

THE SINO-SOVIET DISPUTE

Documented & Analyzed by E.F. HUDSON, 12716  
RICHARD LOWENTHAN & RODERICK MacFarquhar

Hudson's Introd.:

"Diplomacy & Rev: The Dialectics of a Dispute by Lowenthal:  
p.10 Difference is his-ly conditioned tendency  
that anything is possible no party armed  
with rt. consciousness (Lowenthal should  
have just said "ARMED") --will over all  
"obj. conditions" of prod. forces & CLASS STRUCTURES\*\*AGGRAVATED  
by possible Sov.-Am. deter. visit to US, 9/59 request for summit  
sans Ch. AND followed in '60 by Khr's visit to India & Indonesia  
where he showed complete detachment from Ch. claims

p.37:

1956 when Ch. was engaged in designing 2nd 5 Yr Plan Sov  
leaders brought EE under control only at expense of almost  
\*\*\* 41,000 mln. in short term credits; this contributed to  
\*\*\* their scuttling 6th 5 yr plan & prevented aid to Ch.  
1959 % of all Sov. exports of machinery & nearly 3 of every 4  
complete plants sent abroad went to Ch.. At same time  
supplies Russia with farm products, mineral ores &  
ind. consumer goods, rivalling E Ger for 1st  
pl. among Russia's importers, supplying twice as much  
to SU as all underdeveloped countries combined.

MacFarquhar's DOCUMENTATION:

1. Claims dispute in '60 has these points  
of departures: a) Feb. 14, 1956-20th RCP; b) 1957 assessment  
in Moscow by ruling CPs (40th anniversary of RR) & c) 21st  
Congress, 1/27/59. But the DOCUMENTATION does not bear out  
the difference, thus, Khr's 20th Speech included "Much that is  
unique in socialist construction is being contributed by the  
People's Rep. of China" --of course it also mentions "Yugoslavia  
where state power belongs to the wkg. people & society is founded  
on pub. ownership..." but all roads to socialism admitted  
"others" into the exclusive club.. 1957 Declaration equally  
criticizes revisionists & dogmatists, & later --LINK, 10/16/60  
claims differences then, esp. "About the approach to the  
bourgeoisie & the govts. of newly-liberated countries, the Ch.  
said that capitalism in Asia was weak in relation to imp. &  
WAS BOUND TO LINE UP WITH THE LATER... There was a swing to the  
right in those countries.")

2. Rival Views Propounded, contains Khr's speech in Peking  
AFTER VISIT TO US, 12/30/59, Warsaw Members Declaration  
& K'ang Sheng's Speech to the Warsaw Treaty Mtg.,  
which maintained that since satellites & rockets SU has left US  
"far behind" the balance of power has so changed that the  
will to struggle & confidence in victory of the people throughout  
word are "fortified." AND MENTIONS KOREA to prove it is for peace  
too, but insists "revisionism is main danger."

3. "Conflict Raised to Ideological Plane"/Apr. 16,  
1960 'RED FLAG' marks start of most imp. &  
bitter stage with its "LONG LIVE LENINISM" (which takes up  
no less than pp. 82 through 112.\*\*\*\*\*  
Now minimizes scientific discoveries by referring to  
specific details of technological progress" & adding "it is  
not technique but man, the masses of the people that determine  
the fate of mankind." CH. WAS INVOLVED WITH INDIA & INDONESIA &

Handwritten notes on the left margin:  
11/22/59  
12/30/59  
30  
"Nat. Libr."  
"Museum of  
Sov. Union History"

Handwritten notes on the right margin:  
3  
"Building of Party"

(ff--NB\*\*while this "Editorial" PAMPHLET is full of "rev." &quotations from VIL& S&R, the 2 references to "principled" stand by MAO refer to 2 periods when 4 class front was used, 1927 &1937 in which Mao said any one who opposed united front commits "a crime against the sacred cause of the nat. rev.war &THE DEFENCE OF THE MOTHERLAND"(my emphasis)"SW, Vol. II, p.106

4. Battle Joined, after U-2 incident BUT still no names. Russia replies withquotations from 2infantile Leftism"

5. The Eucharest Conf. (after Ch's action at WFTU)

6. Renewed Sov. Attacks

Academician E. Zhukov Pravda article against dogmatists who smear nat. rev. sin Afr &Asia PRAVDA of 8/7/60 by Frantsev) BEGINS QES. OF LABOR PRODUCTIVITY. "Labor productivity", wrote L, "is in the last analysis the most imp.&chief thing for the triumph of the new social system." And again "Could one imagine the successful construction of soc.going on in present day condition even in so great a country as, let us say, Ch, if that country were in a state of isolation &could not rely on the collaboration & aid of all other soc.countries." (S.Titareno, Sov.Latvia, 8/16/60) And again Tass summary of Pravda article 8/28/60, by Zhukov states: "Only p.b.leftists &hopeless dogmatists can deny the great his.significance of the fact of the formation of national states in Asia &Afr., even tho many of these states have not yet attained eco.ind.&have not solved a no. of pressing problems advanced by life."

7. The Moscow Conf.

Documentation from Ch. side, "A Basic Summary of Experience Gained in the victory of the Ch. People's Rev." (Red Flag. text released by NCNA 11/2/60)

\*\*\*\*\*pp.162-6\*\*\*\*\*

"rev.began autumn '27)

1) first succeeded in rural areas as against those who "slanded rev.wars&guerrilla wars of peasants led by the prol. as 'Movement of Roving Insurgents."

3) MAO "WHOEVER WANTS TO

SEIZE STATE POWER &TO KEEP IT MUST HAVE A STRONG ARMY." "In China the main form of struggle is war &the main form of org.is the army."

8. --The 1960 Moscow Statement

NEW STAGE IS SOV. SCIENCE. NEW STAGE IS NAT.DEMOCRACIES p.192

MATERIAL BASE...MECHINATION & AUTOMATION OF PROD. LATEST TECHNIQUES, ELECTRIFICATION OF NAT.ECO.WITHOUT WHICH IT IS IMPOSSIBLE (p.185)

Undue emphasis on nat.peculiarities in '57 DOGMATISM &SECTARIANISM CAN ALSO BECOME MAIN DANGER Survival of cap.in MINDS if men EVEN AFTER

9. Sov.&Ch. interpretation of Statement: "The plenary session of the CC of the CPC held that it is of particular imp. at present to continue to carry out the principle of INTEGRATING universal truth of M\*L WITH the SPECIFIC PRACTICE OF CH'S REV.&construction & to raise the level of M\*L of the cadres of the Party &the state.

PP 17-204