or a head-on collision course. The provocations against the Party are not individual creations but are the handlivork of the Party are not individual creations but are the handlivork of the state. It is the state which is behind all these tatticks. It launches them in order to cause maximum confusion in the Marxist-Leninist Communist Movement. The Third Congress of Communist Movement, and the continuation of the communist of the continuation of th

 The Marxist-Leninist Community
 The working class movement
 The youth and student movement
 The solidarity and friendship movement
 The solidarity and friendship movement 3. The yould nath subsets movement.
4. The solidarity and friendship movement, etc.
The Third Congress of CPC(M-L) holds that it is only the reactionary bourgeoise who has the mission to disrupt the Marxist-Leninist Communist Movement, the working class movement, the youth and student movement and the solidarity and friendship movement. The Third Congress of CPC(M-L) calls upon all our members to vigorously defeat this line of disruption carried out by the social-fascists of all hues."
This is the Part's correct assessment and response to the contraction.

Down with revisionism, opportunism, renegacy and betrayal! . . . from page 3

charge that CPC(M-L) "abuses" people. But the activities undertaken by Daya Varma in 1970-71 were not aberrations of some misguided bourgeois intellectual and careciast who simply could not live up to the Party's qualifications for being a professional revolutionary. Had that been the case. Daya Varma could easily enough have carried on his life and even engaged in some demoratile and progressive activity political and ideological characres aimed a liquidating the direction of the perfidious allance between Chinese social-imperialism and U.S. imperialism. It was thus not a matter of resisting change, but of actively trying to reverse the Leinnist political and ideological line of CPC(M-L) and its mass organizations, the wanted to ake the Party was from its Leinnist course and impose upon it the dictate of the Communist Party of China, the so-called "Mao Tsetung Thought" of pragmatist course and impose use sense of the sharp political could be surgically and the party of the performance of the sharp political could be surgically as the state of the sharp political could be surgically as the sharp political could be surgically as the sharp political party of the protearnat, CPC(M-L) and its result of the party is a surgical country of the sharp political party of the protearnat, CPC(M-L) and its country of the protearnat, CPC(M-L) and the perfidiculated and paralyze the revolutionary movement and the political Party of the protearnat, CPC(M-L) and from in its efforts to liquidate and paralyze the revolutionary movement and the political Party of the protearnat, CPC(M-L) and the party of the protearnat, CPC(M-L). The Party refused to support his anti-tainist theory, build the Report to the Sventh Congress of the Party of Labour of Albana, resolutely denounced the "three world theory" as anti-Maratia in a telegramme to the PLA which CPC(M-L) printed in PLA and the party of the party and the protearnation of the party and the party of the party and the capacity of the capacity of the party of the party of the party

PEOPLE'S CANADA DAILY NEWS

Volume 9 Number 23 January 26, 1979

ORGAN OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF THE
COMMUNIST PARTY OF CANADA (MARIST-LEMNIST)

The posturing of the U.S. imperialist opportunist agency as the "critic" of CPC(M-U), "rightist" stand, is in fact an embellishment of the rightist politics of the "three world" front. What they hope to do, as in the case of Jack Scott, is to pain the Party with the yellow colour which is their own colour and that of the League. Thus it is no accident that they should begin their latest Yankee "research paper", as intellectualist pack of fies, with an embelishment of Jack Scott, and then conclude it with an apologia for Days Varma. The last paragraph in their latest rack reads: "As for Hardist Bains, he has not yet appeared out rack reads: "As for Hardist Bains, he has not yet appeared on the reads of the proposition of the First World War, the same cannot be said for Bains. He has never been a Marzist-Leninist, His 'parry' has never been a Marzist-Leninist parry, Bains remain what he always has been — a charlatan, a social chauvinist, a social-patriot and a 'sleft 'phrase-mongering front for Khrushchovite revisionium."

This, treat-visionium and the proposition of the propositio

patriot and a 'keft' phrase-mongering from tor Anruanconsic previsionism.'

This trotskyite impotent abuse and assertion naturally presents not at single argument or historical fact to back it up. What it does do is embellish the 'charitatina, social-tation's of the Second International as well as those who excell-patriots' of the Second International as well as well as those who excell-patriots of the Second International as a "revolutionary Marxist" before World War I. Lenin only refers to the German renegate Kaustky as a former Marxist to expoper of his "Marxist" words and cowardly capitulation to imperialism in deeds. Central to the opportunism of Kaustky, Pickhanov and others was the discrepancy between their words and deeds, their failure to grasp the essence of revolutionary discretism of an advantage which inevitably and necessarily leads to a bloody, violent hand-oth-and war between the proteatat and the opportunist sparse of the propertunist sparse of the propertunist sparse y should have something positive to say about the "Marxist" heading the opportunist sparse of the propertunist of the propertu

nose when cohempiating the affiliers of his Party. The fact that the organization of our work is lagging behind its content is own work polit, and it was our well, polit long before the Congress, well work of the congress of the content of the co

anarchists who have always and everywhere looked down on such a thing as discipline. We shall either arrange the matter (sleft) with the group, if it is businesslike, or just ridicule its demands.

amerchists who have always and everywhere looked down on such a thing at discipline. We shall either range the matter (sele) with the group, if it is businessitik, or part ridicule its demands.

"Dear, dear, what a lofty and noble rebuilt to vulgar factory formalism! But in reality is it holiants."

The analysis of the reality is the holi circle phrascology jubilished up a little and served up to the Parry by an educrial board which feels that it is not a Parry body, but the survival of an old circle. The intrinsic falsity of this position inevitably leads to the anarchist production to be obsolete to a grinciple of Social-Democratic organization. There is no need for a hierarchy of highest and authorities — aristocratic anarchism regards such a hierarchy as the bureaucratic invention of ministries, departments, etc. (see Axebod's article; there is no need for any formal bureaucratic definition of Parry methods of arranging matters' or of parting ways. Let the old circle scrapping be sanctified by pompous talk about 'genuinely Social-Democratic inventions of organization.

"This where the proletarian who has been through the school of the 'factory' can and should teach a lesson to anarchist individualism. The class-conscious worker has long ago emerged from the state of infance when he used to fight shy of the intellectual as such. The class-conscious worker prizes the richer store of knowledge and the wider political horizon which he finds in Social-Democratic intellectuals. But as we proceed with the building of a estal party, he class-conscious worker must learn to distinguish the mentality of the soldier of the proleural match is from the mentality of the soldier of the proleural match is from the mentality of the soldier of the proleural match is provided to the proleural match in Social-Democratic intellectuals. But as we proceed with the building of a estal party, he class-conscious worker prizes the richestone of knowledge and the wider political horizon which he finds in Social-Democratic intellectuals

not now clear to all and sunary mar ton me sungers of an organization Askrod and Marton have swarp over to Akimov? Have they not solemnly admitted it themselves in the significant words, 'undeserved neglect of the non-taker size? And what was it but autonomism that Akimov and his friends defended at our Party Congreded at the Congress of the League when, with autonomism that Akimov and his friends defended at our Party Congreded at the Congress of the League when, with autonomism that Akimov and his friends defended at our the whole, that the rates of the League when, with autonomism to the whole, that the rates of the League Abraod, in which the relation is thus formulated, are valid, in defiance of the will of the Party materity, in defiance of the will of the Party materity, in defiance of the will of the Party materity, in defiance of the will of the Party materity, in defiance of the will of the Party materity, in defiance of the will of the question of the right of the Central Committee to appoint members to the local committees; Ishall no space of the pareity of the league Abravot was and the Congress of the League, and is still using in the new laker ('Reference to footnote — Ed: In enumerating the various paragraphs of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the whole to the part: the Central Committee of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the whole to the part: the Central Committee of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the whole to the part: the Central Committee of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the whole to the part the Central Committee of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the whole to the part the Central Committee of the Rules. Contrade Marton omitted the very one which deals with the relation of the produtation.

This lengthy quotation shately as the pair of the produt

Party organized a communalist clique of which the centre was himself, his wife, his brother-in-law, and his sister-in-law and which also includes his sister and his sister's beyinden do not when were in the Party, in which he undertook to divulge inner-Party information and to conduct a slanderous and unprincipled campaign against the Party and its feedership. For this he was expelled from the Party. The document which they have published amply thustrates their unprincipled and anti-Party nature and shows just how correct the Party was.

TO BE CONTINUED